

Combinatorial Proof of Fermat's "Little" Theorem

Author(s): S. W. Golomb

Source: The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 63, No. 10, (Dec., 1956), pp. 718

Published by: Mathematical Association of America

Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2309563

Accessed: 16/04/2008 12:44

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=maa.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We enable the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

CLASSROOM NOTES

EDITED BY G. B. THOMAS, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Because of the large number of papers on hand, consideration of new papers for this department has been temporarily suspended.

COMBINATORIAL PROOF OF FERMAT'S "LITTLE" THEOREM

S. W. GOLOMB, University of Oslo

It is possible to give an interesting, purely combinatorial proof of Fermat's theorem that $n^p - n$ is divisible by p, for any positive integer n, and any prime number p.

Suppose we have beads in n different colors, and we wish to make necklaces using exactly p beads. First we put p beads on a string. Since each of the beads can be chosen in n ways, there are n^p possible strings. For each of the n colors, there is one string entirely of that color. We throw these away, leaving $n^p - n$ strings. We will join the two ends of each of these strings to form necklaces. But we observe that if two strings differ only by a cyclic permutation of the beads, the resulting necklaces will be indistinguishable. Since there are p cyclic permutations of the p beads on a string, the number of distinguishable necklaces is $(n^p - n)/p$, which must therefore be an integer.

If it is permitted to flip the necklaces over, there are only $(n^p - n)/2p$ distinguishable cases, so that this too must be an integer, unless p = 2.

If this proof is used in the classroom, it is of pedagogic value to ask the class:

- 1) Where is the hypothesis that p is prime used in the proof?
- 2) In view of the fact that there are n! ways to permute the n colors, is it further true that n! divides $(n^p-n)/p$?

A somewhat analogous combinatorial proof of Wilson's Theorem is given by R. D. Carmichael (*The Theory of Numbers*, p. 50), who shows that ((p-1)!-(p-1))/2p is the precise number of distinct irregular stellated p-gons.

CONGRUENCES AND CARD SHUFFLING

PAUL B. JOHNSON, Occidental College

Congruences are some of the most powerful tools in the theory of numbers, yet they are among the more difficult to motivate. To the beginning student the usual illustrations appear either absurdly simple, or merely tricky manipulations set up to illustrate this particular theory.

The majority of people in the United States will immediately recognize the following illustration as a serious and significant problem in its own right, and yet it is one easily solved using congruences but is very difficult without them. The illustration is the simple question "How thoroughly does the ordinary riffle shuffle mix up the cards in a bridge deck?" The average person thinks a pure riffle shuffle thoroughly mixes the cards, implying that all of the 52! or 8×10^{67}