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## Plan of the Lecture

1. Review Lecture I and II.
1.1 Gaussian random matrices and Wigner law.
1.2 Free central limit theorem.
1.3 Random matrices models for Marchenko-Pastur law.
2. Infinitely Divisible Random Matrices.
3. Free Infinite Divisibility.
3.1 Free cumulant transform and infinite divisibility.
3.2 Main features and characterization.
3.3 In search of examples.
4. BP-Bijection between classical and free infinite divisibility.
5. Random Matrices Approach to the BP-Bijection.
5.1 General results.
5.2 Concrete realizations.

## I. Wigner law for a Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE)

- GUE: $\mathbf{Z}=\left(Z_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}, Z_{n}$ is $n \times n$ Hermitian random matrix

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z_{n}=\left(Z_{n}^{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad Z_{n}^{j, i}=\bar{Z}_{n}^{i, j} \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{n}^{j, i}\right) \sim \operatorname{Im}\left(Z_{n}^{j, i}\right) \sim N\left(0,\left(1+\delta_{i j}\right) / 2\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{n}^{j, i}\right), \operatorname{Im}\left(Z_{n}^{j, i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ independent r.v.

- Distribution of $Z_{n}$ is invariant under unitary transformations.
- If $\lambda_{n, 1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n, n}$ are eigenvalues of $Z_{n}$, ESD is

$$
\widehat{F}_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\lambda_{n, j} \leq x\right\}}
$$

- ASD: $\widehat{F}_{n}$ converges, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to semicircle distribution

$$
\mathrm{w}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{4-x^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{|x| \leq 2} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

- Similar to GOE and universal under appropriate conditions.



## I. Free Central Limit Theorem

## Semicircle law as the free Gaussian

- Free independence was defined in Lecture 1 for elements of a noncommutative probability space.
- Asymptotic free independence was also defined for ensembles of random matrices with asymptotic spectral distributions.
- Let $\mathbf{X}_{1}, \mathbf{X}_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of freely independent random variables with the same distribution with all moments, zero mean and variance one. Then the distribution of

$$
\mathbf{Z}_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\mathbf{X}_{1}+\ldots+\mathbf{X}_{n}\right)
$$

converges in distribution to the semicircle distribution.

- Free Gaussian distribution: the semicircle distribution plays in free probability the role Gaussian distribution does in classical probability.


## I. Marchenko-Pastur law for covariance matrices

- $X_{n}=X_{p \times n}=\left(Z_{j, k}: j=1, \ldots, p, k=1, \ldots, n\right)$ complex i.i.d. under second moment assumptions.
- $W_{n}=X_{n}^{*} X_{n}$ is Wishart random matrix if

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(Z_{j, k}\right) \sim \operatorname{Im}\left(Z_{j, k}\right) \sim N\left(0,\left(1+\delta_{j k}\right) / 2\right)
$$

- Distribution of $W_{n}$ is invariant under unitary conjugations.
- Covariance matrix $S_{n}=\frac{1}{n} X_{n}^{*} X_{n}$, with ESD $\widehat{F}_{n}$ of nonnegative eigenvalues $\lambda_{n, 1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n, n}$ of $S_{n}$.
- If $p / n \rightarrow c>0, \widehat{F}_{n}$ converges to MP distribution

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{m}_{c}(\mathrm{~d} x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
f_{c}(x) \mathrm{d} x, & \text { if } c \geq 1 \\
(1-c) \delta_{0}(\mathrm{~d} x)+f_{c}(x) \mathrm{d} x, & \text { if } 0<c<1,
\end{array}\right. \\
f_{c}(x)=\frac{c}{2 \pi x} \sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)} \mathbf{1}_{[a, b]}(x) \\
a=(1-\sqrt{c})^{2}, \quad b=(1+\sqrt{c})^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## I. MP Law for a non covariance random matrix

Cavanal-Duvillard (2006)

- $\left(N_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ Poisson distribution with mean $p$.
- $\left(u_{j}\right)_{j \geq 1}$ a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors with uniform distribution on the unit sphere of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
- Consider the $n \times n$ compound Poisson random matrix

$$
M_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} u_{j}^{*} u_{j}
$$
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## I. MP Law for a non covariance random matrix

- $\left(N_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ Poisson distribution with mean $p$.
- $\left(u_{j}\right)_{j \geq 1}$ a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors with uniform distribution on the unit sphere of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
- Consider the $n \times n$ compound Poisson random matrix

$$
M_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} u_{j}^{*} u_{j}
$$

- Distributions of $M_{n}$ is invariant under unitary conjugations.
- ASD of $\mathbf{M}=\left(M_{n}\right)$, when $p / n \rightarrow c$, is MP distribution $m_{c}$.
- As random matrices, $M_{n}$ is infinitely divisible, but the Wishart random matrix $W_{n}$ is not.


## I. Covariance vs. Covariation process

- Covariance matrix

$$
S_{n}=X_{n}^{*} X_{n}
$$

- Compound Poisson $n \times n$ random matrix

$$
M_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} u_{j}^{*} u_{j}
$$

- Distribution of $M_{n}$ and Wishart $W_{n}$ are invariant under unitary conjugations and have $\mathrm{m}_{c}$ as their same ASD.
- $M_{n}$ comes from a quadratic variation process

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n}(t) & =\left[X^{*}, X\right](t)=\sum_{s<t}(\Delta X(s))^{*} \Delta X(s)=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{t}} u_{j}^{*} u_{j} \\
X(t) & =\sum_{j=1}^{N_{t}} u_{j}, \quad M_{n}=\left[X^{*}, X\right](1)
\end{aligned}
$$

- The Wishart process $W_{n}(t)$ is a covariance process.
- $M_{n}(t)$ is an infinitely divisible process, but $W_{n}(t)$ is not.
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- $\mathbb{M}_{d}$ space of $d \times d$ matrices (real or complex entries).
- A random matrix $M$ in $\mathbb{M}_{d}$ is Infinitely Divisible (ID) iff $\forall$ $n \geq 1 \exists_{n}$ i.i.d. random matrices $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}$ in $\mathbb{M}_{d}$ such that

$$
M_{1}+\ldots+M_{n} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} M
$$

- Gaussian random matrices in GOE and GUE are ID.
- Wishart random matrix is not ID.
- Compound Poisson matrix process $M_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} u_{j}^{*} u_{j}$ is ID.
- Open problem: ASD for ensembles of Hermitian unitary invariant infinitely divisible random matrices.
- Partial answer today (due to Benaych-Georges (05) and Cavanal-Duvillard (05)) and more.


## II. Why infinitely divisible random matrices?

Applied and theoretical reasons

1. Stochastic modelling (fixed dimension):

- There exists a matrix Lévy process $\left(M_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ such that

$$
M_{1} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} M .
$$

- Multivariate financial modelling via Lévy and non Gaussian Ornstein-Uhlenbeck matrix processes: Barndorff-Nielsen \& Stelzer (09, 11), Pigorsch \& Stelzer (09), Stelzer (10).
- ID random matrix models alternative to Wishart random matrix: Barndorff-Nielsen \& PA (08), PA \& Stelzer (12).

2. Today: (asymptotic spectral distribution)

- Random matrices approach to the relation between classical and free infinite divisibility.
- Benaych-Georges (05), Cabanal-Duvillard (05), PA \& Sakuma (08), Molina \& Rocha-Arteaga (12), joint work in progress with Molina \& Rocha-Arteaga.


## III. But before: Free infinite divisibility

Analytic tools similar to classical probability

- Fourier transform of probability measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$

$$
\widehat{\mu}(s)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} s x} \mu(\mathrm{~d} x), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}
$$

- Cauchy transform of $\mu$

$$
G_{\mu}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z-x} \mu(\mathrm{~d} x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}
$$

- Classical cumulant transform

$$
c_{\mu}(s)=\log \widehat{\mu}(s), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}
$$

- Free cumulant transform

$$
C_{\mu}(z)=z G_{\mu}^{-1}(z)-1, \quad z \in \Gamma_{\mu}
$$

## III. Classical and free convolutions

- Classical convolution $\mu_{1} * \mu_{2}$ is defined by

$$
c_{\mu_{1} * \mu_{2}}(s)=c_{\mu_{1}}(s)+c_{\mu_{2}}(s) .
$$

- $X_{1} \& X_{2}$ classical independent r.v. $\mu_{i}=\mathcal{L}\left(X_{i}\right)$,

$$
\mu_{1} * \mu_{2}=\mathcal{L}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right)
$$

- Free convolution $\mu_{1} \boxplus \mu_{2}$ is defined by

$$
C_{\mu_{1} \boxplus \mu_{2}}(z)=C_{\mu_{1}}(z)+C_{\mu_{2}}(z), \quad z \in \Gamma_{\mu_{1}} \cap \Gamma_{\mu_{2}} .
$$

- $\mathbf{X}_{1} \& \mathbf{X}_{2}$ free independent, $\mu_{i}=\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}\right)$,

$$
\mu_{1} \boxplus \mu_{2}=\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{X}_{1}+\mathbf{X}_{2}\right)
$$

- Also in Lecture 1 free multiplicative convolution $\mu_{1} \boxtimes \mu_{2}$.
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$$
\phi_{\mu}(z)=\underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}(z)-z, \quad z \in \Gamma_{\alpha, \beta}^{\mu} .
$$

- Barndorff-Nielsen \& Thorbjørnsen (06): Free cumulant

$$
C_{\mu}(z)=z \phi_{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)=z \underline{G}_{\mu}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)-1 .
$$

- $\phi_{\mu} \& C_{\mu}$ linearize free additive convolution:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{\mu_{1} \boxplus \mu_{2}}(z)=\phi_{\mu_{1}}(z)+\phi_{\mu_{2}}(z), & z \in \Gamma_{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}}^{\mu_{1}} \cap \Gamma_{\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}}^{\mu_{2}} \\
C_{\mu_{1} \boxplus \mu_{2}}(z)=C_{\mu_{1}}(z)+C_{\mu_{2}}(z), & \frac{1}{z} \in \Gamma_{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}}^{\mu_{1}} \cap \Gamma_{\alpha_{2}, \beta_{2}}^{\mu_{2}} .
\end{array}
$$

## III. Free infinite divisibility

- Let $\mu$ be a probability distribution on $\mathbb{R}(\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}))$.
- $\mu$ is infinitely divisible w.r.t. $\star$ iff $\forall n \geq 1, \exists \mu_{1 / n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\mu=\mu_{1 / n} \star \mu_{1 / n} \star \cdots \star \mu_{1 / n} .
$$

- $\mu$ is infinitely divisible w.r.t. $\boxplus$ iff $\forall n \geq 1, \exists \mu_{1 / n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\mu=\mu_{1 / n} \boxplus \mu_{1 / n} \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \mu_{1 / n} .
$$

- Notation: $I^{\boxplus}\left(I^{*}\right)$ class of all free (classical) ID distributions.
- Problems:

1. Characterization of $I \boxplus$, criteria, examples.
2. In particular, characterize the class $I^{\boxplus}$ similar to $I^{*}$.
3. Search for examples.
4. Relations between $I^{\boxplus}$ and $I^{*}$.

- Two approaches: Combinatorial and analytic.


## III. Free infinite divisibility: Combinatorial approach

 Not today: Nica and Speicher (2006)- Only for distributions $\mu$ with compact support,

$$
m_{n}(\mu)=\int x^{n} \mu(\mathrm{~d} x), \quad n \geq 1
$$

- Classical cumulants $\left(k_{n}(\mu)\right)_{n \geq 1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\mu}(s) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n}(\mu) s^{n}=\log \widehat{\mu(s)}=\log \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m_{n}(\mu)}{n!} s^{n}\right), \\
m_{n}(\mu) & =\sum_{\pi \in P(n)} k_{\pi}(\mu) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Free cumulants $\left(\kappa_{n}(\mu)\right)_{n \geq 1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{\mu}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_{n}(\mu) z^{n} \\
& m_{n}(\mu)=\sum_{\pi \in N C(n)} k_{\pi}(\mu)
\end{aligned}
$$

## III. Examples of free ID distributions

- Semicircle distribution $\mathrm{w}_{m, \sigma^{2}}$ on $(m-2 \sigma, m 2 \sigma)$

$$
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\kappa_{1}=m, \quad \kappa_{2}=\sigma^{2}, \kappa_{n}=0, n \geq 3
\end{gathered}
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$$
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\kappa_{1}=m, \kappa_{2}=\sigma^{2}, \kappa_{n}=0, n \geq 3
\end{gathered}
$$

- Marchenko-Pastur distribution $\mathrm{m}_{c}$ of parameter $c>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\mathrm{m}_{c}}(z) & =\frac{c z}{1-z} \\
\mathrm{~m}_{c_{1}+c_{2}} & =\mathrm{m}_{c_{1}} \boxplus \mathrm{~m}_{c_{2}} \\
\kappa_{n} & =c, \quad n \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## III. Examples of free ID distributions

Example
Cauchy distribution of parameter $\theta>0$

$$
\mathrm{c}_{\theta}(\mathrm{d} x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\theta}{\theta^{2}+x^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

Cauchy transform

$$
G_{\mathrm{C}_{\theta}}(z)=\frac{1}{z+\theta i}
$$

Free cumulant transform

$$
C_{\mathrm{c}_{\theta}}(z)=-i \theta z
$$

$\boxplus$-convolution of Cauchy distributions is a Cauchy distribution

$$
c_{\theta_{1}} \boxplus c_{\theta_{2}}=c_{\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}} .
$$

## III. Free infinite divisibility: Analytic approach

Bercovici \& Voiculescu (93)

- The following three statements are equivalent:


## III. Free infinite divisibility: Analytic approach

Bercovici \& Voiculescu (93)

- The following three statements are equivalent:

$$
\text { 1. } \mu \in I^{\boxplus} \text {. }
$$

## III. Free infinite divisibility: Analytic approach

Bercovici \& Voiculescu (93)

- The following three statements are equivalent:

1. $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.
2. $\phi_{\mu}$ has an analytic extension $\mathbb{C}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{-} \cup \mathbb{R}$.

## III. Free infinite divisibility: Analytic approach

Bercovici \& Voiculescu (93)

- The following three statements are equivalent:

1. $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.
2. $\phi_{\mu}$ has an analytic extension $\mathbb{C}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{-} \cup \mathbb{R}$.
3. There exists $a \in \mathbb{R} \&$ finite measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\phi_{\mu}(z)=a+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t z}{z-t} \sigma(\mathrm{~d} x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{+} .
$$

## III. Free infinite divisibility: Analytic approach

- The following three statements are equivalent:

1. $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.
2. $\phi_{\mu}$ has an analytic extension $\mathbb{C}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{-} \cup \mathbb{R}$.
3. There exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ \& finite measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\phi_{\mu}(z)=a+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t z}{z-t} \sigma(\mathrm{~d} x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{+} .
$$

- Facts:


## III. Free infinite divisibility: Analytic approach

- The following three statements are equivalent:

1. $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.
2. $\phi_{\mu}$ has an analytic extension $\mathbb{C}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{-} \cup \mathbb{R}$.
3. There exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ \& finite measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\phi_{\mu}(z)=a+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t z}{z-t} \sigma(\mathrm{~d} x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{+} .
$$

- Facts:
- If $\mu_{n} \in I^{\boxplus}, n \geq 1$, and $\mu_{n} \Rightarrow \mu$, then $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.


## III. Free infinite divisibility: Analytic approach

- The following three statements are equivalent:

1. $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.
2. $\phi_{\mu}$ has an analytic extension $\mathbb{C}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{-} \cup \mathbb{R}$.
3. There exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ \& finite measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\phi_{\mu}(z)=a+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t z}{z-t} \sigma(\mathrm{~d} x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{+} .
$$

- Facts:
- If $\mu_{n} \in I^{\boxplus}, n \geq 1$, and $\mu_{n} \Rightarrow \mu$, then $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.
- If $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}, \mu$ has at most one atom.


## III. Free infinite divisibility: Analytic approach

- The following three statements are equivalent:

1. $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.
2. $\phi_{\mu}$ has an analytic extension $\mathbb{C}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{-} \cup \mathbb{R}$.
3. There exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ \& finite measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\phi_{\mu}(z)=a+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t z}{z-t} \sigma(\mathrm{~d} x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{+} .
$$

- Facts:
- If $\mu_{n} \in I^{\boxplus}, n \geq 1$, and $\mu_{n} \Rightarrow \mu$, then $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.
- If $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}, \mu$ has at most one atom.
- A non trivial discrete distribution is not in $I^{\boxplus}$.


## III. Free infinite divisibility: Analytic approach

- The following three statements are equivalent:

1. $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.
2. $\phi_{\mu}$ has an analytic extension $\mathbb{C}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{-} \cup \mathbb{R}$.
3. There exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ \& finite measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\phi_{\mu}(z)=a+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t z}{z-t} \sigma(\mathrm{~d} x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{+}
$$

- Facts:
- If $\mu_{n} \in I^{\boxplus}, n \geq 1$, and $\mu_{n} \Rightarrow \mu$, then $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.
- If $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}, \mu$ has at most one atom.
- A non trivial discrete distribution is not in $I^{\boxplus}$.
- If $I^{\boxplus} \ni \mu \neq \delta_{x}$, then for $n$ sufficiently large $\mu^{\boxplus n}$ has no atoms.


## III. Free infinite divisibility: Analytic approach

- The following three statements are equivalent:

1. $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.
2. $\phi_{\mu}$ has an analytic extension $\mathbb{C}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{-} \cup \mathbb{R}$.
3. There exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ \& finite measure $\sigma$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\phi_{\mu}(z)=a+\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t z}{z-t} \sigma(\mathrm{~d} x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{+}
$$

- Facts:
- If $\mu_{n} \in I^{\boxplus}, n \geq 1$, and $\mu_{n} \Rightarrow \mu$, then $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}$.
- If $\mu \in I^{\boxplus}, \mu$ has at most one atom.
- A non trivial discrete distribution is not in $I^{\boxplus}$.
- If $I^{\boxplus} \ni \mu \neq \delta_{x}$, then for $n$ sufficiently large $\mu^{\boxplus n}$ has no atoms.
- Proofs based on Pick-Nevanlinna theory of analytic functions.


## III. Not free infinitely divisible distribution

## Examples

Arcsine distribution

$$
\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~d} x)=\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}} 1_{(-1,1)}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

is not free infinitely divisible:
(i) Its Voiculescu transform is not analytic:

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{a}}(z)=\sqrt{z^{2}+4}-z
$$

(ii) But also, from Lecture $1, \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b} \boxplus \mathrm{b}$ with

$$
\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{~d} x)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\delta_{\{-1\}}(\mathrm{d} x)+\delta_{\{1\}}(\mathrm{d} x)\right\} .
$$

and $b$ is not free infinitely divisible.

## III. Classical and free infinite divisibility

Lévy-Khintchine representations

- Classical L-K: $\mu \in I^{*}$

$$
c_{\mu}(s)=\eta s-\frac{1}{2} a s^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} s x}-1-s x 1_{[-1,1]}(x)\right) \rho(\mathrm{d} x), s \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

- Free L-K: $v \in I^{\boxplus}$

$$
C_{v}(z)=\eta z+a z^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{1-x z}-1-x z 1_{[-1,1]}(x)\right) \rho(\mathrm{d} x), z \in \mathbb{C}^{-}
$$

- In both cases $(\eta, a, \rho)$ is a unique Lévy triplet: $\eta \in \mathbb{R}, a \geq 0$, $\rho(\{0\})=0$ and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \min \left(1, x^{2}\right) \rho(\mathrm{d} x)<\infty
$$

IV. Relation between classical and free infinite divisibility Bercovici, Pata (Biane), Ann. Math. (1999)

- Classical Lévy-Khintchine representation for $\mu \in I^{*}$

$$
c_{\mu}(s)=\eta s-\frac{1}{2} a s^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(e^{i s x}-1-s x 1_{[-1,1]}(x)\right) \rho(\mathrm{d} x) .
$$

- Free Lévy-Khintchine representation for $v \in I^{\boxplus}$

$$
C_{v}(z)=\eta z+a z^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{1-x z}-1-x z 1_{[-1,1]}(x)\right) \rho(\mathrm{d} x)
$$

- Bercovici-Pata bijection: $\Lambda: I^{*} \rightarrow I^{\boxplus}, \Lambda(\mu)=v$

$$
I^{*} \ni \mu \sim(\eta, a, \rho) \leftrightarrow \Lambda(\mu) \sim(\eta, a, \rho)
$$

- $\Lambda$ preserves convolutions (and weak convergence)

$$
\Lambda\left(\mu_{1} * \mu_{2}\right)=\Lambda\left(\mu_{1}\right) \boxplus \Lambda\left(\mu_{2}\right)
$$

## IV. Image of classical ID distributions under BP bijection

- Free Gaussian: For classical Gaussian distribution $\gamma_{m, \sigma^{2}}$,

$$
\mathrm{w}_{m, \sigma^{2}}=\Lambda\left(\gamma_{m, \sigma^{2}}\right)
$$

is Wigner distribution on $(m-2 \sigma, m+2 \sigma)$ with

$$
C_{\mathrm{w}_{\eta, \sigma^{2}}}(z)=m z+\sigma^{2} z^{2} .
$$
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\mathrm{w}_{m, \sigma^{2}}=\Lambda\left(\gamma_{m, \sigma^{2}}\right)
$$

is Wigner distribution on $(m-2 \sigma, m+2 \sigma)$ with

$$
C_{\mathrm{w}_{\eta, \sigma^{2}}}(z)=m z+\sigma^{2} z^{2} .
$$

- Free Poisson: For classical Poisson distribution $\mathrm{p}_{c}, c>0$,

$$
\mathrm{m}_{c}=\Lambda\left(\mathrm{p}_{c}\right)
$$

is the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{P}$ distribution with

$$
C_{\mathrm{m}_{c}}(z)=\frac{c z}{1-z}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{1-x z}-1\right) c \delta_{1}(\mathrm{~d} x)
$$

- Belinschi, Bozejko, Lehner \& Speicher (11): $\gamma_{m, \sigma^{2}}$ is free ID.
- Open problems: $\gamma_{m, \sigma^{2}}=\Lambda(?)$ and what is its Lévy measure?.


## IV. Image of classical ID distributions under BP bijection

- Free compound Poisson distributions $\{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda>0\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C P^{\boxplus} & =\{\Lambda(\mu) ; \mu \text { is classical } C P\}, \text { i.e. } \\
C_{\mu}(t) & =\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t} x-1\right) \sigma(\mathrm{d} x) \\
C_{\Lambda(\mu)}(z) & =\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{1-x z}-1\right) \sigma(\mathrm{d} x)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Free Cauchy: $\Lambda\left(c_{\lambda}\right)=c_{\lambda}$ for the Cauchy distribution

$$
\mathrm{c}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{d} x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{2}+x^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

with free cumulant transform $C_{\lambda}(z)=-i \lambda z$.

- Free stable (Bercovici, Pata, Biane, (99))

$$
S^{\boxplus}=\{\Lambda(\mu) ; \mu \text { is classical stable }\}
$$

## IV. Image of classical ID distributions under BP bijection

- Free GGC (PA-Sakuma (08))

$$
G G C(\boxplus)=\{\Lambda(\mu) ; \mu \text { is } G G C(*)\} .
$$

- Free subordinators (Arizmendi, Hasebe, Sakuma (11))

$$
I_{+}^{\boxplus}=\left\{\Lambda(\mu) ; \mu \text { is } I_{+}^{*}\right\},
$$

$I_{+}^{*}$ class of classical ID distributions with support on $[0, \infty)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\mu}(t) & =i t \eta_{0}+\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} t} x-1\right) \rho(\mathrm{d} x), \\
C_{\Lambda(\mu)}(z) & =i z \eta_{0}+\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left(\frac{1}{1-x z}-1\right) \rho(\mathrm{d} x), \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \min (1, x) \rho(\mathrm{d} x) & <\infty, \eta_{0} \geq 0, \rho(-\infty, 0]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## IV. Search for new examples of free ID distributions

## Arizmendi, Barndorff-Nielsen \& PA (2009)

- Special symmetric Beta distribution

$$
\beta_{s}(\mathrm{~d} x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}|x|^{-1 / 2}(2-|x|)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad|x|<2
$$

- Cauchy transform

$$
G_{\beta_{s}}(z)=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1-\sqrt{z^{-2}\left(z^{2}-4\right)}}
$$

- Free additive cumulant transform is $C_{\beta_{s}}(z)=\sqrt{z^{2}+1}-1$.
- $\beta_{s}$ is free ID with triplet $(0,0, a)$, $a$ is arcsine on $(-1,1)$
- For $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ i.i.d. with distribution a \& independent of standard Poisson r.v. $N$

$$
\beta_{s}=\Lambda\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{j}\right)
$$

- Interpretation as multiplicative convolution $\beta_{s}=\mathrm{m}_{1} \boxtimes \mathrm{a}$.


## IV. Search for new examples of free ID distributions

Motivated by the symmetric Beta distribution

- Important facts from the last example:
- $\beta_{s}$ has Cauchy transform

$$
G_{\beta_{s}}(z)=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1-\sqrt{z^{-2}\left(z^{2}-4\right)}}
$$

- Free infinite divisibility of $\beta_{s}=\mathrm{m}_{1} \boxtimes \mathrm{a}$
- Arizmendi \& Hasebe (11):

$$
G_{s, r}^{\alpha}(z)=-r^{1 / \alpha}\left(\frac{1-\left(1-s\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{1 / r}}{s}\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

$$
r>0,0<\alpha \leq 2, s \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}
$$

$$
\mu_{s, 2}^{\alpha}=\mathrm{m}_{1} \boxtimes \mathrm{a}_{s / 4}^{\alpha} \text { is free ID, }
$$

- $\mathrm{a}_{s / 4}^{\alpha}$ is stable with respect to monotone convolution, where the arcsine law $\mathrm{a}_{4 / 4}^{1}=\mathrm{a}$ plays the role of Gaussian distribution.


## IV. Search for new examples of free ID distributions

## Type W distributions

- PA \& Sakuma (12): Multiplicative convolutions with the Wigner, $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$

$$
\mu=\sigma \boxtimes \mathrm{w}
$$

- Is free infinitely divisible iff

$$
\sigma \boxtimes \sigma \in \Lambda\left(I_{+}^{*}\right)
$$

- For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$

$$
\mu^{2}=\sigma \boxtimes \sigma \boxtimes \mathrm{m}_{1} \in \Lambda\left(I_{+}^{*}\right) .
$$

- Arizmendi, Hasebe \& Sakuma (11):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \in \Lambda\left(I_{+}^{*}\right) \Rightarrow \sigma \boxtimes \sigma \in \Lambda\left(I_{+}^{*}\right) \\
& \sigma \in \Lambda\left(I_{+}^{*}\right) \Rightarrow \sigma^{\boxtimes t} \in \Lambda\left(I_{+}^{*}\right), t \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## IV. A remarkable semigroup

Belinschi \& Nica (08)

$$
\mathbb{B}_{t}(\mu)=\left(\mu^{\boxplus(1+t)}\right)^{\uplus \frac{1}{1+t}}, t \geq 0
$$

$\uplus$ is Boolean convolution.

$$
\mathbb{B}_{t}\left(\mu_{1} \boxtimes \mu_{2}\right)=\mathbb{B}_{t}\left(\mu_{1}\right) \boxtimes \mathbb{B}_{t}\left(\mu_{2}\right)
$$

- Free divisibility indicator

$$
\varphi(\mu)=\sup \left\{t \geq 0: \mu \in \mathbb{B}_{t}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}))\right\}
$$

- There exists $v \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\varphi_{\mathbb{B}_{t}(\mu)}(v)=\mu .
$$

- $\mu$ is free infinitely divisible distribution iff $\varphi(\mu) \geq 1$.
- Divisibility indicator for free multiplicative convolution (Arizmendi \& Hasebe (12)).

Classical ID —_BP——Free ID




## V. Random matrix approach to BP bijection

- Benachy-Georges (05, AP), Cavanal-Duvillard (05, EJP): For $\mu \in I^{*}$ there is an ensemble of unitary invariant random matrices $\left(M_{d}\right)_{d \geq 1}$, such that with probability one its ESD converges in distribution to $\Lambda(\mu) \in I^{\boxplus}$.
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## V. Random matrix approach to BP bijection

- Benachy-Georges (05, AP), Cavanal-Duvillard (05, EJP): For $\mu \in I^{*}$ there is an ensemble of unitary invariant random matrices $\left(M_{d}\right)_{d \geq 1}$, such that with probability one its ESD converges in distribution to $\Lambda(\mu) \in I^{\boxplus}$.
- Some properties and questions:
- $M_{d}$ is infinitely divisible in the space of matrices $\mathbb{M}_{d}$.
- The existence of $\left(M_{d}\right)_{d \geq 1}$ is not constructive.
- How are the random matrix $\left(M_{d}\right)_{d \geq 1}$ realized?
- How are the corresponding matrix Lévy processes $\left\{M_{d}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ realized?
- The jump $\Delta M_{d}(t)=M_{d}(t)-M_{d}\left(t^{-}\right)$has rank one!
- Open problem: $\Delta M_{d}(t)$ has rank $k \geq 2$.
V. Concrete realization for RM models to BP bijection
- Cavanal-Duvillard (05):
V. Concrete realization for RM models to BP bijection
- Cavanal-Duvillard (05):
- If $\mu$ is Gaussian, $Z_{d}$ GUE independent of $g \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} N(0,1)$

$$
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$$

- Molina \& Rocha-Arteaga (12): If for some 1-dim Lévy process $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ and for a non random function $h: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
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- If $\mu$ is Poisson with parameter $c>0$
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- PA-Sakuma (08): $X_{t}, \mathbf{X}_{t}$ 1-dim and matrix Gamma processes.
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Molina, PA, Rocha-Arteaga:

- How is the matrix Lévy process $M_{d}(t)$ realized?
- Simple case: $\mu C P(v, \psi), v$ p.m. on $\mathbb{R}, \psi \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
M_{1}(t)=t \psi+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{t}} R_{j}
$$

$N_{t} \mathrm{PP}$ independent of $\left(R_{j}\right)_{j \geq 1}$, i.i.d, $\mathcal{L}\left(R_{j}\right)=v$.

- $\Lambda(\mu)=v \boxtimes \mathrm{~m}_{1}$, free multiplicative convolution, $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ is MP.
- For each $d \geq 2$

$$
M_{d}(t)=\psi t I_{d}+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{t}} R_{j} u_{j}^{*} u_{j}
$$

$\left(u_{j}\right)_{j \geq 1}$ independent $d$-vectors uniform on unit sphere of $\mathbb{C}^{d}$, independent of $\left(N_{t}\right)$ and $\left(R_{j}\right)_{j \geq 1}$.
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## V. Matrix Lévy processes for BP bijection

Molina, PA, Rocha-Arteaga:

$$
M_{d}(t)=\psi t \mathrm{I}_{d}+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{t}} R_{j} u_{j}^{*} u_{j}
$$

- Realization as quadratic covariation $M_{d}(t)=\left[X_{d}, Y_{d}\right]_{t}$ :
- $\left\{X_{d}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0},\left\{Y_{d}(t)\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ are $\mathbb{C}_{d}$-Lévy processes

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{d}(t)=\sqrt{|\psi|} B_{t}+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{t}} \sqrt{\left|R_{j}\right|} u_{j}, \quad t \geq 0 \\
Y_{d}(t)=\operatorname{sign}(\psi) \sqrt{|\psi|} B_{t}+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{t}} \operatorname{sign}\left(R_{j}\right) \sqrt{\left|R_{j}\right|} u_{j}, \quad t \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left\{B_{t}\right\}$ is $\mathbb{C}_{d}$-Brownian motion independent of $\left(R_{j}\right),\left(u_{j}\right),\left\{N_{t}\right\}$.

## V. Open problems

- Lecture 2: Matrix Brownian motion $B_{n}(t)=\left(b_{i j}(t)\right), t \geq 0$
- $\left(\lambda_{1}(t), \cdots, \lambda_{n}(t)\right)$ eigenvalues process of $B_{n}(t)$.
- Dyson-Brownian motion: $\exists_{n} n$ independent 1-dim Brownian motions $b_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, b_{n}^{(n)}$ such that if $\lambda_{n, 1}(0)<\cdots<\lambda_{n, n}(0)$

$$
\lambda_{n, i}(t)=\lambda_{n, i}(0)+b_{i}^{(n)}(t)+\sum_{j \neq i} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n, j}(s)-\lambda_{n, i}(s)} \mathrm{d} s
$$

- Corresponding measure valued process

$$
\mu_{t}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_{n, j}(t)},
$$

converges weakly in $C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ to $\left\{\mathrm{w}_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$.

- Open problems:


## V. Open problems

- Lecture 2: Matrix Brownian motion $B_{n}(t)=\left(b_{i j}(t)\right), t \geq 0$
- $\left(\lambda_{1}(t), \cdots, \lambda_{n}(t)\right)$ eigenvalues process of $B_{n}(t)$.
- Dyson-Brownian motion: $\exists_{n} n$ independent 1-dim Brownian motions $b_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, b_{n}^{(n)}$ such that if $\lambda_{n, 1}(0)<\cdots<\lambda_{n, n}(0)$

$$
\lambda_{n, i}(t)=\lambda_{n, i}(0)+b_{i}^{(n)}(t)+\sum_{j \neq i} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n, j}(s)-\lambda_{n, i}(s)} \mathrm{d} s
$$

- Corresponding measure valued process

$$
\mu_{t}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_{n, j}(t)},
$$

converges weakly in $C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ to $\left\{\mathrm{w}_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$.
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- Dyson process associated to the matrix Lévy process $M_{\boldsymbol{d}}(t)$ ?
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- $\left(\lambda_{1}(t), \cdots, \lambda_{n}(t)\right)$ eigenvalues process of $B_{n}(t)$.
- Dyson-Brownian motion: $\exists_{n} n$ independent 1-dim Brownian motions $b_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, b_{n}^{(n)}$ such that if $\lambda_{n, 1}(0)<\cdots<\lambda_{n, n}(0)$

$$
\lambda_{n, i}(t)=\lambda_{n, i}(0)+b_{i}^{(n)}(t)+\sum_{j \neq i} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n, j}(s)-\lambda_{n, i}(s)} \mathrm{d} s
$$

- Corresponding measure valued process

$$
\mu_{t}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_{n, j}(t)},
$$

converges weakly in $C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ to $\left\{\mathrm{w}_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$.

- Open problems:
- Dyson process associated to the matrix Lévy process $M_{d}(t)$ ?
- Asymptotics for corresponding measure valued process?
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## Matrix covariation

- If $X, Y$ are $\mathbb{M}_{p \times r}$-semimartingales

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[X, Y] } & :=\left([X, Y]_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0} \\
{[X, Y]_{t}^{i j} } & =\sum_{k=1}^{q}\left[x_{i k}, y_{k j}\right]_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

- In general,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[X, Y]_{t} } & =X_{0} Y_{0}+\left[X^{c}, Y^{c}\right]_{t}+\sum_{s \leq t}\left(\Delta X_{s}\right)\left(\Delta Y_{s}\right) \\
{\left[X^{c}, Y^{c}\right]_{t}^{i j} } & :=\sum_{k=1}^{q}\left[x_{i k}, y_{k j}\right]_{t}^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

- If continuous part is zero

$$
[X, Y]_{t}=X_{0} Y_{0}+\sum_{s \leq t}\left(\Delta X_{s}\right)\left(\Delta Y_{s}\right)
$$

- It holds

$$
X_{t} Y_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} X_{s^{-}} \mathrm{d} Y_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} X_{s} Y_{s_{-}}+[X, Y]_{t}
$$

## Infinitely divisible random matrices

## Lévy-Khintchine representation

- Random matrix $M$ is ID iff its Fourier transform $\mathbb{E} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{itr}\left(\Theta^{*} M\right)}=\exp (\psi(\Theta))$ has Laplace exponent

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(\Theta) & =\operatorname{itr}\left(\Theta^{*} \Psi\right)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta^{*} \mathcal{A} \Theta^{*}\right) \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{M}_{d}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{itr}\left(\Theta^{*} \xi\right)}-1-\mathrm{i} \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\Theta^{*} \xi\right)}{1+\|\xi\|^{2}}\right) v(\mathrm{~d} \xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\Psi \in \mathbb{M}_{d}$
- $\mathcal{A}: \mathbb{M}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{d}$ positive symmetric operator
- $v$ Lévy measure on $\mathbb{M}_{d}, v(\{0\})=0$ and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{M}_{d}}\left(\|x\|^{2} \wedge 1\right) v(\mathrm{~d} x)<\infty
$$

- The triplet $(\mathcal{A}, v, \Psi)$ is unique.
- Scalar product $\operatorname{tr}\left(A B^{*}\right)$, norm $\|A\|=\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(A A^{*}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$.

