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## NOTE ON THE DERIVATIVES WITH RESPECT TO A PARAMETER OF THE SOLUTIONS OF A SYSTEM OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS.

By T. H. Gronwall.

1. For a single equation, Dr. Ritt has solved the problem indicated in the title by a very simple and direct method which presupposes only that the solution of the equation has been proved to exist, but makes no use of the properties of the particular type of approximations on which this existence proof is based. Being founded on the integrability of a linear differential equation of the first order by quadratures, Dr. Ritt's proof cannot be extended immediately to a system of equations. It is the purpose of the present note to show how this difficulty may be overcome; similar proofs of the differentiability of the solution with respect to the initial values of both dependent and independent variables are also given.
2. In the following, the subscripts $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ will take the values $1,2, \cdots, n$. Let $a$ be a parameter, and consider the system of differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{v}}{d x}=f_{\nu}\left(x ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n} ; a\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{v}=y_{\nu}{ }^{0} \quad \text { for } \quad x=x_{0} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we assume that all the functions

$$
f_{\nu}, f_{\mu \nu}=\frac{\partial f_{\nu}}{\partial y_{\mu}} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{0 \nu}=\frac{\partial f_{v}}{\partial a}
$$

are continuous for

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \leqq x \leqq x_{0}+h, \quad y_{\nu}{ }^{0}-k_{\nu} \leqq y_{\nu} \leqq y_{\nu}{ }^{0}+k_{\nu}, \quad a_{1} \leqq a \leqq a_{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also assume that it has already been proved that the equations (1) possess a unique system of solutions with the given initial conditions, defined on the interval ( $x_{0}, x_{0}+h$ ) and each $y_{\nu}$ assuming, for $x_{0} \leqq x \leqq x_{0}+h$ and every $a$ in ( $a_{1}, a_{2}$ ), only values between $y_{v}{ }^{0}-k_{\nu}$ and $y_{v}{ }^{0}+k_{\nu}$. Then the partial derivatives $\partial y_{\nu} / \partial a$ all exist and satisfy the differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\partial y_{\nu}}{\partial a}\right)=\sum_{\mu} f_{\mu \nu}\left(x ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n} ; a\right) \frac{\partial y_{\mu}}{\partial a}+f_{0 \nu}\left(x ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n} ; a\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial y_{v}}{\partial a}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad x=x_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We begin by proving the following lemma: when, for $x_{0} \leqq x \leqq x_{0}+h$, the continuous function $z=z(x)$ satisfies the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqq z \leqq \int_{x_{0}}^{x}(M z+A) d x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants $M$ and $A$ are positive or zero, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqq z \leqq A h e^{M h}, \quad .\left(x_{0} \leqq x \leqq x_{0}+h\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In analogy to the process of integrating a linear differential equation of the first order, we make $z=e^{M\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \cdot \zeta$; let the maximum of $\zeta$ on the closed interval $\left(x_{0}, x_{0}+h\right)$ occur at $x=x_{1}$. For this value of $x$, (6) gives

$$
0 \leqq e^{M\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)} \cdot \zeta_{\max } \leqq \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(M e^{M\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)} \zeta+A\right) d x
$$

whence by the first theorem of the mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leqq e^{M\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)} \zeta_{\max } & \leqq \zeta_{\max } \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} M e^{M\left(x-x_{0}\right)} d x+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} A d x \\
& =\zeta_{\max }\left(e^{M\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)}-1\right)+A\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or finally

$$
0 \leqq \zeta_{\max } \leqq A\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) \leqq A h
$$

from which (7) follows at once.
By (1) and (2) we have

$$
y_{\nu}=y_{\nu}^{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f_{1}\left(x ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n} ; a\right) d x
$$

denoting by $y_{\nu}{ }^{\prime}$ the solutions of (1) where the parameter $a$ has been replaced by $a^{\prime}\left(a_{1} \leqq a^{\prime} \leqq a_{2}\right)$ but the initial conditions remain unchanged, it follows that

$$
y_{\nu}^{\prime}-y_{\nu}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left[f_{\nu}\left(x ; y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime} ; a\right)-f_{\nu}\left(x ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n} ; a\right)\right] d x
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y_{\nu}^{\prime}-y_{\nu}}{a^{\prime}-a}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left[\sum_{\mu} \bar{f}_{\mu \nu} \cdot \frac{y_{\mu}^{\prime}-y_{\nu}}{a^{\prime}-a}+\bar{f}_{0 \nu}\right] d x \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{f}_{\mu \nu}=f_{\mu \nu}\left(x ; \eta_{1 \mu \nu}, \cdots, \eta_{n \mu \nu} ; \alpha_{\mu \nu}\right), \bar{f}_{0 \nu}=f_{0 \nu}\left(x ; \eta_{10 \nu}, \cdots, \eta_{n 0 \nu} ; \alpha_{0 \nu}\right)$ and the arguments $\eta_{\lambda \mu \nu}, \alpha_{\mu \nu}$ are intermediate between $y_{\lambda}$ and $y_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}, a$ and $a^{\prime}$ respectively.

Now denote by $M$ a constant not less than any of the expressions $n\left|f_{\mu \nu}\right|$, and by $A$ a constant not less than any of the expressions $\left|f_{0 \nu}\right|$ for all values of $x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}, a$ in the region (3). Moreover, denote by $z=z\left(x, a, a^{\prime}\right)$, where $x$ is variable, the greaiest of the $n$ expressions

$$
\left|\frac{y_{v}{ }^{\prime}-y_{v}}{a^{\prime}-a}\right| ;
$$

for fixed values of $a$ and $a^{\prime}(\neq a)$, this $z$ is evidently a continuous function of $x$ in $\left(x_{0}, x_{0}+h\right)$. With these definitions of $M, A$ and $z$, (8) immediately leads to (6), the lemma becomes applicable, and (7) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{y_{\nu}{ }^{\prime}-y_{v}}{a^{\prime}-a}\right| \leqq A h e^{\mu h} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, it follows that the $y_{\nu}$ are uniformly continuous functions of $a$. By the existence theorem, the system of differential equation

$$
\frac{d Y_{\nu}}{d x}=\sum_{\mu} f_{\mu \nu}\left(x ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n} ; a\right) Y_{\mu}+f_{.0 \nu}\left(x ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n} ; a\right)
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
Y_{\nu}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad x=x_{0}
$$

has a unique solution for $x$ in $\left(x_{0}, x_{0}+h\right)$ and $a$ in $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$. Our theorem is therefore proved if we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial y_{\nu}}{\partial a}=Y_{\nu} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (8), (4') and ( $5^{\prime}$ ) it follows at once that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{y_{\nu}^{\prime}-y_{\nu}}{a^{\prime}-a}-Y_{\nu}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left[\sum_{\mu} \bar{f}_{\mu \nu}\left(\frac{y_{\mu}^{\prime}-y_{\mu}}{a^{\prime}-a}-Y_{\mu}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{; \mu}\left(\bar{f}_{\mu \nu}-f_{\mu \nu}\right) Y_{\mu}+\bar{f}_{0 \nu}-f_{0 \nu}\right] d x \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and on account of the continuity of $y_{\nu}$ in respect to $a$ and the continuity of $f_{\mu \nu}, f_{0 \nu}$ in their arguments, we may make each of the $n$ expressions

$$
\left|\sum_{\mu}\left(\bar{f}_{\mu \nu}-f_{\mu \nu}\right) Y_{\mu}+\bar{f}_{0 \nu}-f_{0 \nu}\right|
$$

less than any assigned $\epsilon$ by taking $\left|a^{\prime}-a\right|$ sufficiently small. Denoting now by $z=z\left(x, a, a^{\prime}\right)$ the greatest of the $n$ expressions

$$
\left|\frac{y_{\nu}^{\prime}-y_{\nu}}{a^{\prime}-a}-Y_{\nu}\right|,
$$

this $z$ is a continuous function of $x$ for fixed values of $a$ and $a^{\prime}$, (11) leads to
(6) with $A=\epsilon$, and (7) gives

$$
\left|\frac{y_{\nu}^{\prime}-y_{\nu}}{a^{\prime}-a}-Y_{\nu}\right|<\epsilon h e^{M h}
$$

uniformly for $a, a^{\prime}$ in ( $a_{1}, a_{2}$ ), and this last inequality is equivalent to (10), which proves the theorem.
3. We now proceed to prove that the partial derivatives $\partial y / \partial y_{\lambda}{ }^{0}$ all exist and satisfy the differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\partial y_{\nu}}{\partial y_{\lambda}{ }^{0}}\right)=\sum_{\mu} f_{\mu \nu}\left(x ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n} ; a\right) \frac{\partial y_{\mu}}{\partial y_{\lambda}{ }^{0}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
\frac{\partial y_{\nu}}{\partial y_{\lambda}{ }^{0}}=\epsilon_{\lambda \nu}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \lambda=\nu  \tag{13}\\
0, \lambda \neq \nu
\end{array} \quad \text { for } \quad x=x_{0} .\right.
$$

This follows immediately from the preceding theorem by the substituting

$$
y_{\nu}=z_{\nu}+y_{\nu}{ }^{0},
$$

which gives the differential equations

$$
\frac{d z_{\nu}}{d x}=f_{\nu}\left(x ; z_{1}+y_{1}{ }^{0}, \cdots, z_{n}+y_{n}{ }^{0} ; a\right)
$$

with the initial conditions $z_{\nu}=0$ for $x=x_{0}$. Regarding $y_{\lambda}{ }^{0}$ as the parameter in the preceding theorem, we find

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\partial z_{\nu}}{\partial y_{\lambda}{ }^{0}}\right)=\sum_{\mu} f_{\mu \nu}\left(\frac{\partial z_{\mu}}{\partial y_{\lambda}{ }^{0}}+\epsilon_{\epsilon_{\mu}}\right)
$$

with the initial conditions $\partial z_{\nu} / \partial y_{\lambda}{ }^{0} \doteq 0$ for $x=x_{0}$, whence (12) and (13).
4. Finally, supposing the continuity and existence conditions which hold in (3) to be fulfilled also in the extended region

$$
x_{0}-h_{1} \leqq x \leqq x_{0}+h, \quad y_{v}{ }^{0}-k \leqq y_{v} \leqq y_{v}+k, \quad a_{1} \leqq a \leqq a_{2},
$$

the partial derivatives $\partial y_{\nu} / \partial x_{0}$ all exist and satisfy the differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{\partial y_{\nu}}{\partial x_{0}}\right)=\sum_{\mu} f_{\mu \nu}\left(x ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n} ; a\right) \frac{\partial y_{\mu}}{\partial x_{0}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial y_{\nu}}{\partial x_{0}}=-f_{\nu}\left(x_{0} ; y_{1}^{0}, \cdots, y_{n}^{0} ; a\right) \quad \text { for } \quad x=x_{0} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y_{v}{ }^{\prime}$ be the solutions of (1) when $x_{0}$ is replaced by $x_{0}{ }^{\prime}$, all $y_{v}{ }^{\circ}$ remaining
the same; then we obtain in the same manner as (8) was found

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{y_{\nu}^{\prime}-y_{\nu}}{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}-x_{0}}= & \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{f_{\nu}\left(x ; y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}{ }^{\prime} ; a\right)-f_{\nu}\left(x ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n} ; a\right)}{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}-x_{0}} d x \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}-x_{0}} \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{x_{0}}} f_{\nu}\left(x ; y_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}{ }^{\prime} ; a\right) d x \\
= & \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \sum_{\mu} \bar{f}_{\mu \nu} \frac{y_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}-y_{\mu}}{x_{0}{ }^{\prime}-x_{0}} d x-\bar{f}_{\nu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the auxiliary system

$$
\frac{d Y_{\nu}}{d x}=\sum_{\mu} f_{\mu \nu} Y_{\mu}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
Y_{\nu}=-f_{\nu}\left(x_{0} ; y_{1}{ }^{0}, \cdots, y_{n}{ }^{0} ; a\right) \quad \text { for } \quad x=x_{0}
$$

the proof then proceeds exactly as before.

