### Free Convolutions in Free Probability

Victor Pérez-Abreu Center for Research in Mathematics CIMAT Guanajuato, Mexico

Joint Analysis and Probability Seminar Department of Mathematics University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN, April 11, 2012

## Plan of the Seminar

- 1. Motivation: Asymptotic spectrum of random matrices.
- 2. Non-commutative probability spaces and free independence.
- 3. Free additive convolution: analytic approach.
  - 3.1 The Cauchy transform and its reciprocal.
  - 3.2 Voiculescu & free cumulants transforms.
  - 3.3 Free additive convolution of measures.
  - 3.4 Examples.
- 4. Free multiplicative convolution: analytic approach.
  - 4.1 S-transforms.
  - 4.2 Free multiplicative convolution of measures.
  - 4.3 Examples.
- 5. Overview Talks 2 and 3: Random Matrices and Infinite Divisibility (classical and free)

I. Pioneering work on Random Matrices by Eugene Wigner Ann Math. 1955, 1957, 1958

- ► Ensemble of random matrices: Sequence X = (X<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub>, where X<sub>n</sub> is n × n matrix with random entries.
- Wigner random matrices:

$$X_n(k,j) = X_n(j,k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{cases} Z_{j,k}, & \text{if } j < k \\ Y_j, & \text{if } j = k \end{cases}$$

 $\{Z_{j,k}\}_{j \leq k}$ ,  $\{Y_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  independent sequences of i.i.d. random variables with assumptions on the first two moments:  $\mathbb{E}Z_{1,2} = \mathbb{E}Y_1 = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}Z_{1,2}^2 = 1.$ 

- $\lambda_{n,1} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n,n}$  eigenvalues of  $X_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .
- Empirical spectral distribution (ESD) of X<sub>n</sub>:

$$\widehat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\lambda_{n,j} \leq x\}}.$$

• What is the limit of  $\widehat{F}_n$  (**ASD**) when  $n \to \infty$ ?

I. Pioneering work on RMT by E. Wigner Ann Math. 1955, 1957, 1958

Asymptotic spectral distribution (ASD):  $\hat{F}_n$  converges, as  $n \to \infty$ , to semicircle distribution on (-2, 2).

Theorem (Wigner)  $\forall f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0,$ 

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\int f(x)d\widehat{F}_n(x) - \int f(x)w(x)dx\right| > \varepsilon\right) = 0.$$

where w(x)dx is the semicircle distribution on (-2, 2)

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4-x^2}, \quad |x| \le 2.$$

## I. Marchenko-Pastur (1967): Wishart type RM

- $X_n = X_{p \times n}$  with i.i.d. entries under moment assumptions.
- Covariance matrix (p × p) S<sub>n</sub> = <sup>1</sup>/<sub>n</sub>X<sub>n</sub>X<sup>\*</sup><sub>n</sub>, with eigenvalues 0 ≤ λ<sub>p,1</sub> ≤ ... ≤ λ<sub>p,p</sub> and ESD F<sub>p</sub>(λ).
- If n/p 
  ightarrow c > 0,  $\widehat{F}_p$  converges to Marchenko-Pastur (MP)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{m}_{c}(\mathrm{d}x) &= \begin{cases} f_{c}(x)\mathrm{d}x, & \text{if } c \geq 1\\ (1-c)\delta_{0}(\mathrm{d}x) + f_{c}(x)\mathrm{d}x, & \text{if } 0 < c < 1, \end{cases}\\ f_{c}(x) &= \frac{c}{2\pi x}\sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)}\mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(x),\\ a &= (1-\sqrt{c})^{2}, \ b = (1+\sqrt{c})^{2}. \end{split}$$

## I. Marchenko-Pastur (1967): Wishart type RM

- $X_n = X_{p \times n}$  with i.i.d. entries under moment assumptions.
- Covariance matrix (p × p) S<sub>n</sub> = <sup>1</sup>/<sub>n</sub>X<sub>n</sub>X<sup>\*</sup><sub>n</sub>, with eigenvalues 0 ≤ λ<sub>p,1</sub> ≤ ... ≤ λ<sub>p,p</sub> and ESD F
  <sub>p</sub>(λ).
- If n/p 
  ightarrow c > 0,  $\widehat{F}_p$  converges to Marchenko-Pastur (MP)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{m}_{c}(\mathbf{d}x) &= \begin{cases} f_{c}(x)\mathbf{d}x, & \text{if } c \geq 1\\ (1-c)\delta_{0}(\mathbf{d}x) + f_{c}(x)\mathbf{d}x, & \text{if } 0 < c < 1, \end{cases}\\ f_{c}(x) &= \frac{c}{2\pi x}\sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)}\mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(x),\\ \mathbf{a} &= (1-\sqrt{c})^{2}, \ b = (1+\sqrt{c})^{2}. \end{split}$$

 Wireless communication (Talatar, 99): if C(p, n) is capacity of MIMO system of n receiver & p transmitter antennas,

$$\frac{C(p,n)}{p} \to \int_{a}^{b} \log_{2} (1 + cRx) \operatorname{m}_{c}(\mathrm{d}x) = K(c,R)$$

$$C(p,n) \sim pK(c,R).$$

### I. Motivation to study RMT and Free Probability From the Blog of Terence Tao (Free Probability, 2010):

- 1. The significance of free probability to random matrix theory lies in the fundamental observation that *random matrices which are independent in the classical sense, also tend to be independent in the free probability sense*, in the large limit.
- 2. This is only possible because of the highly *non-commutative nature* of these matrices; it is not possible for non-trivial commuting independent random variables to be *freely independent*.
- 3. Because of this, many tedious computations in random matrix theory, particularly those of an algebraic or enumerative combinatorial nature, can be done more quickly and systematically by using the *framework of free probability*.

1. A question: Knowing eigenvalues of  $n \times n$  random matrices

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 $X_n \& Y_n$ , what are the eigenvalues of  $X_n + Y_n$ ?

- 1. A question: Knowing eigenvalues of  $n \times n$  random matrices  $X_n \& Y_n$ , what are the eigenvalues of  $X_n + Y_n$ ?
  - 1.1 If  $X_n \& Y_n$  are asymptotically free, the ASD of  $X_n + Y_n$  is the "free convolution" of the ASD of  $X_n \& Y_n$ .

- 1. A question: Knowing eigenvalues of  $n \times n$  random matrices  $X_n \& Y_n$ , what are the eigenvalues of  $X_n + Y_n$ ?
  - 1.1 If  $X_n \& Y_n$  are asymptotically free, the ASD of  $X_n + Y_n$  is the "free convolution" of the ASD of  $X_n \& Y_n$ .

1.2 Several independent ensembles of random matrices become asymptotically free.

- 1. A question: Knowing eigenvalues of  $n \times n$  random matrices  $X_n \& Y_n$ , what are the eigenvalues of  $X_n + Y_n$ ?
  - 1.1 If  $X_n \& Y_n$  are asymptotically free, the ASD of  $X_n + Y_n$  is the "free convolution" of the ASD of  $X_n \& Y_n$ .

- 1.2 Several independent ensembles of random matrices become asymptotically free.
- 2. Classical analogous:

- 1. A question: Knowing eigenvalues of  $n \times n$  random matrices  $X_n \& Y_n$ , what are the eigenvalues of  $X_n + Y_n$ ?
  - 1.1 If  $X_n \& Y_n$  are asymptotically free, the ASD of  $X_n + Y_n$  is the "free convolution" of the ASD of  $X_n \& Y_n$ .
  - 1.2 Several independent ensembles of random matrices become asymptotically free.

### 2. Classical analogous:

2.1 If  $R_1 \& R_2$  are real independent r.v. with distributions  $\mu_1 \& \mu_2$ , the distribution of  $R_1 + R_2$  is the classical convolution

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2(E) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_1(E - x) \mu_2(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_2(E - x) \mu_1(dx).$$

- 1. A question: Knowing eigenvalues of  $n \times n$  random matrices  $X_n \& Y_n$ , what are the eigenvalues of  $X_n + Y_n$ ?
  - 1.1 If  $X_n \& Y_n$  are asymptotically free, the ASD of  $X_n + Y_n$  is the "free convolution" of the ASD of  $X_n \& Y_n$ .
  - 1.2 Several independent ensembles of random matrices become asymptotically free.

#### 2. Classical analogous:

2.1 If  $R_1 \& R_2$  are real independent r.v. with distributions  $\mu_1 \& \mu_2$ , the distribution of  $R_1 + R_2$  is the classical convolution

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2(E) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_1(E - x) \mu_2(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_2(E - x) \mu_1(dx).$$

2.2 Analytic tool for classical convolution is Fourier transform.

- 1. A question: Knowing eigenvalues of  $n \times n$  random matrices  $X_n \& Y_n$ , what are the eigenvalues of  $X_n + Y_n$ ?
  - 1.1 If  $X_n \& Y_n$  are asymptotically free, the ASD of  $X_n + Y_n$  is the "free convolution" of the ASD of  $X_n \& Y_n$ .
  - 1.2 Several independent ensembles of random matrices become asymptotically free.

#### 2. Classical analogous:

2.1 If  $R_1 \& R_2$  are real independent r.v. with distributions  $\mu_1 \& \mu_2$ , the distribution of  $R_1 + R_2$  is the classical convolution

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2(E) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_1(E - x) \mu_2(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_2(E - x) \mu_1(dx).$$

2.2 Analytic tool for classical convolution is Fourier transform.

#### 3. Today:

- 1. A question: Knowing eigenvalues of  $n \times n$  random matrices  $X_n \& Y_n$ , what are the eigenvalues of  $X_n + Y_n$ ?
  - 1.1 If  $X_n \& Y_n$  are asymptotically free, the ASD of  $X_n + Y_n$  is the "free convolution" of the ASD of  $X_n \& Y_n$ .
  - 1.2 Several independent ensembles of random matrices become asymptotically free.

#### 2. Classical analogous:

2.1 If  $R_1 \& R_2$  are real independent r.v. with distributions  $\mu_1 \& \mu_2$ , the distribution of  $R_1 + R_2$  is the classical convolution

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2(E) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_1(E - x) \mu_2(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_2(E - x) \mu_1(dx).$$

2.2 Analytic tool for classical convolution is Fourier transform.

#### 3. Today:

3.1 Asymptotically free random matrices.

- 1. A question: Knowing eigenvalues of  $n \times n$  random matrices  $X_n \& Y_n$ , what are the eigenvalues of  $X_n + Y_n$ ?
  - 1.1 If  $X_n \& Y_n$  are asymptotically free, the ASD of  $X_n + Y_n$  is the "free convolution" of the ASD of  $X_n \& Y_n$ .
  - 1.2 Several independent ensembles of random matrices become asymptotically free.

### 2. Classical analogous:

2.1 If  $R_1 \& R_2$  are real independent r.v. with distributions  $\mu_1 \& \mu_2$ , the distribution of  $R_1 + R_2$  is the classical convolution

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2(E) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_1(E - x) \mu_2(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_2(E - x) \mu_1(dx).$$

2.2 Analytic tool for classical convolution is Fourier transform.

#### 3. Today:

3.1 Asymptotically free random matrices.

3.2 Free convolution: analytic tools similar to classical case.

## I. Asymptotically free random matrices

Some facts about classical independence

► Two real random variables X<sub>1</sub> & X<sub>2</sub> are independent iff ∀ bounded Borel functions (B<sub>b</sub>(ℝ)) f, g

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X_1)g(X_2)) = \mathbb{E}(f(X_1))\mathbb{E}(g(X_2))$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left([f(X_1) - \mathbb{E}(f(X_1))][g(X_2) - \mathbb{E}(g(X_2))]\right) = 0.$$

## I. Asymptotically free random matrices

Some facts about classical independence

► Two real random variables X<sub>1</sub> & X<sub>2</sub> are independent iff ∀ bounded Borel functions (B<sub>b</sub>(ℝ)) f, g

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X_1)g(X_2)) = \mathbb{E}(f(X_1))\mathbb{E}(g(X_2))$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left([f(X_1) - \mathbb{E}(f(X_1))][g(X_2) - \mathbb{E}(g(X_2))]\right) = 0.$$

• iff  $\forall B_b(\mathbb{R})$  functions f, g

 $\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_1)g(X_2)
ight)=0$  whenever  $\mathbb{E}(f(X_1))=\mathbb{E}(g(X_2))=0.$ 

## I. Asymptotically free random matrices

Some facts about classical independence

► Two real random variables X<sub>1</sub> & X<sub>2</sub> are independent iff ∀ bounded Borel functions (B<sub>b</sub>(ℝ)) f, g

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X_1)g(X_2)) = \mathbb{E}(f(X_1))\mathbb{E}(g(X_2))$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left([f(X_1) - \mathbb{E}(f(X_1))][g(X_2) - \mathbb{E}(g(X_2))]\right) = 0.$$

• iff  $\forall B_b(\mathbb{R})$  functions f, g

 $\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_1)g(X_2)\right)=0$ 

whenever  $\mathbb{E}(f(X_1)) = \mathbb{E}(g(X_2)) = 0.$ 

▶ iff ( $X_1$  &  $X_2$  are bounded)  $\forall$   $n,m \ge 1$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(X_1^n - \mathbb{E}X_1^n)(X_2^m - \mathbb{E}X_2^m)\right] = 0$$
$$\mathbb{E}X_1^n X_2^m = \mathbb{E}X_1^n \mathbb{E}X_2^m.$$

Then independence allows to compute all joint moments, so the moments of  $X_1 + X_2$ .

For an ensemble of Hermitian random matrices X = (X<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> define "expectation" τ as the linear functional τ, (τ(I) = 1)

$$\tau(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[ \operatorname{tr}(X_n) \right].$$

For an ensemble of Hermitian random matrices X = (X<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> define "expectation" τ as the linear functional τ, (τ(I) = 1)

$$\tau(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[ \operatorname{tr}(X_n) \right].$$

▶ Two Hermitian ensembles  $X_1 \& X_2$  are asymptotically free (AF) if  $\forall r \in Z_+$  & polynomials  $p_i(\cdot)$ ,  $q_i(\cdot)$ ,  $1 \le i \le r$  with

$$au(oldsymbol{p}_i(oldsymbol{X}_1)) = au(oldsymbol{q}_i(oldsymbol{X}_2)) = 0$$
,

we have

$$\tau(p_1(\mathbf{X}_1)q_1(\mathbf{X}_2)...p_r(\mathbf{X}_1)q_r(\mathbf{X}_2))=0.$$

For an ensemble of Hermitian random matrices X = (X<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> define "expectation" τ as the linear functional τ, (τ(I) = 1)

$$\tau(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[ \operatorname{tr}(X_n) \right].$$

▶ Two Hermitian ensembles  $X_1 \& X_2$  are asymptotically free (AF) if  $\forall r \in Z_+$  & polynomials  $p_i(\cdot)$ ,  $q_i(\cdot)$ ,  $1 \le i \le r$  with

$$au(p_i(\mathbf{X}_1)) = au(q_i(\mathbf{X}_2)) = 0$$
,

we have

$$\tau(p_1(\mathbf{X}_1)q_1(\mathbf{X}_2)...p_r(\mathbf{X}_1)q_r(\mathbf{X}_2))=0.$$

If X<sub>1</sub> & X<sub>2</sub> are independent zero-mean non constant real r.v., then X<sub>1</sub> = X<sub>1</sub>I & X<sub>2</sub> = X<sub>2</sub>I are not AF.

For an ensemble of Hermitian random matrices X = (X<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> define "expectation" τ as the linear functional τ, (τ(I) = 1)

$$\tau(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[ \operatorname{tr}(X_n) \right].$$

▶ Two Hermitian ensembles  $X_1 \& X_2$  are asymptotically free (AF) if  $\forall r \in Z_+$  & polynomials  $p_i(\cdot)$ ,  $q_i(\cdot)$ ,  $1 \le i \le r$  with

$$au(p_i(\mathbf{X}_1)) = au(q_i(\mathbf{X}_2)) = 0$$
,

we have

$$\tau(p_1(\mathbf{X}_1)q_1(\mathbf{X}_2)...p_r(\mathbf{X}_1)q_r(\mathbf{X}_2))=0.$$

- If X<sub>1</sub> & X<sub>2</sub> are independent zero-mean non constant real r.v., then X<sub>1</sub> = X<sub>1</sub>I & X<sub>2</sub> = X<sub>2</sub>I are not AF.
- If X<sub>1</sub> & X<sub>2</sub> are AF and commute, one has to be deterministic.

For an ensemble of Hermitian random matrices X = (X<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> define "expectation" τ as the linear functional τ, (τ(I) = 1)

$$\tau(\mathbf{X}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[ \operatorname{tr}(X_n) \right].$$

▶ Two Hermitian ensembles  $X_1 \& X_2$  are asymptotically free (AF) if  $\forall r \in Z_+$  & polynomials  $p_i(\cdot)$ ,  $q_i(\cdot)$ ,  $1 \le i \le r$  with

$$au(p_i(\mathbf{X}_1)) = au(q_i(\mathbf{X}_2)) = 0$$
,

we have

$$\tau(p_1(\mathbf{X}_1)q_1(\mathbf{X}_2)...p_r(\mathbf{X}_1)q_r(\mathbf{X}_2))=0.$$

- If X<sub>1</sub> & X<sub>2</sub> are independent zero-mean non constant real r.v., then X<sub>1</sub> = X<sub>1</sub>I & X<sub>2</sub> = X<sub>2</sub>I are not AF.
- If X<sub>1</sub> & X<sub>2</sub> are AF and commute, one has to be deterministic.
   Non-commutative word!

I. Asymptotically free RM: Examples

- 1. **X** and  $I = (I_n)$  are AF.
- 2. If **X** and **Y** are *independent Wigner ensembles*, they are AF.
- If X and Y are independent standard Gaussian ensembles, then XX\* and YY\* are AF.
- 4. If X and Y independent Wishart ensembles, they are AF.
- 5. If **U** and **V** are independent unitarily ensembles, they are AF.
- If A, B are deterministic ensembles whose ASD have compact support & U is an unitary ensemble, then UAU\* & B are AF.

II. Free probability: Non-commutative probability spaces

### Definitions

(i) A non-commutative probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  is a unital algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  with a linear functional  $\tau : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$  with  $\tau(\mathbf{1}) = 1$ . Elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are called *non-commutative random variables*.

(ii)  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  is *C*\*-*probability space* if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *C*\*-algebra and  $\tau$  is a positive linear functional.

(iii)  $(A, \tau)$  is  $W^*$ -probability space if A is a  $W^*$ -algebra and  $\tau$  is a normal faithful trace.

## II. Non-commutative r.v. with a given distribution

#### Fact

(i) Given a p.m.  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with bounded support, there exist a  $C^*$ -probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  and a self-adjoint  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$  with

$$au(f(\mathbf{a})) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x), \quad \forall f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}).$$

#### Fact

(ii) **Given a p.m.**  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists a  $W^*$ -probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$  and self-adjoint operator **a** on a Hilbert space H such that

$$f(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathcal{A} \quad \forall f \in B_b(\mathbb{R}),$$
 (1)

$$au(f(\mathbf{a})) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x), \quad \forall f \in B_b(\mathbb{R}).$$

If (1) holds, it is said that  $\mathbf{a}$  is affiliated with  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## II. Free Random Variables

### Definitions

(i) A family of  $W^*$ -subalgebras  $\{A_i\}_{i\in I} \subset A$  in a  $W^*$ -probability space is *free* if

$$\tau(\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\cdots\mathbf{a}_n)=\mathbf{0}$$

whenever  $\tau(\mathbf{a}_j) = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i_j}$ , and  $i_1 \neq i_2, i_2 \neq i_3, ..., i_{n-1} \neq i_n$ .

(iii) If  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a family of free  $W^*$ -subalgebras &  $\mathbf{a}_i$  is affiliated with  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , the r.v.  $\{\mathbf{a}_i\}_{i \in I}$  are called *freely independent*.

#### Fact

**Given**  $\mu_1 \& \mu_2 p.m.$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exist a  $W^*$ -probability space,  $W^*$ -subalgebras  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$  and self-adjoint operators  $\mathbf{a}_1$  and  $\mathbf{a}_2$  on a Hilbert space H affiliated with  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  respectively, such that

(i) a<sub>i</sub> has distribution μ<sub>i</sub>
(i) a<sub>1</sub> and a<sub>2</sub> are freely independent.

II. Free independence allows to compute joint moments Example

Computation of  $\tau(abab)$  when a & b are freely independent: Suppose  $\{a_1, a_3\}$  and  $\{a_2, a_4\}$  are freely independent. Since

$$au(\mathbf{a}_i - au(\mathbf{a}_i)\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathbf{0}_i$$

$$\tau(\mathbf{a}_1 - \tau(\mathbf{a}_1)\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}})\tau(\mathbf{a}_2 - \tau(\mathbf{a}_2)\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}})\tau(\mathbf{a}_3 - \tau(\mathbf{a}_3)\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}})\tau(\mathbf{a}_4 - \tau(\mathbf{a}_4)\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0.$$

Computations yield

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2\mathbf{a}_3\mathbf{a}_4) &= \tau(\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_3)\tau(\mathbf{a}_2)\tau(\mathbf{a}_4) + \tau(\mathbf{a}_1)\tau(\mathbf{a}_3)\tau(\mathbf{a}_2\mathbf{a}_4) \\ &- \tau(\mathbf{a}_1)\tau(\mathbf{a}_2)\tau(\mathbf{a}_3)\tau(\mathbf{a}_4). \end{aligned}$$

In particular if  $\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_4 = \mathbf{b}$ 

$$\tau(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}) = \tau(\mathbf{a})^2 \tau(\mathbf{b}^2) + \tau(\mathbf{a}^2)\tau(\mathbf{b})^2 - \tau(\mathbf{a})^2 \tau(\mathbf{b})^2 \neq \tau(\mathbf{a})^2 \tau(\mathbf{b})^2.$$

## II. Application: Free Central Limit Theorem

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2,...$  be a sequence of independent free random variables with the same distribution with all moments. Assume that  $\tau(\mathbf{a}_1) = 0$  and  $\tau(\mathbf{a}_1^2) = 1$ . Then the distribution of

$$\mathbf{Z}_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}(\mathbf{a}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{a}_m)$$

converges to the semicircle distribution as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Idea of proof: Show that the moments \(\mathcal{T}\_m\), k ≥ 1, converge to the moments of the semicircle distribution m<sub>2k+1</sub> = 0 and

$$m_{2k} = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k}$$

using combinatorics of noncrossing partitions.

# II. Free Additive and Multiplicative Convolution

### Definition

Let  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$  be free random variables with distributions  $\mu_1 \& \mu_2$ . The distribution of  $\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2$  is the *free additive convolution* of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  and it is denoted by

 $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# II. Free Additive and Multiplicative Convolution

### Definition

Let  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$  be free random variables with distributions  $\mu_1 \& \mu_2$ . The distribution of  $\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2$  is the *free additive convolution* of  $\mu_1$ and  $\mu_2$  and it is denoted by  $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$ .

### Definition

Let  $\mu_1$  have positive support. Then  $\mathbf{a}_1$  is a positive self-adjoint operator and the distribution of  $\mathbf{a}_1^{1/2}$  is uniquely determined by  $\mu_1$ . The distribution of the self-adjoint operator  $\mathbf{a}_1^{1/2}\mathbf{a}_2\mathbf{a}_1^{1/2}$  is determined by  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ . This measure is the *free multiplicative convolution* of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  and it is denoted by

$$\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2.$$

# II. Free Additive and Multiplicative Convolutions

## Definition

Let  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$  be free random variables with distributions  $\mu_1 \& \mu_2$ . The distribution of  $\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2$  is the *free additive convolution* of  $\mu_1$ and  $\mu_2$  and it is denoted by  $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$ .

### Definition

Let  $\mu_1$  have positive support. Then  $\mathbf{a}_1$  is a positive self-adjoint operator and the distribution of  $\mathbf{a}_1^{1/2}$  is uniquely determined by  $\mu_1$ . The distribution of the self-adjoint operator  $\mathbf{a}_1^{1/2}\mathbf{a}_2\mathbf{a}_1^{1/2}$  is determined by  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ . This measure is the *free multiplicative convolution* of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  and it is denoted by  $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$ .

### Questions and purpose of the talk:

Can µ1 ⊠ µ2 & µ1 ⊠ µ2 be considered merely as two new types of "convolutions" in the set of probability measures on ℝ?
 What are the analytic tools to study them?

## III. Free additive convolution: Analytic approach

Recall the classical convolution case

 $\blacktriangleright$  Fourier transform of probability measure  $\mu$  on  ${\mathbb R}$ 

$$\widehat{\mu}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} s x} \mu(\mathrm{d} x), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

# III. Free additive convolution: Analytic approach

Recall the classical convolution case

 $\blacktriangleright$  Fourier transform of probability measure  $\mu$  on  ${\mathbb R}$ 

$$\widehat{\mu}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} s x} \mu(\mathrm{d} x), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Cumulant transform

$$c_\mu(s) = \log \widehat{\mu}(s), \quad s \in S.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# III. Free additive convolution: Analytic approach

Recall the classical convolution case

 $\blacktriangleright$  Fourier transform of probability measure  $\mu$  on  ${\mathbb R}$ 

$$\widehat{\mu}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} s x} \mu(\mathrm{d} x), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Cumulant transform

$$c_\mu(s) = \log \widehat{\mu}(s), \quad s \in S.$$

•  $\mu_1 * \mu_2$  is the unique p.m. with  $\widehat{\mu_1 * \mu_2}(s) = \widehat{\mu}_1(s) \ \widehat{\mu}_2(s)$  or  $c_{\mu_1 * \mu_2}(s) = c_{\mu_1}(s) + c_{\mu_2}(s).$
# III. Free additive convolution: Analytic approach

Recall the classical convolution case

 $\blacktriangleright$  Fourier transform of probability measure  $\mu$  on  ${\mathbb R}$ 

$$\widehat{\mu}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} s x} \mu(\mathrm{d} x), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Cumulant transform

$$c_\mu(s) = \log \widehat{\mu}(s), \quad s \in S.$$

•  $\mu_1 * \mu_2$  is the unique p.m. with  $\widehat{\mu_1 * \mu_2}(s) = \widehat{\mu}_1(s) \ \widehat{\mu}_2(s)$  or

$$c_{\mu_1*\mu_2}(s) = c_{\mu_1}(s) + c_{\mu_2}(s).$$

Cumulant transform linearizes classical convolution.

# III. Free additive convolution: Analytic approach

Recall the classical convolution case

 $\blacktriangleright$  Fourier transform of probability measure  $\mu$  on  ${\mathbb R}$ 

$$\widehat{\mu}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} s x} \mu(\mathrm{d} x), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Cumulant transform

$$c_\mu(s) = \log \widehat{\mu}(s), \quad s \in S.$$

•  $\mu_1 * \mu_2$  is the unique p.m. with  $\widehat{\mu_1 * \mu_2}(s) = \widehat{\mu}_1(s) \ \widehat{\mu}_2(s)$  or

$$c_{\mu_1*\mu_2}(s) = c_{\mu_1}(s) + c_{\mu_2}(s).$$

- Cumulant transform linearizes classical convolution.
- If X<sub>1</sub> & X<sub>2</sub> are classical independent r.v. with distributions µ<sub>1</sub> &µ<sub>2</sub>, then X<sub>1</sub> + X<sub>2</sub> has distribution µ<sub>1</sub> ∗ µ<sub>2</sub>.

• Cauchy transform (CT) of a p.m. $\mu$ ,  $G_{\mu}(z) : \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^-$ 

$$G_{\mu}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z-x} \mu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

• Cauchy transform (CT) of a p.m. $\mu$ ,  $G_{\mu}(z): \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^-$ 

$$G_{\mu}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z-x} \mu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

• Analytic function  $G = \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^-$  is CT of a p.m.  $\mu$  iff

$$iyG(iy) 
ightarrow 1$$
, asy  $ightarrow \infty$ .

• Cauchy transform (CT) of a p.m. $\mu$ ,  $G_{\mu}(z): \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^-$ 

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} rac{1}{z-x} \mu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

• Analytic function  $G = \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^-$  is CT of a p.m.  $\mu$  iff

iy
$${\sf G}({\it iy}) 
ightarrow 1$$
, asy  $ightarrow \infty$ .

Stieltjes inversion formula

$$\mu((t_{0}, t_{1}]) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\delta \to 0+} \lim_{y \to 0+} \int_{t_{0}+\delta}^{t_{1}+\delta} \operatorname{Im}(G_{\mu}(x+iy)) dx, \quad t_{0} < t_{1}.$$

• Cauchy transform (CT) of a p.m. $\mu$ ,  $G_{\mu}(z): \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^-$ 

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} rac{1}{z-x} \mu(\mathrm{d} x).$$

• Analytic function  $G = \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^-$  is CT of a p.m.  $\mu$  iff

iy
$${\sf G}({\sf i}{\sf y}) o 1$$
, asy  $o \infty$ .

Stieltjes inversion formula

$$\mu((t_0, t_1]) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\delta \to 0+} \lim_{y \to 0+} \int_{t_0+\delta}^{t_1+\delta} \operatorname{Im}(G_{\mu}(x+iy)) dx, \quad t_0 < t_1.$$

• If  $\mu$  is a.c. w.r.t. Lebesgue measure with density  $f_{\mu}$ ,

$$f_\mu(x) = -rac{1}{\pi} \lim_{y 
ightarrow 0+} \operatorname{Im} G_\mu(x + iy).$$

• Cauchy transform (CT) of a p.m. $\mu$ ,  $G_{\mu}(z): \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^-$ 

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} rac{1}{z-x} \mu(\mathrm{d} x).$$

• Analytic function  $G = \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^-$  is CT of a p.m.  $\mu$  iff

iy
$${\sf G}({\sf i}{\sf y}) o 1$$
, as ${\sf y} o \infty$ .

Stieltjes inversion formula

$$\mu((t_0, t_1]) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\delta \to 0+} \lim_{y \to 0+} \int_{t_0+\delta}^{t_1+\delta} \operatorname{Im}(G_{\mu}(x+iy)) dx, \quad t_0 < t_1.$$

• If  $\mu$  is a.c. w.r.t. Lebesgue measure with density  $f_{\mu}$ ,

$$f_{\mu}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{y \to 0+} \operatorname{Im} G_{\mu}(x + iy).$$

• Weak convergence of probability measures is metricized by  $d(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \sup \left\{ \left| G_{\mu_1}(z) - G_{\mu_2}(z) \right|; \operatorname{Im}(z) \ge 1 \right\}.$ 

• 
$$\underline{G}_{\mu}(z): \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^+,$$

$$\underline{G}_{\mu}(z) = 1/G_{\mu}(z).$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

• 
$$\underline{G}_{\mu}(z): \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^+$$
,

$$\underline{G}_{\mu}(z) = 1/G_{\mu}(z).$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

•  $\underline{G}_{\mu}$  is basic tool in free, monotone and Boolean convolutions.

• 
$$\underline{G}_{\mu}(z): \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^+$$
,

$$\underline{G}_{\mu}(z) = 1/G_{\mu}(z).$$

•  $\underline{G}_{\mu}$  is basic tool in free, monotone and Boolean convolutions.

► Bercovici & Voiculescu (93): Right inverse  $\underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}$  of  $\underline{G}_{\mu}$  exists in  $\Gamma = \cup_{\alpha>0}\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta_{\alpha}}$ , where

$$\Gamma_{lpha,eta}=\{z=x+iy: y>eta,\, x ,  $lpha>0,eta>0$ .$$

• 
$$\underline{G}_{\mu}(z): \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^+$$
,

$$\underline{G}_{\mu}(z) = 1/G_{\mu}(z).$$

•  $\underline{G}_{\mu}$  is basic tool in free, monotone and Boolean convolutions.

► Bercovici & Voiculescu (93): Right inverse  $\underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}$  of  $\underline{G}_{\mu}$  exists in  $\Gamma = \cup_{\alpha>0}\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta_{\alpha}}$ , where

$$\Gamma_{lpha,eta} = \{z = x + iy : y > eta, x < lpha y\}$$
,  $lpha > 0, eta > 0$ .

Voiculescu transform

$$\phi_{\mu}(z) = \underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(z) - z, \quad z \in \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

• 
$$\underline{G}_{\mu}(z): \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^+$$
,

$$\underline{G}_{\mu}(z) = 1/G_{\mu}(z).$$

•  $\underline{G}_{\mu}$  is basic tool in free, monotone and Boolean convolutions.

• Bercovici & Voiculescu (93): Right inverse  $\underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}$  of  $\underline{G}_{\mu}$  exists in  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{\alpha>0} \Gamma_{\alpha,\beta_{\alpha}}$ , where

$$\Gamma_{lpha,eta} = \{z = x + iy : y > eta, \ x < lpha y\}$$
 ,  $lpha > 0, eta > 0$ .

Voiculescu transform

$$\phi_{\mu}(z) = \underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(z) - z, \quad z \in \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

•  $(\mu_n)_{n\geq 1}$  converges weakly to  $\mu$  if and only if  $\exists \alpha, \beta$  such that  $\phi_{\mu_n}(z) \rightarrow \phi_{\mu}(z)$  in compact sets of  $\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}$ .

Free cumulant transforms

Voiculescu transform

$$\phi_{\mu}(z) = \underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(z) - z, \quad z \in \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Free cumulant transforms

Voiculescu transform

$$\phi_{\mu}(z) = \underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(z) - z, \quad z \in \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Free cumulant transform

$$C_{\mu}(z) = z\phi_{\mu}(\frac{1}{z}) = z\underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(\frac{1}{z}) - 1.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Free cumulant transforms

Voiculescu transform

$$\phi_{\mu}(z) = \underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(z) - z, \quad z \in \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

Free cumulant transform

$$C_{\mu}(z)=z\phi_{\mu}(\frac{1}{z})=z\underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(\frac{1}{z})-1.$$

•  $\mu$  can be recovered from the cumulant transform

$$G_\mu(rac{1}{z}(C_\mu(z)+1))=z.$$

Free cumulant transforms

Voiculescu transform

$$\phi_{\mu}(z) = \underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(z) - z, \quad z \in \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Free cumulant transform

$$C_{\mu}(z) = z\phi_{\mu}(\frac{1}{z}) = z\underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(\frac{1}{z}) - 1.$$

•  $\mu$  can be recovered from the cumulant transform

$$G_{\mu}(\frac{1}{z}(C_{\mu}(z)+1))=z.$$

R-transform

$$R_{\mu}(z) = \underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(\frac{1}{z}) - \frac{1}{z}$$

Free cumulant transforms

Voiculescu transform

$$\phi_{\mu}(z) = \underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(z) - z, \quad z \in \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Free cumulant transform

$$C_{\mu}(z) = z\phi_{\mu}(\frac{1}{z}) = z\underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(\frac{1}{z}) - 1.$$

•  $\mu$  can be recovered from the cumulant transform

$$G_{\mu}(\frac{1}{z}(C_{\mu}(z)+1))=z.$$

R-transform

$$R_{\mu}(z) = \underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(\frac{1}{z}) - \frac{1}{z}$$

•  $\phi_{\mu}$ ,  $C_{\mu}$  and  $R_{\mu}$  linearize free additive convolution.

#### III. Free additive convolution

Analytic definition

▶ For  $\mu_1$  &  $\mu_2$  p.m. on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$  is the unique p.m. on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\phi_{\mu_1\boxplus\mu_2}(z)=\phi_{\mu_1}(z)+\phi_{\mu_2}(z),\quad z\in\Gamma^{\mu_1}_{lpha_1,eta_1}\cap\Gamma^{\mu_2}_{lpha_2,eta_2}$$

or equivalently

$$C_{\mu_1\boxplus\mu_2}(z) = C_{\mu_1}(z) + C_{\mu_2}(z).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### III. Free additive convolution

Analytic definition

▶ For  $\mu_1$  &  $\mu_2$  p.m. on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$  is the unique p.m. on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\phi_{\mu_1\boxplus\mu_2}(z)=\phi_{\mu_1}(z)+\phi_{\mu_2}(z),\quad z\in\Gamma^{\mu_1}_{lpha_1,eta_1}\cap\Gamma^{\mu_2}_{lpha_2,eta_2}$$

or equivalently

$$C_{\mu_1\boxplus\mu_2}(z) = C_{\mu_1}(z) + C_{\mu_2}(z).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

If a<sub>1</sub> & a<sub>2</sub> are free independent with distributions µ<sub>1</sub> & µ<sub>2</sub>, then µ<sub>1</sub> ⊞ µ<sub>2</sub> is the distribution of a<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>.

#### III. Free additive convolution

Analytic definition

▶ For  $\mu_1$  &  $\mu_2$  p.m. on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu_1 \boxplus \mu_2$  is the unique p.m. on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\phi_{\mu_1\boxplus\mu_2}(z)=\phi_{\mu_1}(z)+\phi_{\mu_2}(z),\quad z\in\Gamma^{\mu_1}_{lpha_1,eta_1}\cap\Gamma^{\mu_2}_{lpha_2,eta_2}$$

or equivalently

$$C_{\mu_1\boxplus\mu_2}(z) = C_{\mu_1}(z) + C_{\mu_2}(z).$$

- If a<sub>1</sub> & a<sub>2</sub> are free independent with distributions µ<sub>1</sub> & µ<sub>2</sub>, then µ<sub>1</sub> ⊞ µ<sub>2</sub> is the distribution of a<sub>1</sub> + a<sub>2</sub>.
- If (X<sup>1</sup><sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub>, (X<sup>2</sup><sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> are asymptotically free random matrices with ASD µ<sub>1</sub> & µ<sub>2</sub>, then (X<sup>1</sup><sub>n</sub> + X<sup>2</sup><sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> has ASD µ<sub>1</sub> ⊞ µ<sub>2</sub>.

#### IV. Example: free convolution of Wigners

Semicircle distribution  $w_{m,\sigma^2}$  on  $(m-2\sigma,m+2\sigma)$  centered at m

$$w_{m,\sigma^2}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\sqrt{4\sigma^2 - (x-m)^2}\mathbf{1}_{[m-2\sigma,m+2\sigma]}(x).$$

Cauchy transform:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{W}_{m,\sigma^2}}(z)=rac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(z-\sqrt{(z-m)^2-4\sigma^2}
ight)$$
 ,

Free cumulant transform:

$$C_{W_{m,\sigma^2}}(z) = mz + \sigma^2 z.$$

 $\boxplus$ -convolution of Wigner distributions is a Wigner distribution:

$$\mathbf{w}_{m_1,\sigma_1^2} \boxplus \mathbf{w}_{m_2,\sigma_2^2} = \mathbf{w}_{m_1+m_2,\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2}.$$

### III. Free additive convolutions: Examples

Marchenko-Pastur distribution

c > 0

$$m_c(dx) = (1-c)_+ \delta_0 + \frac{c}{2\pi x} \sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)} \ \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(x) dx.$$

Cauchy transform

$$G_{m_c} = rac{1}{2} - rac{\sqrt{(z-a)(z-b)}}{2z} + rac{1-c}{2z}$$

Free cumulant transform

$$C_{\mathbf{m}_c}(z)=\frac{cz}{1-z}.$$

 $\boxplus$ -convolution of MP distributions is a MP distribution:

$$\mathbf{m}_{c_1} \boxplus \mathbf{m}_{c_2} = \mathbf{m}_{c_1+c_2}$$

#### III. Free additive convolutions: Examples Cauchy distribution

 $\sigma >$  0, Cauchy distribution

$$c_{\sigma}(dx) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + x^2} dx$$

Cauchy transform

$$G_{c_{\sigma}}(z) = \frac{1}{z + \sigma i}$$

Free cumulant transform

$$C_{c_{\sigma}}(z) = -i\sigma z$$

⊞-convolution of Cauchy distributions is a Cauchy distribution

$$\mathbf{c}_{\sigma_1} \boxplus \mathbf{c}_{\sigma_2} = \mathbf{c}_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}.$$

## III. Free additive convolutions: Examples

Pathological example

What is  $b \boxplus b$  when b is symmetric Bernoulli distribution

$$b(dx) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{\{-1\}}(dx) + \delta_{\{1\}}(dx) \right) ?.$$

Cauchy transform:

$$G_{\rm b}(z)=\frac{z}{z^2-1}.$$

Free cumulant transform:

$$C_{\rm b}(z) = rac{1}{2}(\sqrt{1+4z^2}-1).$$

Then

$$C_{b\boxplus b}(z) = \sqrt{1+4z^2} - 1.$$

Solving for  $\mu = b \boxplus b$ 

$$G_{\mu}(\frac{1}{z}(C_{\mu}(z)+1))=z.$$

# III. Free additive convolutions: Examples

Pathological example

Solving for  $b \boxplus b$ 

$$egin{aligned} G_{ ext{b}\boxplus ext{b}}&(rac{1}{z}(\sqrt{1+4z^2})=z), \ G_{ ext{b}\boxplus ext{b}}&(z)&=rac{1}{\sqrt{z^2-4}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the Cauchy transform of the arcsine distribution

$$a(dx) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}} \mathbf{1}_{(-1,1)}(x) dx.$$

Then

$$b \boxplus b = a$$
.

Free additive convolution of atomic distributions may be absolutely continuous!

Classical multiplicative convolution of random variables

▶ Given independent classical r.v. X > 0, Y > 0, with distribution µ<sub>X</sub>, µ<sub>Y</sub>, what is the distribution µ<sub>XY</sub> of XY?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Classical multiplicative convolution of random variables

- ▶ Given independent classical r.v. X > 0, Y > 0, with distribution µ<sub>X</sub>, µ<sub>Y</sub>, what is the distribution µ<sub>XY</sub> of XY?
- Analytic tool: Mellin transform

$$M_{\mu_X}(z) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_X}\left[X^{z-1}\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{z-1} \mu_X(\mathrm{d} x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Classical multiplicative convolution of random variables

- ▶ Given independent classical r.v. X > 0, Y > 0, with distribution µ<sub>X</sub>, µ<sub>Y</sub>, what is the distribution µ<sub>XY</sub> of XY?
- Analytic tool: Mellin transform

$$M_{\mu_X}(z) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_X}\left[X^{z-1}\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{z-1} \mu_X(\mathrm{d} x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

•  $M_{\mu_X}$  characterizes  $\mu_X$ .

Classical multiplicative convolution of random variables

- ▶ Given independent classical r.v. X > 0, Y > 0, with distribution µ<sub>X</sub>, µ<sub>Y</sub>, what is the distribution µ<sub>XY</sub> of XY?
- Analytic tool: Mellin transform

$$M_{\mu_X}(z) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_X}\left[X^{z-1}\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{z-1} \mu_X(\mathrm{d} x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- $M_{\mu_X}$  characterizes  $\mu_X$ .
- Analytic rule to find distribution µXY

$$M_{\mu_{XY}}(z) = M_{\mu_X}(z)M_{\mu_Y}(z).$$

Classical multiplicative convolution of random variables

- ▶ Given independent classical r.v. X > 0, Y > 0, with distribution µ<sub>X</sub>, µ<sub>Y</sub>, what is the distribution µ<sub>XY</sub> of XY?
- Analytic tool: Mellin transform

$$M_{\mu_{X}}(z) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_{X}}\left[X^{z-1}\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{z-1} \mu_{X}(\mathrm{d}x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- $M_{\mu_X}$  characterizes  $\mu_X$ .
- Analytic rule to find distribution µXY

$$M_{\mu_{XY}}(z)=M_{\mu_X}(z)M_{\mu_Y}(z).$$

•  $\mu_{XY}$  the classical multiplicative convolution of  $\mu_X \& \mu_Y$ 

Classical multiplicative convolution of random variables

- ▶ Given independent classical r.v. X > 0, Y > 0, with distribution µ<sub>X</sub>, µ<sub>Y</sub>, what is the distribution µ<sub>XY</sub> of XY?
- Analytic tool: Mellin transform

$$M_{\mu_X}(z) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu_X}\left[X^{z-1}\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{z-1} \mu_X(\mathrm{d} x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- $M_{\mu_X}$  characterizes  $\mu_X$ .
- Analytic rule to find distribution µXY

$$M_{\mu_{XY}}(z) = M_{\mu_X}(z)M_{\mu_Y}(z).$$

- $\mu_{XY}$  the classical multiplicative convolution of  $\mu_X \& \mu_Y$
- Analogous in free probability?

For distributions with nonnegative support: Bercovici & Voiculescu (93)

•  $\Psi_{\mu}$ -transform of a general probability distribution  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb R$ 

$$\Psi_\mu(z)=rac{1}{z}G_\mu(rac{1}{z})-1.$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

For distributions with nonnegative support: Bercovici & Voiculescu (93)

•  $\Psi_{\mu}$ -transform of a general probability distribution  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb R$ 

$$\Psi_\mu(z)=rac{1}{z}G_\mu(rac{1}{z})-1.$$

• If  $\mu$  has compact support and  $m_k(\mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k \mu(dt)$ 

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(z) = z^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k(\mu) z^{-k-1}, & |z| > r_{\mu}, \ & \Psi_{\mu}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k(\mu) z^k, & |z| < r_{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

For distributions with nonnegative support: Bercovici & Voiculescu (93)

•  $\Psi_{\mu}$ -transform of a general probability distribution  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb R$ 

$$\Psi_\mu(z)=rac{1}{z}G_\mu(rac{1}{z})-1.$$

• If  $\mu$  has compact support and  $m_k(\mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k \mu(dt)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(z) &= z^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k(\mu) z^{-k-1}, \quad |z| > r_{\mu}, \\ \Psi_{\mu}(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k(\mu) z^k, \quad |z| < r_{\mu}. \end{split}$$
 If  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}^+$ ,  $\exists \ \chi_{\mu} : \Psi_{\mu}(i\mathbb{C}_+) \to i\mathbb{C}_+$  inverse of  $\ \Psi_{\mu}. \end{split}$ 

For distributions with nonnegative support: Bercovici & Voiculescu (93)

•  $\Psi_{\mu}$ -transform of a general probability distribution  $\mu$  on  ${\mathbb R}$ 

$$\Psi_{\mu}(z) = \frac{1}{z}G_{\mu}(\frac{1}{z}) - 1$$

• If  $\mu$  has compact support and  $m_k(\mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k \mu(dt)$ 

$$G_{\mu}(z) = z^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k(\mu) z^{-k-1}, \quad |z| > r_{\mu},$$

$$\Psi_{\mu}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k(\mu) z^k$$
,  $|z| < r_{\mu}$ 

► If  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}^+$ ,  $\exists \chi_{\mu} : \Psi_{\mu}(i\mathbb{C}_+) \to i\mathbb{C}_+$  inverse of  $\Psi_{\mu}$ .

The S-transform of µ is defined by

$$S_{\mu}(z) = \chi(z) \frac{1+z}{z}$$

For distributions with nonnegative support: Bercovici & Voiculescu (93)

•  $\Psi_{\mu}$ -transform of a general probability distribution  $\mu$  on  ${\mathbb R}$ 

$$\Psi_\mu(z)=rac{1}{z}G_\mu(rac{1}{z})-1.$$

• If  $\mu$  has compact support and  $m_k(\mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k \mu(dt)$ 

$$G_{\mu}(z) = z^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k(\mu) z^{-k-1}, \quad |z| > r_{\mu},$$

$$\Psi_\mu(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty m_k(\mu) z^k$$
,  $|z| < r_\mu$ 

If µ ∈ P<sup>+</sup>, ∃ χ<sub>µ</sub> : Ψ<sub>µ</sub>(iC<sub>+</sub>) → iC<sub>+</sub> inverse of Ψ<sub>µ</sub>.
 The S-transform of µ is defined by

$$S_{\mu}(z) = \chi(z) rac{1+z}{z}$$

For  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  in  $\mathcal{P}^+(\neq \delta_0)$ ,  $\mu_1 \boxtimes \mu_2$  is unique p.m. in  $\mathcal{P}^+$ 

$$S_{\mu_1\boxtimes\mu_2}(z)=S_{\mu_1}(z)S_{\mu_2}(z).$$
If (X<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub>, (Y<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> are asymptotically free nonnegative definite random matrices with ASD µ<sub>1</sub> and µ<sub>2</sub>, then the product (X<sup>1/2</sup><sub>n</sub>Y<sub>n</sub>X<sup>1/2</sup><sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> has ASD µ<sub>1</sub>⊠ µ<sub>2</sub>.

- If (X<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub>, (Y<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> are asymptotically free nonnegative definite random matrices with ASD µ<sub>1</sub> and µ<sub>2</sub>, then the product (X<sup>1/2</sup><sub>n</sub>Y<sub>n</sub>X<sup>1/2</sup><sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> has ASD µ<sub>1</sub>⊠ µ<sub>2</sub>.
- In studying µ<sub>1</sub>⊠ µ<sub>2</sub> via S<sub>µ1⊠µ2</sub> the main problem is that for general distributions Ψ<sub>µ</sub> has not a unique inverse.

- If (X<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub>, (Y<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> are asymptotically free nonnegative definite random matrices with ASD µ<sub>1</sub> and µ<sub>2</sub>, then the product (X<sup>1/2</sup><sub>n</sub>Y<sub>n</sub>X<sup>1/2</sup><sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> has ASD µ<sub>1</sub>⊠ µ<sub>2</sub>.
- In studying µ<sub>1</sub>⊠ µ<sub>2</sub> via S<sub>µ1⊠µ2</sub> the main problem is that for general distributions Ψ<sub>µ</sub> has not a unique inverse.
- Raj Rao & Speicher (2007): Combinatorial approach, μ<sub>1</sub>, μ<sub>2</sub> have bounded support, μ<sub>1</sub> ∈ P<sup>+</sup>, μ<sub>1</sub> zero mean.

- If (X<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub>, (Y<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> are asymptotically free nonnegative definite random matrices with ASD µ<sub>1</sub> and µ<sub>2</sub>, then the product (X<sup>1/2</sup><sub>n</sub>Y<sub>n</sub>X<sup>1/2</sup><sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> has ASD µ<sub>1</sub>⊠ µ<sub>2</sub>.
- In studying µ<sub>1</sub>⊠ µ<sub>2</sub> via S<sub>µ1⊠µ2</sub> the main problem is that for general distributions Ψ<sub>µ</sub> has not a unique inverse.
- Raj Rao & Speicher (2007): Combinatorial approach, μ<sub>1</sub>, μ<sub>2</sub> have bounded support, μ<sub>1</sub> ∈ P<sup>+</sup>, μ<sub>1</sub> zero mean.
- Arizmendi and PA (2008): Analytic approach, μ<sub>1</sub>, μ<sub>2</sub> with unbounded support, μ<sub>1</sub> ∈ P<sup>+</sup>, μ<sub>2</sub> symmetric.

▶  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_s$  (symmetric p.m.),  $\mu^2$  p.m. in  $\mathcal{P}^+$  induced by  $t \to t^2$ ,

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(z)=z\mathcal{G}_{\mu^2}(z^2), z\in\mathbb{C}ackslash\mathbb{R}_+\ & \Psi_{\mu}(z)=\Psi_{\mu^2}(z^2), z\in\mathbb{C}ackslash\mathbb{R}_+ \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● ● ●

▶  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_s$  (symmetric p.m.),  $\mu^2$  p.m. in  $\mathcal{P}^+$  induced by  $t \to t^2$ ,

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(z)=z\mathcal{G}_{\mu^2}(z^2) ext{, } z\in\mathbb{C}ackslash\mathbb{R}_+ \ & \Psi_{\mu}(z)=\Psi_{\mu^2}(z^2) ext{, } z\in\mathbb{C}ackslash\mathbb{R}_+ \end{aligned}$$

 If μ ≠ δ<sub>0</sub>, Ψ<sub>μ</sub>, there are disjoint sets H, H̃ in C, Ψ<sub>μ</sub> has unique inverses χ<sub>μ</sub> : Ψ<sub>μ</sub>(H) → H and χ̃<sub>μ</sub> : Ψ<sub>μ</sub>(H̃) → H̃.

▶  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_s$  (symmetric p.m.),  $\mu^2$  p.m. in  $\mathcal{P}^+$  induced by  $t \to t^2$ ,

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(z)=z\mathcal{G}_{\mu^2}(z^2), z\in\mathbb{C}ackslash\mathbb{R}_+\ & \Psi_{\mu}(z)=\Psi_{\mu^2}(z^2), z\in\mathbb{C}ackslash\mathbb{R}_+ \end{aligned}$$

If μ ≠ δ<sub>0</sub>, Ψ<sub>μ</sub>, there are disjoint sets H, H̃ in C, Ψ<sub>μ</sub> has unique inverses χ<sub>μ</sub> : Ψ<sub>μ</sub>(H) → H and χ̃<sub>μ</sub> : Ψ<sub>μ</sub>(H̃) → H̃.
 There are two S-transforms

$$egin{aligned} S_\mu(z) &= \chi_\mu(z)rac{1+z}{z} ext{ and } \widetilde{S}_\mu(z) = \widetilde{\chi}_\mu(z)rac{1+z}{z} \ S_\mu^2(z) &= rac{1+z}{z}S_{\mu^2}(z) ext{ and } \widetilde{S}_\mu^2(z) = rac{1+z}{z}S_{\mu^2}(z). \end{aligned}$$

▶  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_s$  (symmetric p.m.),  $\mu^2$  p.m. in  $\mathcal{P}^+$  induced by  $t \to t^2$ ,

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{G}_{\mu}(z)=z\mathcal{G}_{\mu^2}(z^2) ext{, } z\in\mathbb{C}ackslash\mathbb{R}_+ \ & \Psi_{\mu}(z)=\Psi_{\mu^2}(z^2) ext{, } z\in\mathbb{C}ackslash\mathbb{R}_+ \end{aligned}$$

If μ ≠ δ<sub>0</sub>, Ψ<sub>μ</sub>, there are disjoint sets H, H̃ in C, Ψ<sub>μ</sub> has unique inverses χ<sub>μ</sub> : Ψ<sub>μ</sub>(H) → H and χ̃<sub>μ</sub> : Ψ<sub>μ</sub>(H̃) → H̃.
 There are two S-transforms

$$egin{aligned} S_\mu(z) &= \chi_\mu(z)rac{1+z}{z} ext{ and } \widetilde{S}_\mu(z) = \widetilde{\chi}_\mu(z)rac{1+z}{z} \ S_\mu^2(z) &= rac{1+z}{z}S_{\mu^2}(z) ext{ and } \widetilde{S}_\mu^2(z) = rac{1+z}{z}S_{\mu^2}(z). \end{aligned}$$

• If  $\mu_1$  in  $\mathcal{P}^+$  and  $\mu_2$  in  $\mathcal{P}_s$ 

$$S_{\mu_1\boxtimes\mu_2}(z) = S_{\mu_1}(z)S_{\mu_2}(z) = S_{\mu_1}(z)\widetilde{S}_{\mu_2}(z).$$

• w Wigner distribution on (-2, 2)

$$S_{
m w}(z)=rac{1}{\sqrt{z}}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

• w Wigner distribution on (-2, 2)

$$S_{
m w}(z)=rac{1}{\sqrt{z}}$$

•  $m_c$  Marchenko-Pastur distribution with parameter c>0

$$S_{m_c}(z) = rac{1}{z+c}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

$$S_{
m w}(z)=rac{1}{\sqrt{z}}$$

•  $m_c$  Marchenko-Pastur distribution with parameter c>0

$$S_{\mathbf{m}_{c}}(z) = rac{1}{z+c}$$

• bs symmetric Beta distribution SM(2/3, 1/2)

$$S_{
m bs}(z) = rac{1}{z+1}\sqrt{rac{z+2}{z}}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● ● ●

$$S_{
m w}(z)=rac{1}{\sqrt{z}}$$

•  $m_c$  Marchenko-Pastur distribution with parameter c>0

$$S_{\mathbf{m}_{c}}(z) = rac{1}{z+c}$$

• bs symmetric Beta distribution SM(2/3, 1/2)

$$S_{\rm bs}(z) = rac{1}{z+1}\sqrt{rac{z+2}{z}}$$

a arcsine distribution

$$S_{\rm a}(z)=\sqrt{\frac{z+2}{z}}$$

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

▶ bs = 
$$m_1 \otimes a$$

• 
$$bs = m_1 \otimes a$$

Proof:

$$egin{aligned} S_{ ext{m}_1}(z) &= rac{1}{z+1}, \quad S_{ ext{a}}(z) = \sqrt{rac{z+2}{z}}.\ S_{ ext{bs}}(z) &= rac{1}{z+1}\sqrt{rac{z+2}{z}}. \end{aligned}$$

• 
$$bs = m_1 \otimes a$$

Proof:

$$egin{aligned} S_{
m m_1}(z) &= rac{1}{z+1}, \quad S_{
m a}(z) = \sqrt{rac{z+2}{z}}.\ S_{
m bs}(z) &= rac{1}{z+1}\sqrt{rac{z+2}{z}}. \end{aligned}$$

• 
$$w = \overline{m}_2 \boxtimes a$$
, where  $\overline{m}_2 \otimes \overline{m}_2 = m_2$   
Proof:

$$egin{aligned} S_{\overline{ extsf{m}}_2}(z) &= \sqrt{rac{1}{z+2}}, \ S_{ extsf{w}}(z) &= \sqrt{rac{1}{z}}. \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• 
$$bs = m_1 \otimes a$$

Proof:

$$egin{aligned} S_{m_1}(z) &= rac{1}{z+1}, \quad S_{a}(z) = \sqrt{rac{z+2}{z}}.\ S_{bs}(z) &= rac{1}{z+1}\sqrt{rac{z+2}{z}}. \end{aligned}$$

• 
$$w = \overline{m}_2 \boxtimes a$$
, where  $\overline{m}_2 \otimes \overline{m}_2 = m_2$   
Proof:

$$egin{aligned} S_{\overline{ ext{m}}_2}(z) &= \sqrt{rac{1}{z+2}}, \ S_{ ext{w}}(z) &= \sqrt{rac{1}{z}}. \end{aligned}$$

• There is no  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+$  such that  $\mathbf{a} = \lambda \boxtimes \mathbf{w}$ .

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

### Overview Talks 2 and 3

- Talk 2: Random matrices.
  - More on asymptotic spectrum of random matrices.
  - Some applications.
  - Dyson Brownian motion and other eigenvalues processes.
- Talk 3: Infinite divisibility (ID).
  - Infinitely divisible random matrices.
  - Free ID.
  - A bijection between free and classical ID.
  - Random matrices: bridge between classical & free ID.

### References

Free Probability, Random matrices and Asymptotic Freeness

- Voiculescu, D (1991). Limit Laws for random matrices and free products. *Inventiones Mathematica* 104, 201-220.
- H. Bercovici & D. Voiculescu (1993). Free convolution of measures with unbounded supports. Indiana Univ. Math. J.
- Nica, A. & R. Speicher (2006). Lectures on the Combinatorics of Free Probability. London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series 335, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- D. Voiculescu, J.K Dykema & A. Nica (1992). Free Random Variables. American Mathematical Society.
- Hiai, F. & D. Petz (2000). The Semicircle Law, Free Random Variables and Entropy. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 77, American Mathematical Society, Providence.
- G.W. Anderson, A. Guionnet and O- Zeitouni (2010). An Introduction to Random Matrices. Cambridge University Press. (Chapter 5).

# References for free multiplicative convolutions

- D. Voiculescu (1987). Multiplication of certain non-commuting random variables. J. Operator Theory.
- H. Bercovici & J.C. Wang (2008). Limit theorems for free multiplicative convolutions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- N. Raj Rao & R. Speicher (2007). Multiplication of free random variables and the S-transform: The case of vanishing mean. *Elect. Comm. Probab.*
- O. Arizmendi & VPA (2009). The S-transform of symmetric probability measures with unbounded supports. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- O. Arizmendi, O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen & VPA (2009). On free and classical type G distributions. Rev. Braz. Probab. Statist.
- VPA & Sakuma Noriyoshi (2012). Free multiplicative convolutions of free multiplicative mixtures of the Wigner distribution. J. Theoretical Probab.