



CIMAT

Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas, A.C.

Quantitative Erdős-Kac theorem for additive functions

joint work with X. Yang and L. Chen

Arturo Jaramillo Gil

Center of Research in Mathematics (CIMAT)

Goal

Denote by \mathcal{P} the set of prime numbers.

Denote by \mathcal{P} the set of prime numbers. Let $\omega : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the function

$$\omega(n) := |\{p \in \mathcal{P}; p \text{ divides } n\}|.$$

Denote by \mathcal{P} the set of prime numbers. Let $\omega : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the function

$$\omega(n) := |\{p \in \mathcal{P}; p \text{ divides } n\}|.$$

For example, $\omega(54) = \omega(2 \times 3^3) = 2$.

Denote by \mathcal{P} the set of prime numbers. Let $\omega : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the function

$$\omega(n) := |\{p \in \mathcal{P}; p \text{ divides } n\}|.$$

For example, $\omega(54) = \omega(2 \times 3^3) = 2$. Let J_n be a random variable with uniform distribution over $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Denote by \mathcal{P} the set of prime numbers. Let $\omega : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the function

$$\omega(n) := |\{p \in \mathcal{P}; p \text{ divides } n\}|.$$

For example, $\omega(54) = \omega(2 \times 3^3) = 2$. Let J_n be a random variable with uniform distribution over $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Objectives

- Study the asymptotic law of $\omega(J_n)$, when n is large.

Denote by \mathcal{P} the set of prime numbers. Let $\omega : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the function

$$\omega(n) := |\{p \in \mathcal{P}; p \text{ divides } n\}|.$$

For example, $\omega(54) = \omega(2 \times 3^3) = 2$. Let J_n be a random variable with uniform distribution over $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Objectives

- Study the asymptotic law of $\omega(J_n)$, when n is large.
- Generalize to the case where ω is replaced by a general function $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ only satisfying $\psi(ab) = \psi(a) + \psi(b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ coprime.

1. Historical context
2. Main results
3. Ideas of the proofs
 - Simplification of the model
 - Stein's method

Historical context

Classical Erdős-Kac theorem (1940)

Starting point: Paul Erdős and Mark Kac proved that

$$Z_n := \frac{\omega(J_n) - \log \log(n)}{\sqrt{\log \log(n)}} \quad (1)$$

converges towards a standard Gaussian random variable \mathcal{N} .

Classical Erdős-Kac theorem (1940)

Starting point: Paul Erdős and Mark Kac proved that

$$Z_n := \frac{\omega(J_n) - \log \log(n)}{\sqrt{\log \log(n)}} \quad (1)$$

converges towards a standard Gaussian random variable \mathcal{N} .

Intuition: Define $\mathcal{P}_n := \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n]$.

Classical Erdős-Kac theorem (1940)

Starting point: Paul Erdős and Mark Kac proved that

$$Z_n := \frac{\omega(J_n) - \log \log(n)}{\sqrt{\log \log(n)}} \quad (1)$$

converges towards a standard Gaussian random variable \mathcal{N} .

Intuition: Define $\mathcal{P}_n := \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n]$. The convergence in (1) can be heuristically justified by the decomposition

$$\omega(J_n) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divide } J_n\}}. \quad (2)$$

Question

Can we estimate the approximating error of the Gaussian approximation with respect to a suitable probability metric? Such as that defined by

$$d_K(X, Y) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}[X \leq z] - \mathbb{P}[Y \leq z]|$$

Question

Can we estimate the approximating error of the Gaussian approximation with respect to a suitable probability metric? Such as that defined by

$$d_K(X, Y) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}[X \leq z] - \mathbb{P}[Y \leq z]|$$

o

$$d_1(X, Y) = \sup_{h \in \text{Lip}_1} |\mathbb{E}[h(X)] - \mathbb{E}[h(Y)]|,$$

where Lip_1 is the family of Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constant less than or equal to one.

Question

Can we estimate the approximating error of the Gaussian approximation with respect to a suitable probability metric? Such as that defined by

$$d_K(X, Y) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}[X \leq z] - \mathbb{P}[Y \leq z]|$$

o

$$d_1(X, Y) = \sup_{h \in \text{Lip}_1} |\mathbb{E}[h(X)] - \mathbb{E}[h(Y)]|,$$

where Lip_1 is the family of Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constant less than or equal to one. We define additionally,

$$d_{TV}(X, Y) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} |\mathbb{P}[X \in A] - \mathbb{P}[Y \in A]|.$$

LeVeque's conjecture (1949)

LeVeque showed that

$$d_K(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \frac{\log \log \log(n)}{\log \log(n)^{\frac{1}{4}}},$$

for a constant $C > 0$ independent of n .

LeVeque's conjecture (1949)

LeVeque showed that

$$d_{\mathbb{K}}(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \frac{\log \log \log(n)}{\log \log(n)^{\frac{1}{4}}},$$

for a constant $C > 0$ independent of n . He also conjectured that

$$d_{\mathbb{K}}(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \log \log(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

LeVeque's conjecture (1949)

LeVeque showed that

$$d_K(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \frac{\log \log \log(n)}{\log \log(n)^{\frac{1}{4}}},$$

for a constant $C > 0$ independent of n . He also conjectured that

$$d_K(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \log \log(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This was later proved by Rényi and Turán (1958).

LeVeque's conjecture (1949)

LeVeque showed that

$$d_K(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \frac{\log \log \log(n)}{\log \log(n)^{\frac{1}{4}}},$$

for a constant $C > 0$ independent of n . He also conjectured that

$$d_K(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \log \log(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This was later proved by Rényi and Turán (1958). The main idea consisted in approximating $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\lambda\omega(J_n)}]$.

LeVeque's conjecture (1949)

LeVeque showed that

$$d_K(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \frac{\log \log \log(n)}{\log \log(n)^{\frac{1}{4}}},$$

for a constant $C > 0$ independent of n . He also conjectured that

$$d_K(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \log \log(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This was later proved by Rényi and Turán (1958). The main idea consisted in approximating $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\lambda\omega(J_n)}]$.

Main ingredients: Perron's formula, Dirichlet series and some estimations of the Riemann ζ function around the band $\{z \in \mathbb{C} ; \Re(z) = 1\}$.

A probabilistic approach

For $p \in \mathcal{P}$ given, we define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ as

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$

A probabilistic approach

For $p \in \mathcal{P}$ given, we define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ as

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$

Example: if $k = 54 = 2 * 3^3$, then...

A probabilistic approach

For $p \in \mathcal{P}$ given, we define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ as

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$

Example: if $k = 54 = 2 * 3^3$, then...

- $\alpha_2(54) =$

A probabilistic approach

For $p \in \mathcal{P}$ given, we define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ as

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$

Example: if $k = 54 = 2 * 3^3$, then...

- $\alpha_2(54) = 1,$

A probabilistic approach

For $p \in \mathcal{P}$ given, we define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ as

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$

Example: if $k = 54 = 2 * 3^3$, then...

- $\alpha_2(54) = 1$,
- $\alpha_3(54) = 3$,

A probabilistic approach

For $p \in \mathcal{P}$ given, we define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ as

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$

Example: if $k = 54 = 2 * 3^3$, then...

- $\alpha_2(54) = 1$,
- $\alpha_3(54) = 3$,
- $\alpha_5(54) = 0$.

A probabilistic approach

For $p \in \mathcal{P}$ given, we define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ as

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$

Example: if $k = 54 = 2 * 3^3$, then...

- $\alpha_2(54) = 1$,
- $\alpha_3(54) = 3$,
- $\alpha_5(54) = 0$.

What is the behavior of $\alpha_p(J_n)$?

Approximations for $\alpha_p(J_n)$

Let $\{\xi_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ be a family of independent geometric random variables with law

$$\mathbb{P}[\xi_p = k] = p^{-k}(1 - p^{-1}),$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Approximations for $\alpha_p(J_n)$

Let $\{\xi_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ be a family of independent geometric random variables with law

$$\mathbb{P}[\xi_p = k] = p^{-k}(1 - p^{-1}),$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Our heuristic is based on the well-known approximation

$$(\alpha_{p_1}(J_n), \dots, \alpha_{p_m}(J_n)) \stackrel{\text{Ley}}{\approx} (\xi_{p_1}, \dots, \xi_{p_m}),$$

valid for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and p_1, \dots, p_m different.

Main results

Central limit theorem for additive functions

Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\psi(ab) = \psi(a) + \psi(b)$ for a, b co-prime.

Define

$$c_{1,n} := \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} |\psi(p)|, \quad c_{2,n} := \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{1}{p^2} \mathbb{E}[\psi(p^{\xi_p+2})^2] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Central limit theorem for additive functions

Let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\psi(ab) = \psi(a) + \psi(b)$ for a, b co-prime.

Define

$$c_{1,n} := \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} |\psi(p)|, \quad c_{2,n} := \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{1}{p^2} \mathbb{E}[\psi(p^{\xi_p+2})^2] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

as well as the normalization constants

$$\mu_n = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{1}{p} \psi(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n^2 = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{1}{p} \psi(p)^2,$$

Main result for the Kolmogorov distance

Theorem (Chen, Jaramillo, Yang)

Under the above conditions,

$$d_K \left(\frac{\psi(J_n) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}, \mathcal{N} \right) \leq \frac{200c_{1,n} + 6c_{2,n}}{\sigma_n} + 67 \frac{\log \log(n)}{\log(n)}$$
$$d_1 \left(\frac{\psi(J_n) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}, \mathcal{N} \right) \leq \frac{106c_{1,n} + 2c_{2,n}}{\sigma_n} + 50 \frac{\log \log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Ideas of the proofs

Simplified model: the harmonic distribution H_n

Let H_n be a random variable with $\mathbb{P}[H_n = k] = \frac{1}{L_n k}$ for $k \leq n$, where $L_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$.

Simplified model: the harmonic distribution H_n

Let H_n be a random variable with $\mathbb{P}[H_n = k] = \frac{1}{L_n k}$ for $k \leq n$, where $L_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$. Notice that

$$H_n = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\alpha_p(H_n)}.$$

Simplified model: the harmonic distribution H_n

Let H_n be a random variable with $\mathbb{P}[H_n = k] = \frac{1}{L_n k}$ for $k \leq n$, where $L_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$. Notice that

$$H_n = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\alpha_p(H_n)}.$$

Proposition

Suppose that $n \geq 21$. We define the event

$$A_n := \left\{ \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \leq n \right\}. \quad (3)$$

Simplified model: the harmonic distribution H_n

Let H_n be a random variable with $\mathbb{P}[H_n = k] = \frac{1}{L_n k}$ for $k \leq n$, where $L_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$. Notice that

$$H_n = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\alpha_p(H_n)}.$$

Proposition

Suppose that $n \geq 21$. We define the event

$$A_n := \left\{ \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \leq n \right\}. \quad (3)$$

We have

$$\mathcal{L}((\alpha_p(H_n) ; p \in \mathcal{P}_n)) = \mathcal{L}((\xi_p ; p \in \mathcal{P}_n) | A_n) \quad (4)$$

Simplified model: the harmonic distribution H_n

Let H_n be a random variable with $\mathbb{P}[H_n = k] = \frac{1}{L_n k}$ for $k \leq n$, where $L_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$. Notice that

$$H_n = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\alpha_p(H_n)}.$$

Proposition

Suppose that $n \geq 21$. We define the event

$$A_n := \left\{ \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \leq n \right\}. \quad (3)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}((\alpha_p(H_n) ; p \in \mathcal{P}_n)) &= \mathcal{L}((\xi_p ; p \in \mathcal{P}_n) | A_n) \\ \mathcal{L}(\psi(H_n)) &= \mathcal{L}\left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\alpha_p(H_n)})\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Simplified model: the harmonic distribution H_n

Let H_n be a random variable with $\mathbb{P}[H_n = k] = \frac{1}{L_n k}$ for $k \leq n$, where $L_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$. Notice that

$$H_n = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\alpha_p(H_n)}.$$

Proposition

Suppose that $n \geq 21$. We define the event

$$A_n := \left\{ \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \leq n \right\}. \quad (3)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}((\alpha_p(H_n) ; p \in \mathcal{P}_n)) &= \mathcal{L}((\xi_p ; p \in \mathcal{P}_n) | A_n) \\ \mathcal{L}(\psi(H_n)) &= \mathcal{L}\left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\alpha_p(H_n)})\right) = \mathcal{L}\left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) | A_n\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Relation with the harmonic distribution

Let $\{Q(k)\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent random variables and independent of (J_n, H_n) , where $Q(k)$ is uniformly distributed over the set

$$\mathcal{P}_k^* := \{1\} \cup \mathcal{P}_k.$$

Relation with the harmonic distribution

Let $\{Q(k)\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent random variables and independent of (J_n, H_n) , where $Q(k)$ is uniformly distributed over the set

$$\mathcal{P}_k^* := \{1\} \cup \mathcal{P}_k.$$

Lemma (Chen, Jaramillo y Yang)

For $n \geq 21$,

$$d_{\text{TV}}(J_n, H_n Q(n/H_n)) \leq 61 \frac{\log \log n}{\log n}$$

Relation with the harmonic distribution

Let $\{Q(k)\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent random variables and independent of (J_n, H_n) , where $Q(k)$ is uniformly distributed over the set

$$\mathcal{P}_k^* := \{1\} \cup \mathcal{P}_k.$$

Lemma (Chen, Jaramillo y Yang)

For $n \geq 21$,

$$d_{\text{TV}}(J_n, H_n Q(n/H_n)) \leq 61 \frac{\log \log n}{\log n}$$
$$\mathbb{P}[Q(n/H_n) \text{ divides } H_n] \leq 6.4 \frac{\log \log n}{\log n}.$$

Relation between $\psi(J_n)$ and $\psi(H_n)$

Since H_n and $Q(n/H_n)$ are relatively prime with high probability,

Relation between $\psi(J_n)$ and $\psi(H_n)$

Since H_n and $Q(n/H_n)$ are relatively prime with high probability,

$$\frac{\psi(J_n)}{\sigma_n} \underset{d_1}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n}$$

Relation between $\psi(J_n)$ and $\psi(H_n)$

Since H_n and $Q(n/H_n)$ are relatively prime with high probability,

$$\frac{\psi(J_n)}{\sigma_n} \underset{d_1}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n} \underset{d_1}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n) + \psi(Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n}$$

Relation between $\psi(J_n)$ and $\psi(H_n)$

Since H_n and $Q(n/H_n)$ are relatively prime with high probability,

$$\frac{\psi(J_n)}{\sigma_n} \underset{\approx}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n} \underset{\approx}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n) + \psi(Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n} \underset{\approx}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n)}{\sigma_n}$$

Relation between $\psi(J_n)$ and $\psi(H_n)$

Since H_n and $Q(n/H_n)$ are relatively prime with high probability,

$$\frac{\psi(J_n)}{\sigma_n} \underset{\approx}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n} \underset{\approx}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n) + \psi(Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n} \underset{\approx}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n)}{\sigma_n}$$

Relation between $\psi(J_n)$ and $\psi(H_n)$

Since H_n and $Q(n/H_n)$ are relatively prime with high probability,

$$\frac{\psi(J_n)}{\sigma_n} \stackrel{d_1}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n} \stackrel{d_1}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n) + \psi(Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n} \stackrel{d_1}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n)}{\sigma_n}$$

Recall that conditionally over $A_n := \left\{ \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \leq n \right\}$,

$$\psi(H_n) \stackrel{Law}{=} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}).$$

Relation between $\psi(J_n)$ and $\psi(H_n)$

Since H_n and $Q(n/H_n)$ are relatively prime with high probability,

$$\frac{\psi(J_n)}{\sigma_n} \stackrel{d_1}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n} \stackrel{d_1}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n) + \psi(Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n} \stackrel{d_1}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n)}{\sigma_n}$$

Recall that conditionally over $A_n := \left\{ \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \leq n \right\}$,

$$\psi(H_n) \stackrel{Law}{=} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}).$$

The problem reduces to estimate

$$d_1 \left(Law \left(\frac{\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \mid A_n \right), \mathcal{N} \right).$$

Relation between $\psi(J_n)$ and $\psi(H_n)$

Since H_n and $Q(n/H_n)$ are relatively prime with high probability,

$$\frac{\psi(J_n)}{\sigma_n} \stackrel{d_1}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n} \stackrel{d_1}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n) + \psi(Q(n/H_n))}{\sigma_n} \stackrel{d_1}{\approx} \frac{\psi(H_n)}{\sigma_n}$$

Recall that conditionally over $A_n := \left\{ \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \leq n \right\}$,

$$\psi(H_n) \stackrel{Law}{=} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}).$$

The problem reduces to estimate

$$d_1 \left(Law \left(\frac{\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \mid A_n \right), \mathcal{N} \right).$$

We will use Stein' s method.

Lemma

Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be 1-Lipchitz. Then the equation

$$f'(x) - xf(x) = h(x) - \mathbb{E}[h(\mathcal{N})]$$

has a unique solution $f = f_h$,

Lemma

Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be 1-Lipchitz. Then the equation

$$f'(x) - xf(x) = h(x) - \mathbb{E}[h(\mathcal{N})]$$

has a unique solution $f = f_h$, which satisfies

$$\sup_{w \in \mathbb{R}} |f_h(w)| \leq 2 \quad \sup_{w \in \mathbb{R}} |f_h'(w)| \leq \sqrt{2/\pi} \quad \sup_{w \in \mathbb{R}} |f_h''(w)| \leq 2. \quad (5)$$

Lemma

Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be 1-Lipchitz. Then the equation

$$f'(x) - xf(x) = h(x) - \mathbb{E}[h(\mathcal{N})]$$

has a unique solution $f = f_h$, which satisfies

$$\sup_{w \in \mathbb{R}} |f_h(w)| \leq 2 \quad \sup_{w \in \mathbb{R}} |f_h'(w)| \leq \sqrt{2/\pi} \quad \sup_{w \in \mathbb{R}} |f_h''(w)| \leq 2. \quad (5)$$

Therefore, if X is a random variable,

$$d_K(X, \mathcal{N}) \leq \sup_f |\mathbb{E}[f'(X) - Xf(X)]|$$

where f belongs to the family of functions satisfying (5).

Poisson space representation

Define

$$W_n := \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}$$

$$y \quad I_n := \mathbb{1}_{A_n}.$$

Poisson space representation

Define

$$W_n := \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}$$

and $I_n := \mathbb{1}_{A_n}$. One can verify that

$$|\mathbb{E}[Z_n f(W_n) - f'(W_n) | A_n]| = \mathbb{P}[A_n]^{-1} |\mathbb{E}[f(W_n) W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n) I_n]|$$

Poisson space representation

Define

$$W_n := \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}$$

and $I_n := \mathbb{1}_{A_n}$. One can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[Z_n f(W_n) - f'(W_n) | A_n]| &= \mathbb{P}[A_n]^{-1} |\mathbb{E}[f(W_n) W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n) I_n]| \\ &\leq 2 |\mathbb{E}[f(W_n) W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n) I_n]|. \end{aligned}$$

Poisson space representation

Define

$$W_n := \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}$$

and $I_n := \mathbb{1}_{A_n}$. One can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[Z_n f(W_n) - f'(W_n) | A_n]| &= \mathbb{P}[A_n]^{-1} |\mathbb{E}[f(W_n) W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n) I_n]| \\ &\leq 2 |\mathbb{E}[f(W_n) W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n) I_n]|. \end{aligned}$$

Poisson space representation

Define

$$W_n := \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}$$

and $I_n := \mathbb{1}_{A_n}$. One can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[Z_n f(W_n) - f'(W_n) | A_n]| &= \mathbb{P}[A_n]^{-1} |\mathbb{E}[f(W_n) W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n) I_n]| \\ &\leq 2 |\mathbb{E}[f(W_n) W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n) I_n]|. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the right hand side, we represent W_n as a functional of a Poisson process.

Poisson space representation

Define

$$W_n := \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}$$

and $I_n := \mathbb{1}_{A_n}$. One can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[Z_n f(W_n) - f'(W_n) | A_n]| &= \mathbb{P}[A_n]^{-1} |\mathbb{E}[f(W_n) W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n) I_n]| \\ &\leq 2 |\mathbb{E}[f(W_n) W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n) I_n]|. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the right hand side, we represent W_n as a functional of a Poisson process. Consider the space

$$\mathbb{X} := \{(p, k) : p \in \mathcal{P}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Poisson space representation

Define

$$W_n := \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}$$

y $I_n := \mathbb{1}_{A_n}$. One can verify that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[Z_n f(W_n) - f'(W_n) | A_n]| &= \mathbb{P}[A_n]^{-1} |\mathbb{E}[f(W_n) W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n) I_n]| \\ &\leq 2 |\mathbb{E}[f(W_n) W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n) I_n]|. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the right hand side, we represent W_n as a functional of a Poisson process. Consider the space

$$\mathbb{X} := \{(p, k) : p \in \mathcal{P}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Let η be a Poisson point process over \mathbb{X} , with intensity $\lambda : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ given by

$$\lambda(p, k) = \frac{1}{kp^k}, \quad \text{para } p \in \mathcal{P}, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Stein's method

Using characteristic functions, one can show that

$$\xi_p \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} \sum_{k \geq 1} k \eta(p, k), \quad (6)$$

which after algebraic manipulations yields

$$W_n \approx \tilde{\eta}(\rho_n), \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{\eta} = \eta(p, k) - \mathbb{E}[\eta(p, k)]$ is the compensation of $\eta(p, k)$ and

$$\rho_n(k, p) := \sigma_n^{-1} \psi(p) \mathbb{1}_{\{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, k=1\}}. \quad (8)$$

Stein's method

Using characteristic functions, one can show that

$$\xi_p \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} \sum_{k \geq 1} k \eta(p, k), \quad (6)$$

which after algebraic manipulations yields

$$W_n \approx \tilde{\eta}(\rho_n), \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{\eta} = \eta(p, k) - \mathbb{E}[\eta(p, k)]$ is the compensation of $\eta(p, k)$ and

$$\rho_n(k, p) := \sigma_n^{-1} \psi(p) \mathbb{1}_{\{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, k=1\}}. \quad (8)$$

Stein's method

Using characteristic functions, one can show that

$$\xi_p \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} \sum_{k \geq 1} k \eta(p, k), \quad (6)$$

which after algebraic manipulations yields

$$W_n \approx \tilde{\eta}(\rho_n), \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{\eta} = \eta(p, k) - \mathbb{E}[\eta(p, k)]$ is the compensation of $\eta(p, k)$ and

$$\rho_n(k, p) := \sigma_n^{-1} \psi(p) \mathbb{1}_{\{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, k=1\}}. \quad (8)$$

As a consequence, if $G_n(\eta)$ for some function G_n ,

Stein's method

Using characteristic functions, one can show that

$$\xi_p \stackrel{\text{Law}}{=} \sum_{k \geq 1} k \eta(p, k), \quad (6)$$

which after algebraic manipulations yields

$$W_n \approx \tilde{\eta}(\rho_n), \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{\eta} = \eta(p, k) - \mathbb{E}[\eta(p, k)]$ is the compensation of $\eta(p, k)$ and

$$\rho_n(k, p) := \sigma_n^{-1} \psi(p) \mathbb{1}_{\{p \in \mathcal{P}_n, k=1\}}. \quad (8)$$

As a consequence, if $G_n(\eta)$ for some function G_n ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\eta}(\rho_n) G_n(\eta)] = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \rho_n(x) \mathbb{E}[D_x G_n(\eta)] \lambda(dx), \quad (9)$$

where $D_x G_n(\eta) := G_n(\eta + \delta_x) - G_n(\eta)$.

For the case where $G_n = f(W_n)I_n$, by the previous formula,

$$\mathbb{E}[W_n f(W_n)I_n] = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \rho_n(x) \mathbb{E}[D_x G_n(\eta)] \lambda(dx).$$

For the case where $G_n = f(W_n)I_n$, by the previous formula,

$$\mathbb{E}[W_n f(W_n)I_n] = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \rho_n(x) \mathbb{E}[D_x G_n(\eta)] \lambda(dx).$$

One can verify the approximation $D_x(f(W_n)I_n) \approx f'(W_n)\rho_n(x)I_n$, so that

$$\mathbb{E}[W_n f(W_n)I_n] \approx \int_{\mathbb{X}} \rho_n(x)^2 \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n)I_n] \lambda(dx) = \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n)I_n].$$

From the above analysis we get

$$d_1(Z_n, \mathcal{N})$$

The result follows from a suitable measurement of the error of the approximations.

From the above analysis we get

$$d_1(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \approx d_1(\text{Law}(W_n | A_n), \mathcal{N})$$

The result follows from a suitable measurement of the error of the approximations.

From the above analysis we get

$$\begin{aligned}d_1(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) &\approx d_1(\text{Law}(W_n | A_n), \mathcal{N}) \\ &\leq |\mathbb{E}[W_n f(W_n) - f'(W_n) | A_n]|\end{aligned}$$

The result follows from a suitable measurement of the error of the approximations.

From the above analysis we get

$$\begin{aligned}d_1(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) &\approx d_1(\text{Law}(W_n | A_n), \mathcal{N}) \\ &\leq |\mathbb{E}[W_n f(W_n) - f'(W_n) | A_n]| \\ &\leq 2|\mathbb{E}[f(W_n)W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n)I_n]| \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from a suitable measurement of the error of the approximations.

From the above analysis we get

$$\begin{aligned}d_1(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) &\approx d_1(\text{Law}(W_n | A_n), \mathcal{N}) \\ &\leq |\mathbb{E}[W_n f(W_n) - f'(W_n) | A_n]| \\ &\leq 2|\mathbb{E}[f(W_n)W_n I_n] - \mathbb{E}[f'(W_n)I_n]| \approx 0\end{aligned}$$

The result follows from a suitable measurement of the error of the approximations.

Theorem (Chen, Jaramillo y Yang)

Suppose that ψ takes values in \mathbb{N} and let M_n be a Poisson random variable with intensity μ_n . Then,

$$d_K(\psi(J_n), M_n) \leq \frac{200c_{1,n} + 6c_{2,n}}{\sqrt{\mu_n}} + \frac{2c_{1,n}}{\mu_n} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \frac{|\psi(p) - 1|}{p}. \quad (10)$$

References

-  Chen L., Jaramillo A., Yang X. A probabilistic approach to the Erdős-Kac theorem for additive functions. Soon in Arxiv.
-  R. Arratia. On the amount of dependence in the prime factorization of a uniform random integer. In Contemporary combinatorics, volume 10 of Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., pages 29–91. János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2002.
-  A. D. Barbour, E. Kowalski, and A. Nikeghbali. Mod-discrete expansions. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 158(3-4):859–893, 2014.
-  Adam J. Harper. Two new proofs of the Erdős-Kac theorem, with bound on the rate of convergence, by Stein's method for distributional approximations. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 147(1):95–114, 2009.