Comunicaciones del CIMAT

DISTRIBUTIONAL PROPERTIES OF EXPONENTIAL FUNCTIONALS OF LÉVY PROCESSES

A. Kuznetsov, J. C. Pardo and M. Savov

CIMAT

Distributional properties of exponential functionals of Lévy processes

A. Kuznetsov * , **J. C. Pardo** † , **M. Savov** ‡

This version: May 31, 2011

Abstract

We study the distribution of the exponential functional $I(\xi, \eta) = \int_0^\infty \exp(\xi_{t-}) \mathrm{d}\eta_t$, where ξ and η are independent Lévy processes. In the general setting using the theories of Markov processes and Schwartz distributions we prove that the law of this exponential functional satisfies an integral equation, which generalizes Proposition 2.1 in [9]. In the special case when η is a Brownian motion with drift we show that this integral equation leads to an important functional equation for the Mellin transform of $I(\xi, \eta)$, which proves to be a very useful tool for studying the distributional properties of this random variable. For general Lévy process ξ (η being Brownian motion with drift) we prove that the exponential functional has a smooth density on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, but surprisingly the second derivative at zero may fail to exist. Under the additional assumption that ξ has some positive exponential moments we establish an asymptotic behaviour of $\mathbb{P}(I(\xi, \eta) > x)$ as $x \to +\infty$, and under similar assumptions on the negative exponential moments of ξ we obtain a precise asymptotic expansion of the density of $I(\xi, \eta)$ as $x \to 0$. Under further assumptions on the Lévy process ξ one is able to prove much stronger results about the density of the exponential functional and we illustrate some of the ideas and techniques for the case when ξ has hyper-exponential jumps.

Keywords: Lévy processes, exponential functional, integral equations, Mellin transform, asymptotic expansions.

AMS 2000 subject classifications: 60G51.

---

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, York University, 4700 Keele Street, Toronto, Ontario, M3J 1P3, Canada. Email: kuznetsov@mathstat.yorku.ca. Research supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

†Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas A.C. Calle Jalisco s/n. 36240 Guanajuato, México. Email: jc-pardo@cimat.mx

‡New College, Holywell Street, Oxford, OX1 3BN, UK. Email: savov@stat.ox.ac.uk ; mladensavov@hotmail.com

1   Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in studying distributional properties of the random variable

$$I(\xi, \eta) := \int_0^\infty e^{\xi_{t-}} \mathrm{d}\eta_t, \tag{1.1}$$

where ξ and η are independent real-valued Lévy processes such that ξ drifts to $-\infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[|\xi_1|] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[|\eta_1|] < \infty$.

The exponential functionals $I(\xi, \eta)$ appear in various aspects of probability theory. They describe the stationary measure of generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes and the entrance law of positive self-similar Markov processes, see [6, 9]. They also play a role in the theory of fragmentation processes and branching processes, see [4, 22]. Besides their theoretical value, the exponential functionals are very important objects in Mathematical Finance and Insurance Mathematics. They are related to Asian options, present values of certain perpetuities, etc., see [10, 17, 14] for some particular examples and results.

In general, the distribution of exponential functionals is difficult to study. It is known explicitly only in some very special cases, see [8, 14, 19]. Properties of the distribution of $I(\xi, \eta)$ are also of particular interest. Lindner and Sato [25] show that the density of $I(\xi, \eta)$ doesn't always exist, and in the special case when ξ and η are specific compound Poisson processes, distributional properties of $I(\xi, \eta)$ can be related to the problem of absolute continuity of the distribution of Bernoulli convolutions, which dates back to Erdös, see [12]. The distribution of $I(\xi, \eta)$, when $\xi_s = -s$ and in some other instances, is known to be self-decomposable and hence absolutely continuous, see [5, 18]. When η is a subordinator with a strictly positive drift the law of the exponential functional $I(\xi, \eta)$ is absolutely continuous, see Theorem 3.9 in Bertoin et al. [5]. Some further results are obtained in [23, 28, 29, 34].

The asymptotic behaviour $\mathbb{P}(I(\xi, \eta) > x)$, as $x \to \infty$, is a question which has attracted the attention of many researchers. In the general case, but under rather stringent requirements on the existence of exponential moments for ξ and absolute moments for η, it has been studied in [24]. The special case when $\eta_t = t$ has been considered in [26, 30, 31] and properties of the density of the law of $I(\xi, \eta)$ at zero and infinity have been studied by [19, 21, 27] and results such as asymptotic and series expansions for the density have been obtained.

The first objective of this paper is to develop a general integral equation for the law of $I(\xi, \eta)$ under the assumptions that $\mathbb{E}[|\xi_1|] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[|\eta_1|] < \infty$ and ξ being independent of η. Using the fact that in general $I(\xi, \eta)$ is a stationary law of a generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, Carmona et al. [9] show that if ξ has jumps of bounded variation and $\eta_t = t$ then the law of $I(\xi, \eta)$ satisfies a certain integral equation. We refine and strengthen their approach and using both stationarity properties of $I(\xi, \eta)$ and Schwartz theory of distributions we show that in the general setting the law of $I(\xi, \eta)$ satisfies a certain integral equation. This equation is important on its own right, as demonstrated by Corollary 1, but it is also amenable to different useful transformations as can be seen from the discussion below.

The second main objective of our paper is to study some properties of $I_{\mu,\sigma} = I(\xi, \eta)$ in the specific case when $\eta_s = \mu s + \sigma B_s$, where B_s is a standard Brownian motion. Quantities of this type have already appeared in the literature, see [14], but have not been thoroughly studied. The latter, as it seems to us, is due to the lack of suitable techniques, which are available in the case when $\eta_s = s$, and in particular due to the lack of any information about the Mellin transform of $I(\xi, \eta)$, which is the key tool for studying the properties of $I(\xi, \eta)$, see [19, 21, 26]. We use the integral equation

(2.2) and combine techniques from special functions, complex analysis and probability theory to study the Mellin transform of $I_{\mu,\sigma}$, i.e. $\mathcal{M}(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[(I_{\mu,\sigma})^{s-1}\mathbf{1}_{\{I_{\mu,\sigma}>0\}}\right]$. In particular we derive an important functional equation for $\mathcal{M}(s)$, see (3.8), and study the decay of $\mathcal{M}(s)$ as $\mathrm{Im}(s) \to \infty$. These results supply us with quite powerful tools for studying the properties of the density of $I_{\mu,\sigma}$ via the Mellin inversion. Furthermore, the functional equation (3.8) allows for a meromorphic extension of $\mathcal{M}(s)$ when ξ has some exponential moments. This culminates in very precise asymptotic results for $\mathbb{P}(I_{\mu,\sigma} > x)$, as $x \to \infty$, see Theorem 5, and asymptotic expansions for $k(x)$ – the density of $I_{\mu,\sigma}$, as $x \to 0$, see Theorem 4. The latter results show us that while $k(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$, rather unexpectedly $k''(0)$ may not exist. Finally we would like to point out that while the behaviour of $\mathbb{P}(I_{\mu,\sigma} > x)$, as $x \to \infty$, might be partially studied via the fact that $I_{\mu,\sigma}$ solves a random recurrence equation, see for example [24], the behaviour of $k(x)$, as $x \to 0$, seems for the moment to be only tractable via our approach based on the Mellin transform.

As another illustration of possible applications of our general results we study the density of $I_{\mu,\sigma}$ when ξ has hyper-exponential jumps (see [7, 8, 20]). This class of processes is quite important for applications in Mathematical Finance and Insurance Mathematics, and it is particularly well suited for investigation using our methods due to the rich analytical structure enjoyed by these processes. In this case we show how to derive complete asymptotic expansions of $k(x)$ both at zero and infinity. We point out that our methodology is not restricted to this particular case, and can be easily applied to more general classes of Lévy processes.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we study the law of $I(\xi, \eta)$ for general independent Lévy processes ξ and η and derive an integral equation for the law of $I(\xi, \eta)$; in Section 3 we specialize the results obtained in Section 2 to the case when $\eta_s = \mu s + \sigma B_s$ and, employing additionally various techniques from special functions and complex analysis, we study the properties of the density of $I_{\mu,\sigma}$. Section 4 is devoted to some applications of the results derived in the previous section, we study the asymptotic behaviour at infinity of the tail of $I_{\mu,\sigma}$ and its density at zero, and in the case of processes with hyper-exponential jumps we show how these results can be considerably strengthened. Finally, Section 5 contains the proofs of all the results.

2 Integral equation satisfied by $m(\mathrm{d}x)$

Let us introduce some notation which will be used throughout this paper. Let $\Pi_{\xi}(\mathrm{d}x)$ and $\Pi_{\eta}(\mathrm{d}x)$ be the Lévy measures of ξ and η, respectively. We use the following notation for the double-integrated tail

$$\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_{\xi}^{(+)}(x) = \int_x^{\infty} \Pi_{\xi}((y,\infty))\mathrm{d}y \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_{\xi}^{(-)}(x) = \int_x^{\infty} \Pi_{\xi}((-\infty,-y))\mathrm{d}y,$$

and similarly for $\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_{\eta}^{(+)}$ and $\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_{\eta}^{(-)}$. Note that all the above quantities are finite for $x > 0$ since $\mathbb{E}[|\xi_1|] < \infty$. We define the Laplace exponent of ξ and η as $\psi_{\xi}(z) = \ln\left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{z\xi_1}\right]\right)$ and $\psi_{\eta}(z) = \ln\left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{z\eta_1}\right]\right)$, where without any further assumptions ψ_{ξ} and ψ_{η} are defined at least for $\mathrm{Re}(z) = 0$, see [3, Chapter I]. Since $\mathbb{E}[|\xi_1|] < \infty$ we will use the following specific forms of ψ_{ξ} (which corresponds to the cutoff finction $h(x) \equiv 1$ in the general Lévy-Khintchine formula, see [3])

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\xi}(z) &= \frac{\sigma_{\xi}^2}{2}z^2 + b_{\xi}z + \int_{\mathbb{R}}(e^{zx} - 1 - zx)\,\Pi_{\xi}(\mathrm{d}x) \\
&= \frac{\sigma_{\xi}^2}{2}z^2 + b_{\xi}z + z^2\left(\int_0^{\infty}\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_{\xi}^{(+)}(w)e^{xz}dx + \int_0^{\infty}\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_{\xi}^{(-)}(x)e^{-xz}dx\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.1}
$$

3

with a similar expression for ψ_η. Note that with this notation we have $b_\xi = \psi'_\xi(0) = \mathbb{E}[\xi_1]$.

Our main result in this section is the derivation of an integral equation for the law of $I(\xi, \eta)$. This equation will be very useful for us later, when we'll derive the functional equation (3.8) for the Mellin transform of the exponential functional in the special case $\eta_t = \mu t + \sigma B_t$. The main idea of this Theorem comes from Proposition 2.1 in [9].

Theorem 1. *Assume that ξ and η are as in* (5.3) *with $b_\xi < 0$. Then $I(\xi, \eta)$ is well defined and its law, hereafter denoted by $m(\mathrm{d}x)$, satisfies the following integral equation: for $v > 0$*

$$
\left(b_\xi \int_v^\infty m(\mathrm{d}x) \right) \mathrm{d}v + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2} v m(\mathrm{d}v) + \left(\int_v^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)} \left(\ln \frac{x}{v} \right) m(\mathrm{d}x) \right) \mathrm{d}v + \left(\int_0^v \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)} \left(\ln \frac{v}{x} \right) m(\mathrm{d}x) \right) \mathrm{d}v
$$

$$
+ \left(b_\eta \int_v^\infty \frac{m(\mathrm{d}x)}{x} \right) \mathrm{d}v + \frac{\sigma_\eta^2}{2} \frac{m(\mathrm{d}v)}{v} - \left(\frac{\sigma_\eta^2}{2} \int_v^\infty \frac{m(\mathrm{d}x)}{x^2} \right) \mathrm{d}v
$$

$$
+ \left(\frac{1}{v} \int_0^v \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)} (v - x) m(\mathrm{d}x) \right) \mathrm{d}v + \left(\frac{1}{v} \int_v^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(-)} (x - v) m(\mathrm{d}x) \right) \mathrm{d}v \qquad (2.2)
$$

$$
- \left(\int_v^\infty \frac{1}{w^2} \int_0^w \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)} (w - x) m(\mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}w \right) \mathrm{d}v - \left(\int_v^\infty \frac{1}{w^2} \int_w^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(-)} (x - w) m(\mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}w \right) \mathrm{d}v = 0,
$$

where all quantities in (2.2) *are a.e. finite. Equation* (2.2) *for the law of $I(\xi, -\eta)$ on $(0, \infty)$ describes $m(\mathrm{d}x)$ on $(-\infty, 0)$.*

Proof. See section 5, page 18. $\qquad\square$

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the so-called generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (GOU) process, which is defined as

$$
U_t = U_t(\xi, \eta) = x e^{\xi_t} + e^{\xi_t} \int_0^t e^{-\xi_{s-}} \mathrm{d}\eta_s \overset{d}{=} x e^{\xi_t} + \int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}} \mathrm{d}\eta_s, \text{ for } t > 0. \qquad (2.3)
$$

Note that the GOU process is a strong Markov process, see [9, Appendix 1]. Lindner and Maller [24] have shown that the existence of a stationary distribution for the GOU process is closely related to the a.s. convergence of the stochastic integral $\int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}} \mathrm{d}\eta_s$, as $t \to \infty$. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence of $I(\xi, \eta)$ were obtained by Erickson and Maller [13]. More precisely, they showed that this happens if and only if

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \xi_t = -\infty \quad \text{a.s.} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-e, e]} \left[\frac{\log |y|}{1 + \int_1^{\log |y| \vee 1} \Pi_\xi(\mathbb{R} \setminus (-z, z)) \mathrm{d}z} \right] \Pi_\eta(\mathrm{d}y) < \infty. \qquad (2.4)
$$

Under our assumptions, i.e. $\mathbb{E}|\xi_1| < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}|\eta_1| < \infty$, we note that (2.4) is clearly satisfied. Hence the stationary distribution satisfies $U_\infty \overset{d}{=} I(\xi, \eta)$ and this is the starting point of our proof.

The strategy of the proof of Theorem 1 consists of three steps. We first compute the generator of U, here denoted by $\mathfrak{L}^{(U)}$. Next the stationary measure $m(\mathrm{d}x)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\int_0^\infty \mathfrak{L}^{(U)} f(x) m(\mathrm{d}x) = 0, \qquad (2.5)
$$

where f is any infinitely differentiable function with a compact support in $(0, \infty)$. Finally an application of Schwartz theory of distributions after rephrasing (2.5) gives (2.2).

We start by working out how the infinitesimal generator of U, i.e. $\mathfrak{L}^{(U)}$, acts on functions in $\mathcal{K} \subset C_0(\mathbb{R})$, where

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ f(x) : f(x) \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}), f(e^x) \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \cap \{ f(x) = 0, \text{ for } x \le 0; f'(0) = f''(0) = 0 \} \tag{2.6}$$

and $C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ stands for two times differentiable, bounded functions with bounded derivatives on $\mathbb{R}$ and $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of continuous functions vanishing at $\pm\infty$. Denote by $\mathfrak{L}^{(\xi)}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{(\eta)}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{D}^\xi$ and $\mathfrak{D}^\eta$) the infinitesimal generators (resp. domains) of ξ and η. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}^{(\xi)} f(x) &= b_\xi f'(x) + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2} f''(x) + \int_\mathbb{R} \left(f(x+y) - f(x) - y f'(x) \right) \Pi_\xi(dy) \tag{2.7} \\ &= b_\xi f'(x) + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2} f''(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f''(x+w) \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}(w) dw + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f''(x-w) \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}(w) dw, \end{aligned}$$

with a similar expression for $\mathfrak{L}^{(\eta)}$. The first formula in (2.7) is a trivial modification of the form of the generator of Lévy process for the case when the cutoff function is $h(x) \equiv 1$, see [3, p 24], whereas the second expression follows easily by integration by parts, the fact that $f \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathbb{E}|\xi_1| < \infty$. Finally we are ready to state our result, which should strictly be seen as an extension of Proposition 5.8 in [9] where the generator $\mathfrak{L}^{(U)}$ has been derived under very stringent conditions.

Proposition 1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{K}$, $g(x) := (xf'(x))$ and $\phi(x) := f(e^x)$. Then, $f \in \mathfrak{D}^\eta$, $\phi \in \mathfrak{D}^\xi$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}^{(U)} f(x) &= \mathfrak{L}^{(\xi)} \phi(\ln x) + \mathfrak{L}^{(\eta)} f(x) \\ &= b_\xi g(x) + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2} x g'(x) + \int_0^x g'(v) \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)} \left(\ln \frac{x}{v} \right) dv + \int_x^\infty g'(v) \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)} \left(\ln \frac{v}{x} \right) dv \\ &\quad + b_\eta f'(x) + \frac{\sigma_\eta^2}{2} f''(x) + \int_0^\infty f''(x+w) \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(w) dw + \int_0^\infty f''(x-w) \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(-)}(w) dw. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where we recall that $b_\xi = \mathbb{E}[\xi_1]$ and $b_\eta = \mathbb{E}[\eta_1]$.

Proof. See section 5, page 14. $\qquad\square$

The next result is an almost immediate corollary of Theorem 1, and in particular formula (2.2). See also Corollary 3 for a stronger result in a particular case when $\sigma_\eta^2 > 0$.

Corollary 1. *If $\sigma_\xi^2 + \sigma_\eta^2 > 0$ then $m(dx)$ is absolutely continuous with continuous density $k(x)$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. See section 5, page 19. $\qquad\square$

3 Exponential functionals with respect to Brownian motion with drift

In this section we study the special case when $\eta_t = \mu t + \sigma B_t$, so that the exponential functional is defined as

$$I_{\mu,\sigma} := \int_0^\infty e^{\xi_{t-}} (\mu dt + \sigma dB_t). \tag{3.1}$$

5

As we have discussed above, this exponential functional exists if $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1] < 0$. Everywhere in this section we assume that $\sigma > 0$. Note that in this case $I_{\mu,\sigma} \overset{d}{=} \sigma I_{\mu/\sigma,1}$, therefore it is sufficient to study the exponential functional with $\sigma = 1$. Everywhere in this section we will write $\psi(s) = \psi_\xi(s)$ in order to simplify the notation.

The following three quantities will be very important later

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho &:= \sup\{z \geq 0 : \mathbb{E}\left[e^{z\xi_1}\right] < \infty\}, \\
\hat{\rho} &:= \sup\{z \geq 0 : \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-z\xi_1}\right] < \infty\}, \\
\theta &:= \sup\{z \geq 0 : \mathbb{E}\left[e^{z\xi_1}\right] \leq 1\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.2}
$$

In view of (2.1) it is clear that

$$
\rho = \sup\{z \geq 0 : \int_1^\infty e^{zx}\Pi_\xi(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty\}, \quad \hat{\rho} = \sup\{z \geq 0 : \int_1^\infty e^{zx}\Pi_\xi(-\mathrm{d}x) < \infty\}.
$$

thus $\rho > 0$ ($\hat{\rho} > 0$) if and only if the measure $\Pi_\xi(\mathrm{d}x)$ has exponentially decaying positive (negative) tail. In this case the Lévy-Khintchine formula (2.1) implies that the Laplace exponent $\psi(z)$ can be extended analytically in a strip $-\hat{\rho} < \mathrm{Re}(z) < \rho$. At the same time, since $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1] = \psi'(0) < 0$ we see that $\theta > 0$ if and only if $\rho > 0$.

In the next Lemma we collect some simple analytical properties of the Laplace exponent $\psi(z)$.

Lemma 1. *Let ξ be Lévy process with a negative mean and $\rho > 0$. Then $\psi(s)$ has no zeros in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \theta$. Moreover if ξ has a non-lattice distribution and $\psi(\theta) = 0$, then θ is the unique zero of $\psi(s)$ in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \leq \theta$ and the unique real zero in the interval $(0, \rho)$.*

Proof. See section 5, page 19. □

Next, let us introduce two other important objects

$$
J_\alpha = \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha\xi_t}dt, \quad \text{and} \quad V = \frac{J_1^2}{J_2}.
\tag{3.3}
$$

We will frequently use the following result, its proof follows immediately from Lemma 2.1 in [26]:

Proposition 2. *For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ in the strip $-1 \leq \mathrm{Re}(z) < \theta/\alpha$ we have $\mathbb{E}\left[J_\alpha^z\right] < \infty$.*

Our main object of interest is the probability density function of $I_{\mu,\sigma}$, which we will denote by $k(x) = k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$. In the next Lemma we collect some simple properties of $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$.

Lemma 2. *The law of $I_{\mu,\sigma}$ has a continuously differentiable density $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ which is given by*

$$
k_{\mu,\sigma}(x) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi z}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu y)^2}{2z\sigma^2}} \mathbb{P}(J_1 \in \mathrm{d}y; J_2 \in \mathrm{d}z).
\tag{3.4}
$$

Moreover, both functions $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ and $k'_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ are uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{R}$ and $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ is decreasing on $\mathbb{R}_+$ for any $\mu \leq 0$.

Proof. See section 5, page 20. □

Our main tool for studying the properties of $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ will be the Mellin transform of $I_{\mu,\sigma}$, which is defined for $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1$ as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) = \mathbb{E}[(I_{\mu,\sigma})^{s-1}\mathbf{1}_{\{I_{\mu,\sigma}>0\}}] = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1}k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)\mathrm{d}x. \tag{3.5}$$

Later we will extend this definition for a wider range of s, but a priori it is not clear why this object should be finite for $\mathrm{Re}(s) \neq 1$. Also, this choice of truncated random variable may seem awkward, since we only use the information about the density $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ for $x \geq 0$. However, it is easy to see that the Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ uniquely determines $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ for $x \geq 0$ while $\mathcal{M}_{-\mu,\sigma}(s)$ uniquely determines $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ for $x \leq 0$. This follows from the simple fact that $k_{\mu,\sigma}(-x) = k_{-\mu,\sigma}(x)$ (clearly $I_{\mu,\sigma} \stackrel{d}{=} -I_{-\mu,\sigma}$, see (3.1)). Moreover, later it will be clear that our definition of the Mellin transform is in fact quite natural, since $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ satisfies the crucial functional equation (3.8), which will lead to a wealth of interesting information about $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$.

As a first step in our study of the Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ we obtain its analytic continuation into a vertical strip in a complex plane.

Lemma 3. *The function $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ can be extended to an analytic function in the strip $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta$, except for a simple pole at $s = 0$ with residue $k(0)$. Moreover, for all s in the strip $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta$ we have*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) = \frac{k(0)}{s} + \int_0^1 (k(x) - k(0))x^{s-1}\mathrm{d}x + \int_1^\infty k(x)x^{s-1}\mathrm{d}x, \tag{3.6}$$

and for all s in the strip $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 0$ it is true that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) = -\frac{1}{s}\int_0^\infty x^s k'(x)\mathrm{d}x. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. See section 5, page 20. $\qquad\qquad\square$

The next theorem is our first main result in this section.

Theorem 2. *Assume that $\theta > 0$. Then for all s such that $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \theta$ we have*

$$\frac{\psi(s)}{s}\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s+1) + \mu\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(s-1)\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s-1) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. See section 5, page 22. $\qquad\qquad\square$

Remark 1. Note that the functional equation (3.8) is a more general version of the well-known functional equation when $\sigma = 0$, see formula (2.3) in Maulik and Zwart, [26]. Nonetheless, the derivation of (3.8) requires the integral equation (2.2) whereas the classical functional equation (2.3) in [26] can be obtained by rather simple arguments.

Theorem 2 will prove crucial for applications. It allows to derive the analytical properties of the Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ (such as its behaviour at the singularities and their precise location in the complex plane) from the properties of the Laplace exponent $\psi(s)$ itself. The next result serves to illustrate these ideas.

Corollary 2. *Assume that $\theta > 0$.*

(i) *The function $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ can be analytically continued into the strip $\mathrm{Re}(s) \in (-1 - \hat\rho, 1 + \rho)$. Its only singularities in the strip $-1 - \hat\rho < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta$ are the simple poles at the points $\{-n : 0 \le n < 1 + \hat\rho\}$.*

(ii) *If ξ has a non-lattice distribution and $\theta < \rho$ then $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1 + \theta$ with residue*

$$R(\theta) := -\frac{\theta}{\psi'(\theta)} \left(\mu \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(\theta) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(\theta - 1)\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(\theta - 1) \right). \tag{3.9}$$

The only other singularities of $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \rho$ are poles of the form $\zeta + n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and ζ is a root of $\psi(s)$ in the strip $\theta < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \rho$.

(iii) *Consider the "boundary" case $\theta = \rho$. Assume that ξ has a non-lattice distribution. If $\psi(\theta) < 0$ then the function $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ is continuous in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \le 1 + \theta$. On the other hand, if $\psi(\theta) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[\xi_1^2 \exp(\theta\xi_1)\right] < \infty$, then the function $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) - R(\theta)/(s - 1 - \theta)$ is continuous in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \le 1 + \theta$.*

Proof. See section 5, page 23. $\qquad\square$

In view of (3.4) it is clear that $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ depends on the joint distribution of J_1 and J_2. As we will see later in Lemma 5, the Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ can be expressed in terms of the joint moments $\mathbb{E}[J_1^u J_2^v]$. The next Lemma presents several crucial results on the existence of joint moments of this form. Recall that we have defined $V = J_1^2/J_2$.

Lemma 4.

(i) *There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\epsilon V}\right] < \infty. \tag{3.10}$$

(ii) *For any $(u, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ in the domain*

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ -1 < s < 1 + \theta, \, u \le 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ s > 0; u > 0; u \le 1 - s \right\}$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[J_1^{-u} J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(u+s-1)} \right] < \infty. \tag{3.11}$$

The function $(u, s) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left[J_1^{-u} J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(u+s-1)} \right]$ is analytic as long as $(\mathrm{Re}(s), \mathrm{Re}(u)) \in \mathcal{D}$ and it is uniformly bounded if $(\mathrm{Re}(s), \mathrm{Re}(u))$ belongs to a compact subset of $\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. See section 5, page 24. $\qquad\square$

Now we are ready to present several integral expressions for the Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$. These expressions are interesting in their own right, but they will also lead to an important result about the exponential decay of $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ as $\mathrm{Im}(s) \to \infty$ (Theorem 3 below). Note that due to the identity $I_{\mu,\sigma} \overset{d}{=} \sigma I_{\mu/\sigma,1}$ we have $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) = \sigma^{s-1}\mathcal{M}_{\mu/\sigma,1}(s)$, therefore it is enough to state the results for $\sigma = 1$.

Recall that the confluent hypergeometric function (see section 9.2 in [16] or chapter 6 in [11]) is defined as

$$_1F_1(a, b, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a)_n}{(b)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $(a)_n = a(a+1) \dots (a+n-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol. Using the ratio test it is easy to see that the series in (3.12) converges for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, thus $_1F_1(a, b, z)$ is an entire function of z.

Lemma 5.

(i) For $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta$

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,1}(s) = \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}(s+1)} \Gamma(s)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(s+1)\right)} \mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(s-1)} \right]. \tag{3.13}$$

(ii) For $\mu < 0$ and $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s) = \mathcal{M}_{0,1}(s) + \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}(s+1)}}{2\pi i} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}+i\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(u)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(u+s+1)\right)} \mathbb{E}\left[J_1^{-u} J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(u+s-1)} \right] (2\mu^2)^{-\frac{u}{2}} \mathrm{d}u. \tag{3.14}$$

(iii) For $\mu > 0$ and $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s) = \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}(s-1)} \Gamma(s)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(s+1)\right)} \mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(s-1)} \,_1F_1\left(\frac{1-s}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\mu^2}{2} V \right) \right] - \mathcal{M}_{-\mu,1}(s). \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. See section 5, page 28. $\qquad \square$

The next theorem is our second main result in this section and its estimate opens the way for the application of powerful complex-analytical tools.

Theorem 3. *For any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and any small number $\delta > 0$ there exist constants $A = A(\mu, \sigma, \delta) > 0$ and $B = B(\mu, \sigma, \delta) > 0$ such that*

$$\left| \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) \right| \leq A e^{-B|Im(s)|} \tag{3.16}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathrm{Re}(s) \in (-1 + \delta, 1 + \theta - \delta)$ and $|\mathrm{Im}(s)| > 1$.

Proof. See section 5, page 30. $\qquad \square$

Corollary 3. *The function $k(x)$ is infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $k(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. See section 5, page 31. $\qquad \square$

Corollary 4. *Assume that $\hat{\rho} > 0$ and $\theta > 0$. For any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and any small number $\delta > 0$ the estimate (3.16) holds uniformly in the strip $\mathrm{Re}(s) \in (-1 - \hat{\rho} + \delta, 1 + \theta - \delta)$.*

Proof. See section 5, page 32. $\qquad \square$

Theorem 3 is very important for several reasons. First of all, as we have seen in Corollary 3, it implies smoothness of $k(x)$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. This should be compared with the case $\sigma = 0$, where it is known that $k(x)$ may be non-smooth on $(0, \infty)$. For example, if ξ has bounded variation and negative linear drift μ_ξ, then $k(x)$ may be non-smooth at point $-1/\mu_\xi$, see Proposition 2.1 in [9] and remark 2 in [19]. Secondly, as we will see in the next section, Theorem 3 together with Theorem 2 will allow us to use simple techniques from Complex Analysis, such as shifting the contour of integration in the inverse Mellin transform, to prove rather strong results about the asymptotic behavior of $k(x)$ as $x \to 0^+$ or $x \to +\infty$.

9

4 Applications

In this section we present several applications of the results obtained in the previous section. We are still working under the same assumptions as in Section 3, i.e. we consider the exponential functional $I_{\mu,\sigma}$ defined by (3.1) and assume that $\sigma > 0$.

Our main tools are the meromorphic extension of $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}$, Tauberian theorems and Mellin inversion with shifting of the contour of integration. We will also use the functional equation (3.8) and the estimate (3.16) developed in Section 3. We derive some asymptotic results for $k(x)$ as $x \to 0$, see Theorem 4, while in Theorem 5 we discuss the behaviour of $\mathbb{P}(I_{\mu,\sigma} > x)$ and $k(x)$ as $x \to \infty$, thus strengthening significantly some of the results of Lindner and Maller [24, Theorem 4.5] in this special case when $\eta_s = \mu s + \sigma B_s$. We note that under further assumptions much stronger results are within reach for the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathbb{P}(I_{\mu,\sigma} > x)$ and $k(x)$ both as $x \to 0$ and $x \to \infty$. In order to illustrate the techniques we choose to work with a rather simple but nevertheless very useful for applications class of processes ξ which have hyper-exponential jumps (see [7, 8, 20]). The same results can be easily generalized to more general class of Lévy processes with jumps of rational transform (see [19]).

Finally we point out that $\mathbb{P}(I_{\mu,\sigma} > x)$ can be associated to ruin probability for certain actuarial models, see for example Theorem 4 in [1].

4.1 General results about asymptotic behavior of $k(x)$

Our first theorem in this section deals with the asymptotic behaviour of $k(x)$ at zero. As usual, we define the "floor" function as $\lfloor x \rfloor = \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \ : \ n \le x\}$. We recall that $\hat{\rho}$ is defined by (3.2): if $\hat{\rho} > 0$ then the Lévy measure of ξ has exponentially decaying negative tail with the rate of decay equal to $\hat{\rho}$.

Theorem 4. *Assume that $\theta > 0$ and $\hat{\rho} > 0$. Then for every integer $m \ge 0$ and $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ such that $m + \epsilon < 1 + \hat{\rho}$ we have*

$$k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{b_n}{n!} x^n + O(x^{m+\epsilon}), \quad \text{as} \ \ x \to 0, \tag{4.1}$$

where the coefficients $\{b_n\}_{n \ge 0}$ are defined recursively: $b_0 = k(0)$, $b_{-1} = 0$ and

$$b_{n+1} = \frac{2}{\sigma^2}\left(\mu b_n - \psi(-n)b_{n-1}\right), \quad \text{for } 0 \le n < \hat{\rho}. \tag{4.2}$$

In particular, $k(x) \in C^{1+\lfloor \hat{\rho} \rfloor}(\mathbb{R})$, and if $\hat{\rho} = \infty$ then $k(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, as Remark 5 shows $k''(0)$ may fail to exist.

Proof. See section 5, page 32. □

Remark 2. To the best of our knowledge this is the first general result on the behaviour of $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ as $x \to 0$ in the case $\sigma > 0$. At the same time there are several recent results concerning such behaviour when $\sigma = 0$, see [19, 21, 27].

Note that if the process ξ is spectrally positive, or more generally, if $\Pi_{\xi}(dx)$ restricted to $(-\infty, 0)$ has exponential moments of arbitrary order, then $k(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Our next result provides an extensive account of the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathbb{P}(I_{\mu,\sigma} > x)$ as $x \to +\infty$.

Theorem 5. *Assume that $\theta > 0$ and ξ has a non-lattice distribution.*

(i) If one of the following conditions is satisfied

    (a) $\theta < \rho$,

    (b) $\theta = \rho$, $\psi(\theta) = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1^2 \exp(\theta\xi_1)] < \infty$,

  then

$$\mathbb{P}(I_{\mu,\sigma} > x) = Cx^{-\theta} + o\left(x^{-\theta}\right), \quad x \to +\infty, \tag{4.3}$$

  where $C = -R(\theta)/\theta$ and $R(\theta)$ is defined in (3.9).

(ii) If $\theta = \rho$ and $\psi(\theta) < 0$ then

$$\mathbb{P}(I_{\mu,\sigma} > x) = o\left(x^{-\theta}\right), \quad x \to +\infty. \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, if $\mu \leq 0$ then the asymptotic expressions (4.3) and (4.4) can be differentiated and leads to an asymptotic expression for $k(x)$.

Proof. See section 5, page 33. □

Remark 3. Note that, for all $t > 0$,

$$I_{\mu,\sigma} = \int_0^t e^{\xi_s} \mathrm{d}\eta_s + e^{\xi_t} I'_{\mu,\sigma},$$

where $I'_{\mu,\sigma} \stackrel{d}{=} I_{\mu,\sigma}$ and $I'_{\mu,\sigma}$ independent of $\left(e^{\xi_t}, \int_0^t e^{\xi_s} \mathrm{d}\eta_s\right)$. Recalling that in [24, Prop. 4.1 ; Theorem 4.5] the authors use $-\xi$ for ξ in the definition of $I_{\mu,\sigma}$, we point out that the authors supplement the theory of random recurrent equations developed in [15] to deduce general results for the behaviour of $\mathbb{P}(I(\xi,\eta) > x)$. For the case when $\eta_t = \mu t + \sigma B_t$ their result translates to

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} x^\theta \mathbb{P}(I_{\mu,\sigma} > x) = C_+ \geq 0; \lim_{x\to\infty} x^\theta \mathbb{P}(I_{\mu,\sigma} < -x) = C_- \geq 0; \lim_{x\to\infty} x^\theta \mathbb{P}(|I_{\mu,\sigma}| > x) = C_+ + C_- > 0$$

under the conditions (following our notation) that either $\rho > \theta \geq 1$ or $\theta < 1 < \rho$. Our assumptions are much weaker, see (i) Theorem 5 and we also compute the constants $C_\pm$.

Moreover, assuming $\mu \leq 0$, or otherwise working with $I_{-\mu,\sigma} \stackrel{d}{=} -I_{\mu,\sigma}$, one can show that $-R(\theta) > 0$, thus $C_+ > 0$ and hence $C_+ + C_- > 0$. To prove that $-R(\theta) > 0$ we consider two cases: when $\theta \geq 1$ this follows directly from (3.9) and the fact that $\psi'(\theta) > 0$, and when $\theta \in (0,1)$ this follows from (3.9) and the fact that $(\theta - 1)\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(\theta - 1) > 0$ (the latter is true due to (3.7) and the fact that $k(x)$ is decreasing, see Lemma 2).

Finally we note that, despite dealing with the asymptotics of $I(\xi,\eta)$ for general η, the methodology in [24] cannot seemingly be improved to yield stronger results for the special case when η is a Brownian motion with drift.

Remark 4. The case when $\sigma = 0$ has been completely dealt with in [26, 30, 31]. We note that the technique applied there again relies on the random recurrence equations studied in [15] and the authors are able to obtain results in part (i), contidion (b) under the weaker assumption $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1 \exp(\theta\xi_1)] < \infty$. A recent paper by V. Rivero [32] addresses the case when the process ξ has convolution equivalent Lévy measure, the main tools are fluctuation theory of Lévy processes and an explicit path-wise representation of the exponential functional.

4.2 Case study: processes with hyper-exponential jumps

In this section we will show how our methods can be extended to derive quite strong results about the density of the exponential functional, provided that we impose additional restrictions on the Lévy process ξ. In particular, we will need more information about the analytical structure of the Laplace exponent $\psi(z)$. Our purpose in this section is not to prove the most general results possible, but rather to present the ideas and give the flavour of the results which can be derived.

Let us consider a simple (but very useful) class of processes having hyper-exponential jumps (see [7, 8, 20]). In this case the Lévy measure of a process ξ is essentially a mixture of exponential distributions

$$\Pi_\xi(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}} \sum_{n=1}^N a_n e^{-\rho_n x}\mathrm{d}x + \mathbf{1}_{\{x<0\}} \sum_{n=1}^{\hat{N}} \hat{a}_n e^{\hat{\rho}_n x}\mathrm{d}x. \tag{4.5}$$

where all the constants $a_n, \hat{a}_n, \rho_n, \hat{\rho}_n$ are strictly positive. Since $\lambda = \Pi_\xi(\mathbb{R}) < 0$, the process ξ can be represented as Brownian motion with drift plus a compound Poisson process

$$\xi_t = \mu_\xi t + \sigma_\xi W_t + \sum_{n=1}^{N(\lambda t)} Y_i,$$

where $N(t)$ is the standard Poisson process and Y_i are i.i.d. random variables with distribution $\mathbb{P}(Y_i \in \mathrm{d}y) = \lambda^{-1}\Pi_\xi(\mathrm{d}y)$.

Formula (4.5) implies that the Laplace exponent of a hyper-exponential process is a rational function of the form

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2}z^2 + \mu_\xi z + z \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n}{\rho_n(\rho_n - z)} - z \sum_{n=1}^{\hat{N}} \frac{\hat{a}_n}{\hat{\rho}_n(\hat{\rho}_n + z)}. \tag{4.6}$$

For hyper-exponential processes, it is known (see [7, 20]) that equation $\psi(z) = 0$ has only real simple solutions. Denote the positive solutions as $\{\zeta_m\}_{1 \le m \le M}$, where we assume that they are arranged in increasing order. It is also known that $M = N+1$ if (i) $\sigma_\xi > 0$ or (ii) $\sigma_\xi = 0$ and $\mu_\xi > 0$, and $M = N$ otherwise (see [19]). Note that in our previous notation (3.2) we have $\theta = \zeta_1$, $\rho = \rho_1$ and $\hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}_1$.

Using this information about zeros and poles of $\psi(z)$ and the functional equation (3.8) it is easy to see that $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ can be extended to a meromorphic function, with poles at the points

$$\{\zeta_m + n \ : \ m \ge 1, \ 1 \le n \le N\} \cup \{-\hat{\rho}_n - m \ : \ m \ge 1, \ 1 \le n \le \hat{N}\} \cup \{-m \ : \ m \ge 0\}.$$

If we further assume that

$$\begin{cases} \zeta_i - \zeta_j \notin \mathbb{Z} \ \text{ for all } \ 1 \le i,j \le M \ \text{ and } \ i \ne j, \\ \hat{\rho}_i \notin \mathbb{N} \ \text{ and } \ \hat{\rho}_i - \hat{\rho}_j \notin \mathbb{Z} \ \text{ for all } \ 1 \le i,j \le \hat{N} \ \text{ and } \ i \ne j, \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

then it is clear that all the poles of $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ are simple. Let us introduce the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i,j} &= -\frac{1}{(\zeta_i)_j}\mathrm{Res}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) \ : \ s = j + \zeta_i\right), \quad 1 \le i \le M, \quad j \ge 1, \\ b_{i,j} &= (1+\hat{\rho}_i)_j\mathrm{Res}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) \ : \ s = -j - \hat{\rho}_i\right), \quad 1 \le i \le \hat{N}, \quad j \ge 1, \end{aligned}$$

recall that $(a)_n = a(a+1)\ldots(a+n-1)$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol. Our next goal is to compute coefficients $c_{i,j}$ and $b_{i,j}$ in terms of the Mellin transform $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$. Let us fix i such that $1 \leq i \leq M$. Since ζ_i is a simple root of a rational function $\psi(z)$ we have $\psi(z) = \psi'(\zeta_i)(z - \zeta_i) + O((z-\zeta_i)^2)$ as $z \to \zeta_i$. This fact and the functional equation (3.8) show that

$$c_{i,1} = \frac{1}{\psi'(\zeta_i)}\left(\mu\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(\zeta_i) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(\zeta_i - 1)\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(\zeta_i - 1)\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Next, using the functional equation (3.8) and the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 4 we obtain a recursion equation

$$c_{i,j+1} = -\frac{1}{\psi(j + \zeta_i)}\left(\mu c_{i,j} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}c_{i,j-1}\right), \quad j \geq 1, \tag{4.9}$$

where we have defined $c_{i,0} = 0$. Next, let us fix i such that $1 \leq i \leq \hat{N}$. Formula (4.6) implies that $\psi(z)$ has a simple pole at $z = -\hat{\rho}_i$ with residue $\hat{a}_i$. Again, we use this fact and the functional equation (3.8) to conclude that

$$b_{i,1} = -\frac{2}{\sigma^2}\frac{\hat{a}_i}{\hat{\rho}_i}\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(1 - \hat{\rho}_i), \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$b_{i,j+1} = \frac{2}{\sigma^2}\left(\mu b_{i,j} - \psi(-j - \hat{\rho}_i)b_{i,j-1}\right), \quad j \geq 1, \tag{4.11}$$

where we have defined $b_{i,0} = 0$. Recall that the coefficients $b_j = j!\mathrm{Res}(\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) : s = -j)$ can be computed via the recurrence relation (4.2)

Our main result in this section is the following Theorem, which provides a complete asymptotic expansion for $k(x)$ as $x \to 0^+$ and at $x \to +\infty$. The corresponding expansions as $x \to 0^-$ and $x \to -\infty$ can be obtained by considering $k_{-\mu,\sigma}(x) = k_{\mu,\sigma}(-x)$.

Theorem 6. *Assume that ξ is a process with hyper-exponential jumps (4.5) and that conditions (4.7) are satisfied. Then for every $c > 0$*

$$k_{\mu,\sigma}(x) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{0 \leq j < c}\frac{b_j}{j!}x^j + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}}\sum_{j \geq 1}\mathbf{1}_{\{j+\hat{\rho}_i<c\}}b_{i,j}\frac{x^{j+\hat{\rho}_i}}{(1+\hat{\rho}_i)_j} + O\left(x^c\right), & \text{as} \quad x \to 0^+, \\[4mm] \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{M}\sum_{j \geq 1}\mathbf{1}_{\{j+\zeta_i<c\}}c_{i,j}\frac{(\zeta_i)_j}{x^{j+\zeta_i}} + O\left(x^{-c}\right), & \text{as} \quad x \to +\infty. \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. See section 5, page 33. $\qquad\square$

Remark 5. Note that if $\hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}_1 \in (0,1)$ then the coefficient $b_{i,1}$ defined by (4.10) is strictly negative. Theorem 6 then shows that as $x \to 0^+$ we have

$$k(x) = k(0) + k'(0)x + \frac{b_{i,1}}{1 + \hat{\rho}}x^{1+\hat{\rho}} + o(x^{1+\hat{\rho}}),$$

which implies that in this case $k''(0)$ does not exist.

13

We would also like to point out that if conditions (4.7) are not satisfied, then $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ will have multiple poles. This not a big problem, but it implies that the asymptotic expansions (4.12) will contain terms of the form $x^\alpha \ln(x)^k$, where $-\alpha$ is the pole of $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ and $0 \le k <$ multiplicity of the pole α. Also, similar results to Theorem 6 can be derived for a more general class of Lévy processes, for example for processes which have jumps of rational transform, see [19] for results in the case $\sigma = 0$.

5 Proofs

Proof of Proposition 1. For the proof we use the definition of the infinitesimal generator and Itô's formula. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}$ and note that by definition

$$\mathcal{L}^{(U)} f(x) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}_x\left[f(U_t)\right] - f(x)}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(xe^{\xi_t} + \int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}\eta_s\right)\right] - f(x)\right). \quad (5.1)$$

We apply Itô's formula to $f(U_t)$ using the fact that $(U_t)_{t\ge 0}$ is a semimartingale and $f \in \mathcal{K}$ to obtain

$$f(U_t) - f(x) = \int_0^t f'(U_{s-})\mathrm{d}U_s + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t f''(U_{s-})\mathrm{d}[U,U]_s^c + \sum_{s \le t}\left(f(U_s) - f(U_{s-}) - \Delta U_s f'(U_{s-})\right). \quad (5.2)$$

Now, let $H_t := e^{\xi_t}$ and $V_t := x + \int_0^t e^{-\xi_{s-}} d\eta_s$, and note that $U_t = H_t V_t$. Hence by integration by parts

$$U_t = x + \int_0^t H_{s-}\mathrm{d}V_t + \int_0^t V_{s-}\mathrm{d}H_s + [H,V]_t.$$

We recall that the Lévy processes ξ and η can be written, as follows

$$\xi_t = \sigma_\xi B_t + b_\xi t + X_t, \qquad \eta_t = \sigma_\eta W_t + b_\eta t + Y_t \qquad (5.3)$$

where B and W are Brownian motions, X and Y are pure jump zero mean martingales, $b_\xi = \mathbb{E}[\xi_1]$, $b_\eta = \mathbb{E}[\eta_1]$ and the processes B, W, X and Y are mutually independent. Then we get

$$V_t = x + b_\eta \int_0^t e^{-\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}s + \sigma_\eta \int_0^t e^{-\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}W_s + N_t,$$

where $N_t = \int_0^t e^{-\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}Y_s$ is a pure jump local martingale. On the other hand using Itô's formula, we have

$$H_t = e^{\xi_t} = 1 + \int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}\xi_s + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}[\xi,\xi]_s^c + \sum_{s \le t} e^{\xi_{s-}}(e^{\Delta\xi_s} - \Delta\xi_s - 1)$$

$$= 1 + \left(b_\xi + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2}\right)\int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}s + \sigma_\xi \int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}B_s + \widetilde{N}_t + \sum_{s \le t} e^{\xi_{s-}}\left(e^{\Delta\xi_s} - \Delta\xi_s - 1\right),$$

where $\widetilde{N}_s = \int_0^t e^{\xi_s}\mathrm{d}X_s$ is a pure jump local martingale. Therefore, we conclude that

$$[H,V]_t = \left[\sigma_\xi \int_0^t e^{-\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}B_s, \sigma_\eta \int_0^t e^{-\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}W_s\right]_t + \sum_{s \le t}\Delta V_s \Delta H_s = 0 \qquad \text{a.s.,}$$

14

since $\Delta V_s = e^{-\xi_{s-}}\Delta\eta_s$, $\Delta H_s = H_{s-}(e^{\Delta\xi_s} - 1)$ and the fact that ξ and η are independent and do not jump simultaneously a.s. . This implies that

$$U_t = x + \int_0^t H_{s-}\mathrm{d}V_s + \int_0^t V_{s-}\mathrm{d}H_s = x + \int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}V_s + \int_0^t V_{s-}\mathrm{d}H_s.$$

Using the expressions of H and V, we deduce that

$$U_t = x + b_\eta t + \sigma_\eta W_t + \int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}N_s + \left(b_\xi + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2}\right)\int_0^t V_{s-}e^{\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ \sigma_\xi \int_0^t V_{s-}e^{\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}B_s + \int_0^t V_{s-}\mathrm{d}\widetilde{N}_s + \sum_{s\le t}V_{s-}e^{\xi_{s-}}\left(e^{\Delta\xi_s} - \Delta\xi_s - 1\right)$$

$$= x + K_t + K_t^c + b_\eta t + \left(b_\xi + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2}\right)\int_0^t U_{s-}\mathrm{d}s + \sum_{s\le t}U_{s-}\left(e^{\Delta\xi_s} - \Delta\xi_s - 1\right),$$

where

$$K_t = \int_0^t e^{\xi_{s-}}\mathrm{d}N_s + \int_0^t V_{s-}\mathrm{d}\widetilde{N}_s = Y_t + \int_0^t U_{s-}dX_s,$$

$$K_t^c = \sigma_\eta W_t + \sigma_\xi \int_0^t U_{s-}\mathrm{d}B_s.$$

From the definition of K^c, we get for the continuous part of the quadratic variation of U

$$[U, U]_t^c = [K^c, K^c]_t = \sigma_\eta^2 t + \sigma_\xi^2 \int_0^t U_{s-}^2\mathrm{d}s,$$

since B and W are independent. Putting all the pieces together in identity (5.2), we have

$$f(U_t) - f(x) = M_t + b_\eta \int_0^t f'(U_{s-})\mathrm{d}s + \left(b_\xi + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2}\right)\int_0^t f'(U_{s-})U_{s-}\mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ \sum_{s\le t}f'(U_{s-})U_{s-}\left(e^{\Delta\xi_s} - \Delta\xi_s - 1\right) + \frac{\sigma_\eta^2}{2}\int_0^t f''(U_{s-})\mathrm{d}s + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2}\int_0^t f''(U_{s-})U_{s-}^2\mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ \sum_{s\le t}\left(f(U_s) - f(U_{s-}) - \Delta U_s f'(U_{s-})\right)$$

where M is a local martingale starting from 0 and M describes the integration with respect to K and K^c in the expressions above. In fact using that $f \in \mathcal{K}$ implies that $f(x) = 0$, for $x < 0$, and $x|f'(x)| + x^2|f''(x)| < C(f) < \infty$, we deduce that M_t is a proper martingale as all other terms in the expression above have a finite absolute first moment. Furthermore applying the compensation formula to the jump part of $f(U_t)$ we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{s\le t}f'(U_{s-})U_{s-}\left(e^{\Delta\xi_s} - \Delta\xi_s - 1\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t f'(U_{s-})U_{s-}\left(\int_{y\in\mathbb{R}}(e^y - y - 1)\Pi_\xi(dy)\right)\mathrm{d}s\right].$$

Similarly, using the fact that $\Delta U_s = \Delta \eta_s$ when $\Delta \eta_s \neq 0$ and $\Delta U_s = U_{s-}(e^{\Delta \xi_s} - 1)$ when $\Delta \xi_s \neq 0$ (see the definition of U) we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{s\leq t}\left(f(U_s) - f(U_{s-}) - \Delta U_{s-}f'(U_{s-})\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{z\in\mathbb{R}}\left(f(U_{s-} + z) - f(U_{s-}) - zf'(U_{s-})\right)\Pi_\eta(\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}s\right]$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}}\left(f(U_{s-}e^y) - f(U_{s-}) - \left(e^y - 1\right)f'(U_{s-})U_{s-}\right)\Pi_\xi(\mathrm{d}y)\mathrm{d}s\right].$$

Finally, as $f \in \mathcal{K}$, we derive

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(U_t)\right] - f(x) = b_\eta \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t f'(U_{s-})\mathrm{d}s\right] + \left(b_\xi + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2}\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t f'(U_{s-})U_{s-}\mathrm{d}s\right] + \frac{\sigma_\eta^2}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t f''(U_{s-})\mathrm{d}s\right]$$

$$+ \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t f''(U_{s-})U_{s-}^2\mathrm{d}s\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{z\in\mathbb{R}}\left(f(U_{s-} + z) - f(U_{s-}) - zf'(U_{s-})\right)\Pi_\eta(\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}s\right]$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}}\left(f(U_{s-}e^y) - f(U_{s-}) - yf'(U_{s-})U_{s-}\right)\Pi_\xi(\mathrm{d}y)\mathrm{d}s\right].$$

and dividing by t, letting t go to 0 and recalling that $\tilde{U}_0 = x$ a.s., we obtain for $f \in \mathcal{K}$ the identity

$$\mathfrak{L}^{(U)}f(x) = b_\eta f'(x) + \left(b_\xi + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2}\right)xf'(x) + \frac{\sigma_\eta^2}{2}f''(x) + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2}f''(x)x^2$$

$$+ \int_{z\in\mathbb{R}}\left(f(x+z) - f(x) - zf'(x)\right)\Pi_\eta(\mathrm{d}z) + \int_{y\in\mathbb{R}}\left(f(xe^y) - f(x) - yxf'(x)\right)\Pi_\xi(\mathrm{d}y), \quad (5.4)$$

and therefore the infinitesimal generator of U satisfies

$$\mathfrak{L}^{(U)}f(x) = \mathfrak{L}^{(\xi)}\phi(\ln x) + \mathfrak{L}^{(\eta)}f(x).$$

In order to finish the proof one only has to apply integration by parts. □

The following Lemma will be needed for the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 6. *Let ξ and η be as in Theorem 1 and $m(\mathrm{d}v)$ be the law of $I(\xi, \eta)$. Let $\nu(\mathrm{d}v)$ denote the measure in the left-hand side of formula (2.2). Then $|\nu|(\mathrm{d}v)$ and hence $\nu(\mathrm{d}v)$ define finite measures on any compact subset of $(0, \infty)$ and for any $a > 0$*

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} z^{-1}|\nu|\left((a, z)\right) = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Proof of Lemma 6. We only need to prove (5.5), as the finiteness of $|\nu|(\mathrm{d}v)$ on compact subsets of $(0, \infty)$ follows from (5.5). It is sufficient to show the claims for $1 \geq a > 0$. We integrate every term on the left-hand side of (2.2) from a to z and divide by z showing that the limit goes to zero, as $z \to \infty$. We first note that

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} z^{-1}\int_a^z xm(\mathrm{d}x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{z\to\infty} z^{-1}\int_a^z \frac{m(\mathrm{d}x)}{x} \leq \lim_{z\to\infty}(az)^{-1}\int_a^\infty m(\mathrm{d}x) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} z^{-1}\int_a^z \int_v^\infty m(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}v \leq \lim_{z\to\infty}\left(z^{-1}\int_a^z xm(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_z^\infty m(\mathrm{d}x)\right) = 0,$$

16

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \int_a^z \int_v^\infty \frac{m(\mathrm{d}x)}{x} \mathrm{d}v = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \int_a^z \int_v^\infty \frac{m(\mathrm{d}x)}{x^2} \mathrm{d}v = 0.$$

So far, we have checked that the terms in (2.2) that do not depend on the tail of the Lévy measure vanish under the transformation we made, as $z \to \infty$. Now, we turn our attention to the terms that involve the Lévy measure of ξ. When we'll be dealing with these integrals, the main trick that we will use is to change the order of integration. First we check that

$$\limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \int_a^z \int_v^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}\left(\ln\frac{x}{v}\right) m(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}v$$

$$\leq \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \left(\int_a^z \int_v^{ev} \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}\left(\ln\frac{x}{v}\right) m(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}v \right) + \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \left(\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}(1) \int_a^z m(ev,\infty)\mathrm{d}v \right)$$

$$= \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \int_a^z \int_v^{ev} \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}\left(\ln\frac{x}{v}\right) m(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}v \leq \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \int_a^{ez} \int_{x/e}^x \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}\left(\ln\frac{x}{v}\right) \mathrm{d}v\, m(\mathrm{d}x)$$

$$= \left[\int_0^1 \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}(w)e^{-w}\mathrm{d}w \right] \times \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \int_a^{ez} x\, m(\mathrm{d}x) = 0$$

where we have applied Fubini's Theorem, a change of variables $w = \ln(x/v)$ and we have used the finiteness of $\mathbb{E}[|\xi_1|]$ and henceforth the finiteness of the quantities $\int_0^1 \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}(w)\exp(-w)\mathrm{d}w$ and $\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}(1)$.

Next using Fubini's Theorem and the monotonicity of $\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}$, we note that for any positive number b,

$$\limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \int_a^z \int_0^v \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}\left(\ln\frac{v}{x}\right) m(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}v$$

$$\leq \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \int_0^z \int_0^v \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}\left(\ln\frac{v}{x}\right) m(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}v = \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \int_0^z x \int_0^{\ln(z/x)} \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}(w)e^w\mathrm{d}w\, m(\mathrm{d}x)$$

$$\leq \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \left(\int_0^b \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}(w)e^w\mathrm{d}w \int_0^z x\, m(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_0^z x \int_b^{\ln(z/x)\vee b} \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}(w)e^w\mathrm{d}w\, m(\mathrm{d}x) \right) \leq \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}(b).$$

Since $\overline{\overline{\Pi}}^{(+)}(b)$ decreases to zero as b increases, we see that

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \int_a^z \int_0^v \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}\left(\ln\frac{v}{x}\right) m(\mathrm{d}x)\,\mathrm{d}v = 0.$$

Since η has a finite mean and m is a finite measure

$$\limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \int_a^z \frac{1}{v} \int_0^v \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(v-x)m(\mathrm{d}x)\,\mathrm{d}v$$

$$\leq \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \left(\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(a)\ln\left(\frac{z}{a}\right) + \int_a^z \frac{1}{v} \int_{v-a}^v \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(v-x)m(\mathrm{d}x)\,\mathrm{d}v \right)$$

$$= \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \left(\int_0^z m(\mathrm{d}x) \int_{a\vee x}^{(x+a)\wedge z} \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(v-x) \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{v} \right)$$

$$\leq \left[\int_0^a \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(s)\mathrm{d}s \right] \times \lim_{z\to\infty} (az)^{-1} \int_0^z m(\mathrm{d}x) = 0.$$

17

Similarly, we estimate the following integral

$$\limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1} \int_a^z \int_v^\infty \frac{1}{w^2} \int_0^w \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(w-x)m(\mathrm{d}x)\,\mathrm{d}w\,\mathrm{d}v$$

$$\leq \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1}\left(\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(a)\ln\left(\frac{z}{a}\right) + \int_a^z \int_v^\infty \frac{1}{w^2}\int_{w-a}^w \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(w-x)m(\mathrm{d}x)\,\mathrm{d}w\,\mathrm{d}v\right)$$

$$= \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1}\int_a^z \int_{v-a}^\infty \int_{v\vee x}^{x+a} \frac{1}{w^2}\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(w-x)\mathrm{d}w\,m(\mathrm{d}x)\,\mathrm{d}v$$

$$\leq \left[\int_0^a \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(s)\mathrm{d}s\right]\times \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1}\int_a^z \frac{1}{v^2}m(v-a,\infty)\,\mathrm{d}v = 0.$$

As for the remaining two integrals, we split the innermost integrals at the point where $\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(-)}(x-v) = \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(-)}(a)$ and similarly estimate the resulting two terms to get

$$\limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1}\int_a^z \frac{1}{v}\int_v^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(-)}(x-v)m(\mathrm{d}x)\,\mathrm{d}v = \limsup_{z\to\infty} z^{-1}\int_a^z \int_v^\infty \frac{1}{w^2}\int_w^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(-)}(x-w)m(\mathrm{d}x)\mathrm{d}w\mathrm{d}v = 0.$$

Thus we verify (5.5) and conclude the proof of our lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 1. We proceed with the second step of our proof. Take an infinitely differentiable function f with compact support in $(0,\infty)$ and let $g(x) := xf'(x)$. We use (2.5), (2.8), and the identity $g(x) = \int_0^x g'(v)\mathrm{d}v$ to get,

$$\int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}^{(\xi)}\phi(\ln x)m(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{g(x)}{x}m(\mathrm{d}x) + \frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2}\int_0^\infty xg'(x)m(\mathrm{d}x) + b_\xi\int_0^\infty g(x)m(\mathrm{d}x)$$

$$+ \int_0^\infty \int_0^x g'(v)\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}\left(\ln\frac{x}{v}\right)\mathrm{d}vm(\mathrm{d}x) + \int_0^\infty \int_x^\infty g'(v)\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}\left(\ln\frac{v}{x}\right)\mathrm{d}vm(\mathrm{d}x)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty g'(v)\left(\int_v^\infty \frac{m(\mathrm{d}x)}{x}\right)\mathrm{d}v + \int_0^\infty g'(v)\left(b_\xi\int_v^\infty m(\mathrm{d}x)\right)\mathrm{d}v$$

$$+ \int_0^\infty g'(v)\left(\frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2}vm(\mathrm{d}v)\right) + \int_0^\infty g'(v)\left(\int_v^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}\left(\ln\frac{x}{v}\right)m(\mathrm{d}x)\right)\mathrm{d}v$$

$$+ \int_0^\infty g'(v)\left(\int_0^v \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}\left(\ln\frac{v}{x}\right)m(\mathrm{d}x)\right)\mathrm{d}v =: (g',F_1),$$

where the interchange of integrals is permitted due to claims of Lemma 6.

Next, substituting $f'(x) = g(x)/x$ and $f''(x) = g'(x)/x - g(x)/x^2$, we get

$$\int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}^{(\eta)}f(x)m(\mathrm{d}x) = b_\eta\int_0^\infty \frac{g(x)}{x}m(\mathrm{d}x) + \frac{\sigma_\eta^2}{2}\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{g'(x)}{x} - \frac{g(x)}{x^2}\right)m(\mathrm{d}x)$$

$$+ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{g'(x+w)}{x+w} - \frac{g(x+w)}{(x+w)^2}\right)\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(w)\mathrm{d}wm(\mathrm{d}x)$$

$$+ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{g'(x-w)}{x-w} - \frac{g(x-w)}{(x-w)^2}\right)\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(-)}(w)\mathrm{d}wm(\mathrm{d}x).$$

18

Again, using the identity $g(x) = \int_0^x g'(v)\mathrm{d}v$ and the fact that g is a function with compact support on $(0, \infty)$, we get after careful calculations and an appeal again to Lemma 6 for interchange of integration

$$\int_0^\infty \mathfrak{L}^{(\eta)} f(x) m(\mathrm{d}x) = b_\eta \int_0^\infty g'(v) \int_v^\infty \frac{m(\mathrm{d}x)}{x} \mathrm{d}v + \frac{\sigma_\eta^2}{2} \left(\int_0^\infty g'(x) \frac{m(\mathrm{d}x)}{x} - \int_0^\infty g'(v) \int_v^\infty \frac{m(\mathrm{d}x)}{x^2} \mathrm{d}v \right)$$
$$+ \int_0^\infty g'(v) \frac{1}{v} \int_0^v \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(v-x) m(\mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}v - \int_0^\infty g'(w) \int_w^\infty \frac{1}{v^2} \int_0^v \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(+)}(v-x) m(\mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}w$$
$$+ \int_0^\infty g'(v) \frac{1}{v} \int_v^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(-)}(x-v) m(\mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}v - \int_0^\infty g'(w) \int_w^\infty \frac{1}{v^2} \int_v^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\eta^{(-)}(x-v) m(\mathrm{d}x) \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}w$$
$$:= (g', F_2).$$

We arrange the above expressions in the form $\int g'(x)\nu(\mathrm{d}x)$, where $\nu(\mathrm{d}x) := F_1(\mathrm{d}x) + F_2(\mathrm{d}x)$ is the same as in Lemma 6. From Lemma 6 we conclude that $\nu(\mathrm{d}x)$ defines a finite measure on every compact subset of $(0, \infty)$ and henceforth we consider it as a distribution in Schwartz sense. Thus we get

$$0 = \int_0^\infty \mathfrak{L}^{(U)} f(x) m(\mathrm{d}x) = (g', \nu) = (g, \nu') = (xf', \nu') = (f', x\nu') = (f, (x\nu')') ,$$

for each infinitely differentiable function f with a compact support in $(0, \infty)$ and derivatives in the sense of Schwartz. Therefore using Schwartz theory of distributions for the distribution $\nu(dx)$, we get that $x\nu'(\mathrm{d}x) = C\mathrm{d}x$ and subsequently

$$\nu(\mathrm{d}x) = (C \ln x + D)\, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Next, we show that $C = D = 0$. Note that from (5.5) for $a = 1$ we have $\lim_{z \to +\infty} z^{-1} \int_1^z \nu(\mathrm{d}v) = 0$. Comparing this with

$$0 = \lim_{z \to +\infty} z^{-1} \int_1^z (C \ln x + D)\mathrm{d}x = \lim_{z \to +\infty} (C \ln z - C + D)$$

we verify that $C = D = 0$. Thus the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. $\quad\square$

Proof of Corollary 1. The absolute continuity of $I(\xi, \eta)$ and boundedness of its derivative on compact subsets of $(0, \infty)$, when $\sigma_\xi^2 + \sigma_\eta^2 > 0$ is immediate from (2.2). Put $m(dx) = k(x)dx$. To show continuity we note that immediately all integral terms in (2.2) are continuous but the ones involving $\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}$ and $\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}$. Fix $v > 0$ and $v/4 > a > 0$ note that, for any $h \in R$ such that $|h| < v/4$ we have

$$\int_0^{v+h} \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)} \left(\ln \frac{v+h}{x} \right) k(x)\mathrm{d}x = \int_0^{v+h-a} \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)} \left(\ln \frac{v+h}{x} \right) k(x)\mathrm{d}x + \int_{v+h-a}^{v+h} \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)} \left(\ln \frac{v+h}{x} \right) k(x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

As $\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}$ is continuous and decreasing we verify the dominated convergence theorem applies, as $h \to 0$, by bounding $\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}$ in the first term and $k(x)$ in the second. This shows that all integral terms in (2.2) are continuous in v and hence $k(v)$ is continuous. The computation for $\overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}$ is the same whereas for $v < 0$ we study $I(\xi, -\eta)$ with the same effect. $\quad\square$

19

Proof of Lemma 1. Assume that $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \theta$. Since

$$e^{\mathrm{Re}(\psi(s))} = \left| \mathbb{E}\left[e^{s\xi_1} \right] \right| \leq \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\mathrm{Re}(s)\xi_1} \right] = e^{\psi(\mathrm{Re}(s))}$$

we conclude that $\mathrm{Re}(\psi(s)) \leq \psi(\mathrm{Re}(s)) < 0$, therefore $\psi(s) \neq 0$ in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \theta$.

Next, assume that $\psi(\theta + iy) = 0$ for some $y \neq 0$ and ξ has a non-lattice distribution. Then the characteristic function of the probability measure $e^{\theta v}\mathbb{P}(\xi_1 \in dv)$ is equal to one at y, therefore it has to be a lattice distributed probability measure, see [33, p 306, Theorem 5] which contradicts our assumption.

In order to prove that θ is the unique real zero of $\psi(s)$ on the interval $(0, \rho)$ we note that the first formula in (2.1) implies that

$$\psi''(s) = \sigma_\xi^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 e^{sx} \Pi_\xi(dx) > 0,$$

therefore $\psi(s)$ is convex on $(0, \rho)$ and it has at most one positive root at θ. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Lemma 2. Expression (3.4) follows by a simple conditioning on ξ and the fact that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{f(t)}(\mu dt + \sigma dB_t) \sim N\left(\mu \int_0^\infty e^{f(s)} ds; \sigma^2 \int_0^\infty e^{2f(s)} ds \right),$$

where $N(a, b)$ denotes a normal random variable with mean a and variance b. The continuity of $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ follows from the Dominated Convergence Theorem and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] < \infty$, see Proposition 2.

Next, we observe that the function $|v|e^{-v^2}$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}$ and therefore for some $C > 0$ we have

$$\left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{(x - \mu y)}{\sigma^3 \sqrt{2\pi z^3}} e^{-\frac{(x - \mu y)^2}{2z\sigma^2}} \mathbb{P}(J_1 \in dy; J_2 \in dz) \right| \leq C\mathbb{E}[J_2^{-1}] < \infty,$$

where the last inequality follows from Proposition 2. This shows that we can differentiate the right-hand side of (3.4) and obtain

$$k'_{\mu,\sigma}(x) = -\iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{(x - \mu y)}{\sigma^3 \sqrt{2\pi z^3}} e^{-\frac{(x - \mu y)^2}{2z\sigma^2}} \mathbb{P}(J_1 \in dy; J_2 \in dz), \tag{5.6}$$

and from the above discussion it follows that $|k'_{\mu,\sigma}(x)| \leq C\mathbb{E}[J_2^{-1}] < \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Finally, for $\mu \leq 0$ and $x > 0$ we check that $k'_{\mu,\sigma}(x) < 0$ (see (5.6)), therefore $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x)$ is decreasing. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Lemma 3. First of all, since $k(x)$ is a probability density, it is integrable on $[0, \infty)$. Also, due to Lemma 2 we know that $k(x) = k(0) + k'(0)x + o(x)$ as $x \to 0^+$, these two facts imply that $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ exists for all s in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \leq 1$.

Next, one can check that identity (3.6) is valid for s in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \leq 1$. Since $k(x) - k(0) = k'(0)x + o(x)$ as $x \to 0^+$ we see that the first integral in the right-hand side of (3.6) extends analytically into the larger strip $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1$, while the second integral is analytic in the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(s) < 1$. Thus (3.6) provides an analytic continuation of $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ into the strip $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1$ and it is clear that $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 0$ with the residue $k(0)$.

Next, we note that for $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 0$ we have

$$\int_1^\infty k(x)x^{s-1}\mathrm{d}x = \int_1^\infty (k(x) - k(0))x^{s-1}\mathrm{d}x - \frac{k(0)}{s}.$$

Combining this expression with (3.6) and applying integration by parts we obtain (3.7).

If $\theta = 0$ then the proof is finished, however if $\theta > 0$ we still have to prove that $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) < \infty$ for $1 < s < 1 + \theta$, and this requires a little bit more work. We will use the parabolic cylinder function, which is defined as

$$D_p(z) = 2^{\frac{p}{2}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}} \left[\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-p}{2}\right)} {}_1F_1\left(-\frac{p}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{z^2}{2}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}z}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{p}{2}\right)} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1-p}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; \frac{z^2}{2}\right) \right], \qquad (5.7)$$

where ${}_1F_1(a, b; z)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function defined by (3.12). Note that the parabolic cylinder function is analytic function of p and z. See sections 9.24-9.25 in [16] for more information on the parabolic cylinder function. We will prove that $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s)$ exists for all s in the strip $\mathrm{Re}(s) \in (0, 1 + \theta)$ and everywhere in this strip we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s) = \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{s-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{4}V} D_{-s}\left(-\mu\sqrt{V}\right) \right]. \qquad (5.8)$$

Let us assume first that $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1$. Then using (3.4) and (3.5) we conclude that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\infty x^{s-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi J_2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu J_1)^2}{2J_2}} \mathrm{d}x \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{2}V} \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2J_2}x^2 + \frac{\mu J_1}{J_2}x} \mathrm{d}x \right].$$

Performing the change of variables $x = u\sqrt{J_2}$ and using the following integral identity (formula 9.241.2 in [16])

$$\int_0^\infty u^{s-1} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2} - uz} \mathrm{d}u = \Gamma(s) e^{\frac{z^2}{4}} D_{-s}(z), \quad \mathrm{Re}(s) > 0,$$

we obtain equation (5.8).

Thus we have established that (5.8) is true for all s on the vertical line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1$. Now we will perform analytical continuation into the larger domain. Formulas 9.246 in [16] give us the following asymptotic expansions: for $z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$D_{-s}(z) = \begin{cases} O\left(z^{-s} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}}\right), & z \to +\infty, \\ O\left(z^{-s} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}}\right) + O\left(z^{s-1} e^{\frac{z^2}{4}}\right), & z \to -\infty. \end{cases}$$

Assume that $\mu < 0$ and $s \in (0, 1 + \theta)$ or $\mu > 0$ and $s \in (0, 1)$. Then from (5.9) and the fact that $D_s(z)$ is a continuous function of z we find that there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $\left| e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{4}z} D_{-s}\left(-\mu\sqrt{z}\right) \right| < C_1$ for all $z > 0$. Therefore from (5.8) we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s)| < C_1 \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \right],$$

and the right-hand side is finite if $s \in (0, 1 + \theta)$, see Proposition 2.

Next, when $\mu > 0$ and $s \in (1, 1 + \theta)$, we again use (5.9) and the fact that $D_s(z)$ is continuous in z to conclude that there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that $|e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{4}z} D_{-s}(-\mu\sqrt{z})| < C_2 z^{\frac{s-1}{2}}$ for all $z > 0$. Therefore, from (5.8) we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s)| < C_2 \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{s-1}{2}} V^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \right] = C_2 \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathbb{E}\left[J_1^{s-1} \right],$$

and the right-hand side is finite if $s \in (1, 1 + \theta)$, see Proposition 2. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Setting $\Pi_\eta \equiv 0$ in (2.2) we find that $k(x)$ satisfies the following integral equation

$$\frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2} F_1(k; v) + b_\xi F_2(k; v) + F_3(k; v) + F_4(k; v) + \mu F_5(k; v) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} F_6(k; v) = 0, \tag{5.9}$$

where we have defined $F_1(k; v) = k(v)$ and

$$F_2(k; v) = \frac{1}{v} \int_v^\infty k(x) \mathrm{d}x, \quad F_3(k; v) = \frac{1}{v} \int_v^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}\left(\ln\left(\frac{x}{v}\right) \right) k(x) \mathrm{d}x,$$

$$F_4(k; v) = \frac{1}{v} \int_0^v \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}\left(\ln\left(\frac{v}{x}\right) \right) k(x) \mathrm{d}x, \quad F_5(k; v) = \frac{1}{v} \int_v^\infty \frac{k(x)}{x} \mathrm{d}x, \tag{5.10}$$

$$F_6(k; v) = \frac{1}{v} \left[\frac{k(v)}{v} - \int_v^\infty \frac{k(x)}{x^2} \mathrm{d}x \right].$$

Our plan is to compute the Mellin transform of each term in (5.9). Assume first that $1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \min\{1, \theta\}$. According to Lemma 3, the Mellin transform of the first term exists in this strip and is equal to $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$.

Let us compute the Mellin transform of the second term. We use integration by parts and obtain for all $y > 0$

$$\int_0^y v^{s-1} F_2(k; v) \mathrm{d}v = \frac{1}{s-1} y^{s-1} \int_y^\infty k(x) \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{s-1} \int_0^y v^{s-1} k(v) \mathrm{d}v. \tag{5.11}$$

As $y \to +\infty$ the first term in the right-hand side of the above equation goes to zero (this follows from the fact that the $k(x) x^{s-1}$ is absolutely integrable on $(0, \infty)$), thus we conclude that the Mellin transform of $F_2(k; v)$ is equal to $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)/(s-1)$. In exactly the same way one finds that the Mellin transform of $F_5(k; v)$ is equal to $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s-1)/(s-1)$.

Let us consider the third term $F_3(k; v)$. Performing the change of variables $x \mapsto yv$ we find that

$$F_3(k; v) = \int_1^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}(\ln(y)) k(yv) \mathrm{d}y.$$

Therefore the Mellin transform of $F_3(k; v)$ is given by

$$\int_0^\infty v^{s-1} F_3(k; v) \mathrm{d}v = \int_1^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}(\ln(y)) \int_0^\infty v^{s-1} k(yv) \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}y$$

$$= \left[\int_1^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}(\ln(y)) y^{-s} \mathrm{d}y \right] \times \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) = \left[\int_0^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}(u) e^{-(s-1)u} \mathrm{d}u \right] \times \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s),$$

where we have used Fubini's theorem in the first step, performed change of variables $v \mapsto z/y$ in the second step and applied change of variables $y \mapsto \exp(u)$ in the last step.

In exactly the same way we find that the Mellin transform of $F_4(k; v)$ is equal to

$$\int_0^\infty v^{s-1} F_4(k; v) \mathrm{d}v = \left[\int_0^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}(u) e^{(s-1)u} \mathrm{d}u \right] \times \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s).$$

Finally, let us consider the sixth term $F_6(k; v)$. Using integration by parts and the fact that $k(x)$ is bounded we find that

$$F_6(k; v) = -\frac{1}{v} \int_v^\infty \frac{k'(x)}{x} \mathrm{d}x. \tag{5.12}$$

Since $k'(x)$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, \infty)$ we conclude that $F_6(k; v) = O(\ln(v)/v)$, as $v \to 0^+$, and from (5.10) we see that $F_6(k; v) = O(1/v^2)$, as $v \to +\infty$. This shows that the Mellin transform of $F_6(k; v)$ exists for $1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \min\{1, \theta\}$. Using (5.12) and integration by parts we find that for $0 < v_0 < v_1 < \infty$

$$\int_{v_0}^{v_1} v^{s-1} F_6(k; v) \mathrm{d}v = \frac{v_1^s}{s-1} F_6(k; v_1) - \frac{v_0^s}{s-1} F_6(k; v_0) - \frac{1}{s-1} \int_{v_0}^{v_1} v^{s-2} k'(v) \mathrm{d}v. \tag{5.13}$$

From the above discussion we find that the first (second) term in right-hand side of (5.13) converges to zero as $v_1 \to +\infty$ ($v_0 \to 0^+$), therefore from (3.7) and (5.13) we conclude that for $1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \min\{1, \theta\}$ the Mellin transform of $F_6(k; v)$ is given by

$$\int_0^\infty v^{s-1} F_6(k; v) \mathrm{d}v = -\frac{1}{s-1} \int_0^\infty v^{s-2} k'(v) \mathrm{d}v = \frac{s-2}{s-1} \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s-2).$$

Collecting all the terms in (5.9) we see that for all s in the strip $1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \min\{1, \theta\}$ we have

$$\frac{\sigma_\xi^2}{2} \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) \quad + \quad \frac{b_\xi}{s-1} \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) + \left[\int_0^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(-)}(u) e^{-(s-1)u} \mathrm{d}u + \int_0^\infty \overline{\overline{\Pi}}_\xi^{(+)}(u) e^{(s-1)u} \mathrm{d}u \right] \times \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$$

$$+ \quad \frac{\mu}{s-1} \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s-1) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{s-2}{s-1} \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s-2) = 0.$$

Formula (3.8) follows from the above equation by changing variables $s \mapsto s-1$ and applying formula (2.1). This ends the proof in the case $\theta \in (0,1)$. If $\theta > 1$ then (3.8) can be extended from the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \min\{1, \theta\}$ to $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < \theta$ by analytic continuation. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Corollary 2. The proof of parts (i) and (ii) follows easily from Theorem 2 and Lemmas 1 and 3.

Let us prove (iii). If $\psi(\theta) < 0$ we use the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 1 and conclude that $\mathrm{Re}(\psi(s)) \le \psi(\mathrm{Re}(s)) = \psi(\theta) < 0$ on the line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \theta$; this fact and Lemma 1 imply that $\psi(s) \ne 0$ in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \le \theta$. Since $\psi(\theta) < 0$ we can use (2.1) and the dominated convergence theorem to show that $\psi(s)$ is continuous in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \le \theta$. These two facts and the functional equation (3.8) show that $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ is continuous in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \le \theta$.

Finally, let us consider the case when $\theta = \rho$ and $\psi(\theta) = 0$. Condition $\mathbb{E}[\xi_1^2 \exp(\theta \xi_1)] < \infty$ and the dominated convergence theorem show that $\psi(s)$, $\psi'(s)$ and $\psi''(s)$ are continuous functions in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \le \theta$. Again, using (2.1) and the dominated convergence theorem one can check that as $s \to \theta$ in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \le \theta$ it is true that

$$H(s) := \frac{1}{\psi(s)} - \frac{1}{\psi'(\theta)(s-\theta)} \to -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\psi''(\theta)}{\psi'(\theta)^2} < \infty. \tag{5.14}$$

23

Note that $\psi'(\theta) > 0$ due to the convexity of $\psi(s)$ on the interval $0 < s < \rho$. Lemma 1 and the fact that ξ has non-lattice distribution guarantee that the only zero of $\psi(s)$ in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \leq \theta$ is at $s = \theta$. From here and from (5.14) we see that the function $H(s)$ defined in (5.14) is continuous in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \leq \theta$.

Let us define

$$F(s) = -s\left(\mu\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(s-1)\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s-1)\right).$$

It is clear from Lemma 3 that $F(s)$ is analytic in some neighbourhood of the line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \theta$, thus the function $G(s) = (F(s) - F(\theta))/(s - \theta)$ is also analytic in the neighbourhood of the line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \theta$. Next, we use the functional equation (3.8) in the form $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s+1) = F(s)/\psi(s)$ and after rearranging the terms we find

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s+1) - \frac{F(\theta)}{\psi'(\theta)}\frac{1}{s-\theta} = F(s)H(s) + \frac{G(s)}{\psi'(\theta)}.$$

From the above discussion it is clear that the function in the right-hand side is continuous in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \leq \theta$, which ends the proof of part (iii). $\qquad\square$

Proof of Lemma 4. Let us prove (i). Denote by $J_1(t) = \int_0^t e^{\xi_s}\mathrm{d}s$ and $J_2(t) = \int_0^t e^{2\xi_s}\mathrm{d}s$. It is clear that $J_1(0) = J_2(0) = 0$ and that both $J_1(t)$ and $J_2(t)$ are continuous in t. Since

$$\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}J_1^2(t)\right|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}J_2(t)\right|_{t=0} = 1 \tag{5.15}$$

we conclude that for every $x > 0$ with probability one there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $J_1^2(t) < xJ_2(t)$ for $0 < t < \epsilon$. This fact and the continuity of $J_1(t)$ and $J_2(t)$ imply that

$$g(x) := \mathbb{P}\left(T_x < \infty\right) \geq \mathbb{P}(J_1^2 > xJ_2), \tag{5.16}$$

where we have denoted $T_x = \inf\{t > 0 : J_1^2(t)/J_2(t) = x\}$ and as usual we assume that $\inf\{\emptyset\} = +\infty$. We aim to show that for all $x > 0$ we have $g(2x) \leq g^2(x)$.

From the (5.15) we know that $J_1^2(t)/J_2(t) \to 0$ as $t \to 0^+$. This fact and the continuity of $J_1(t)$ and $J_2(t)$ imply that $\mathbb{P}(T_x = 0) = 0$ for all $x > 0$ and $T_x < T_y$ a.s. for $y > x$. Using the inequality $2a^2 + 2b^2 \geq (a+b)^2$ we get that

$$2\left(J_1(T_x + t) - J_1(T_x)\right)^2 + 2J_1^2(T_x) \geq J_1^2(T_x + t),$$

and we estimate

$$g(2x) = \mathbb{P}(T_{2x} < \infty) = \mathbb{P}\left(T_x < \infty; \exists\, t > 0 : J_1(T_x + t)^2 = 2xJ_2(T_x + t)\right) \leq$$
$$\mathbb{P}\left(T_x < \infty; \exists\, t > 0 : 2\left(J_1(T_x + t) - J_1(T_x)\right)^2 + 2J_1^2(T_x) = 2xJ_2(T_x + t)\right).$$

Since $J_1^2(T_x) = xJ_2(T_x)$ we obtain from the above inequality

$$g(2x) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(T_x < \infty; \exists\, t > 0 : \left(J_1(T_x + t) - J_1(T_x)\right)^2 = x(J_2(T_x + t) - J_2(T_x))\right) =$$
$$\mathbb{P}\left(T_x < \infty; \exists\, t > 0 : e^{2\xi_{T_x}}\tilde{J}_1^2(t) = xe^{2\xi_{T_x}}\tilde{J}_2(t)\right) = g^2(x),$$

24

where $\tilde{J}_i$ are the exponential functionals based on $\tilde{\xi}_t = \xi_{T_x+t} - \xi_{T_x}$ and we have used the fact that the process $\{\tilde{\xi}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{T_x}$. Thus we have obtained the key inequality $g(2x) \leq g^2(x)$.

Next, let us prove that there exists $x^* > 0$ such that $g(x^*) < 1$. Assume that the converse is true, that is $g(x) = 1$ for all $x > 0$, in particular, $g(n) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let $A_n = \{\exists t > 0 : J_1(t)^2 = nJ_2(t)\}$. Since $\mathbb{P}(A_n) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$, we conclude that $\mathbb{P}(\cap_{n\geq 1} A_n) = 1$. This implies that with probability one there exists a strictly increasing random sequence of positive numbers $\{t_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ such that $J_1^2(t_n) = nJ_2(t_n)$. Since $J_2(t_n)$ is an increasing sequence we conclude that as $n \to +\infty$ we have $\mathbb{P}(J_1(t_n)^2 \to +\infty) = 1$, and due to the fact that $J_1(t_n) \leq J_1$ we arrive at a contradiction $\mathbb{P}(J_1 = \infty) = 1$.

Thus we have proved that there exists $x^* > 0$ such that $g(x^*) < 1$. For $x > x^*$ let us define $N > 0$ to be the unique integer number such that $2^N \leq x/x^* < 2^{N+1}$. Applying the inequality $g(x) < g(x/2)^2$ exactly N times we obtain $g(x) \leq g(x/2^N)^{2^N}$. Using the fact that $g(x)$ is a decreasing function and that $x^* \leq x/2^N$ we conclude that $g(x) \leq g(x^*)^{2^N}$, and since $x/(2x^*) < 2^N$ we see that for all $x > x^*$

$$g(x) < e^{-cx},$$

where $c = -\ln(g(x^*))/(2x^*) > 0$. This fact and (5.16) imply that $\mathbb{P}(V > x) < \exp(-cx)$ for all $x > x^*$, thus (3.10) is true for any $\epsilon \in (0, c)$. This ends the proof of part (i).

Let us prove (ii). Assume first that $u \leq 0$. Then using Holder inequality we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[J_1^{-u} J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(u+s-1)}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[V^{-\frac{u}{2}} J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(s-1)}\right] \leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left[V^{-\frac{u}{2}p}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{q}{2}(s-1)}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

From part(i) we know that V has finite positive moments of all orders. Then it suffices to choose $q = 2(1-s)^{-1}$ for $-1 < s < 0$, $q = 2$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ and $q = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2(s-1)}$ for $1 < s < 1 + \theta$ to conclude that (3.11) holds.

Assume next $0 < s < 1$, $u > 0$ and $u \leq 1 - s$. Then with $p = u^{-1}$ and $q = (1-u)^{-1}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[J_1^{-u} J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(u+s-1)}\right] \leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left[J_1^{-1}\right]\right)^u \left(\mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(-1+\frac{s}{1-u})}\right]\right)^{1-u} < \infty,$$

due to Proposition 2 and the fact that $\left(-1 + \frac{s}{1-u}\right) \in (-1, 0]$. □

The following technical result will be needed in the proof of Lemma 5.

Lemma 7.

(i) For every $\epsilon > 0$ and $a < b$ there exists $C = C(\epsilon, a, b) > 0$ such that

$$\left|\Gamma(x + iy)\right| < Ce^{-\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon\right)|y|}$$

for all $a < x < b$ and $|y| > 1$.

(ii) For every $\epsilon > 0$ and $a < b$ there exists $C = C(\epsilon, a, b) > 0$ such that

$$\left|\Gamma(x + iy)\right| > Ce^{-\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\epsilon\right)|y|}$$

for all $a < x < b$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We start with the following asymptotic expression

$$\left|\Gamma(x+iy)\right| = \sqrt{2\pi}|y|^{x-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|y|}\left(1+O(\frac{1}{|y|})\right), \quad y \to \infty \tag{5.17}$$

which holds uniformly in x on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, see formula 8.328.1 in [16]. Part (i) follows easily from (5.17) and for part (ii) we use the additional fact that $\Gamma(s)$ has no zeros in the entire complex plane. $\qquad\square$

The following technical result will be needed in the Proof of Lemma 5.

Lemma 8. *For $\mu < 0$, $\mathrm{Re}(w) < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 - 2\mathrm{Re}(w)$*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu y)^2}{2z}}x^{s-1}z^{w-1}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z = 2^{w-1}(-\mu y)^{2w+s-1}\frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-2w-s)}{\Gamma(1-w)}. \tag{5.18}$$

Proof. We change the variable of integration $z \mapsto \frac{1}{u}$ and find that for $a > 0$ and $\mathrm{Re}(w) < 1/2$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{a}{z}}z^{w-1-\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}z = \int_0^\infty e^{-au}u^{-\frac{1}{2}-w}\mathrm{d}u = a^{w-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-w\right).$$

Then for $2\mathrm{Re}(w) + \mathrm{Re}(s) - 1 < 0$ we can apply the Fubini's theorem and obtain

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu y)^2}{2z}}x^{s-1}z^{w-1}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}2^{\frac{1}{2}-w}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-w\right)\int_0^\infty (x-\mu y)^{2w-1}x^{s-1}\mathrm{d}x$$

$$= (-\mu y)^{2w+s-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}2^{\frac{1}{2}-w}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-w\right)\int_0^\infty (x+1)^{2w-1}x^{s-1}\mathrm{d}x$$

$$= (-\mu y)^{2w+s-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}2^{\frac{1}{2}-w}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-w\right)\frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-2w-s)}{\Gamma(1-2w)},$$

where in the last step we have used the beta-integral identity (see equation 3.194.3 [16]). Formula (5.18) can be derived from the above equation by application of the Legendre duplication formula for the gamma function (see formula 8.335.1 in [16]). $\qquad\square$

The following techinical result will be needed in the Proof of Lemma 5 and Theorem 3.

Lemma 9. *Assume that $a_0 < a_1$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathrm{Re}(b) \in (0,1) \cup (1,2)$. For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist a constant $C = C(a_0, a_1, b, \epsilon) > 0$ and a constant $D = D(a_0, a_1, \epsilon) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ such that for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $a_0 < \mathrm{Re}(a) < a_1$ and all $z > 0$*

$$\left|{}_1F_1(a, b, -z)\right| \le Ce^{\epsilon z + D|\mathrm{Im}(a)|}. \tag{5.19}$$

Proof of Lemma 9. We start with the following integral representation

$${}_1F_1(a, b, -z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\Gamma(b)z^{1-b}e^{-z}\int_{\gamma+i\mathbb{R}} e^{wz}w^{-b}(1-w^{-1})^{a-b}\mathrm{d}w, \tag{5.20}$$

which holds for $z > 0$, $\mathrm{Re}(b) > 0$ and $\gamma > 1$. This representation follows from formula (7) on page 273 in [11] and the identity ${}_1F_1(a, b, -z) = \exp(-z){}_1F_1(b-a, b, z)$ (see formula (7) on page 253 in [11]).

Next fix $\epsilon > 0$ and assume that $\text{Re}(b) \in (1,2)$ and $z \geq 1$. We also denote $\gamma = 1 + \epsilon$. Then changing variables $w \mapsto \gamma + it$ we obtain from (5.20)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|{}_1F_1(a,b,z)\right| &= \left|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\Gamma(b)z^{1-b}e^{\epsilon z}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{itz}(\gamma+it)^{-b}\left(1-(\gamma+it)^{-1}\right)^{a-b}dt\right| \\
&\leq C_1(b)e^{\epsilon z}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|(\gamma+it)^{-b}\right| \times \left|\left(1-(\gamma+it)^{-1}\right)^{a-b}\right|dt. \quad (5.21)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the set $\{(\gamma+it)^{-1} : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a circle with centre $(2\gamma)^{-1}$ and radius $(2\gamma)^{-1}$. Therefore the set $\{1-(\gamma+it)^{-1} : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a circle with centre $1-(2\gamma)^{-1}$ and radius $(2\gamma)^{-1}$. Recall that $\gamma = 1 + \epsilon > 0$, therefore this last circle does not touch the vertical line $i\mathbb{R}$ and we have

$$
D = \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{\left|\arg(1-(\gamma+it)^{-1})\right|\right\} < \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (5.22)
$$

At the same time we have for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \leq \left|1-(\gamma+it)^{-1}\right| = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon^2+t^2}{\gamma^2+t^2}} < 1.
$$

The above two estimates and the equality $|u^v| = |u|^{\text{Re}(v)}e^{|\arg(u)\times\text{Im}(v)|}$ (which is valid for all $u \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(v) > 0$) show that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\left|\left(1-(\gamma+it)^{-1}\right)^{a-b}\right| \leq C_2(a_0,a_1,b,\epsilon)e^{D|Im(a)|}, \quad (5.23)
$$

where

$$
C_2(a_0,a_1,b,\epsilon) = \max\left\{1,\left(\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}\right)^{a_0-\text{Re}(b)},\left(\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}\right)^{a_1-\text{Re}(b)}\right\}.
$$

Using (5.21) and (5.23) we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|{}_1F_1(a,b,-z)\right| &\leq C_1(b)C_2(a_0,a_1,b,\epsilon)e^{\epsilon z+D|Im(a)|}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|(\gamma+it)^{-b}\right|dt \\
&= C(a_0,a_1,b,\epsilon)e^{\epsilon z+D|Im(a)|}. \quad (5.24)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the integral appearing in the above estimate converges since $\text{Re}(b) \in (1,2)$. This proves (5.19) for $z \geq 1$.

Assume next that $z \in (0,1)$. Using (5.20) with $\gamma = (1+\epsilon)/z$ and changing variables in the integral $w \mapsto (1+\epsilon+it)/z$ we get

$$
{}_1F_1(a,b,-z) = \frac{e^{1+\epsilon-z}}{2\pi}\Gamma(b)\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{it}(1+\epsilon+it)^{-b}\left(1-\frac{z}{1+\epsilon+it}\right)^{a-b}dt.
$$

Now we can proceed as in the case when $z > 1$ noting that the set $\{1-z(1+\epsilon+it)^{-1} : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a circle with centre $1-z(2(1+\epsilon))^{-1}$ and radius $z(2(1+\epsilon))^{-1}$. As $0 < z < 1$ one can see that this

27

only improves all the estimates above. For example, the estimate (5.22) also holds true and for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} < \frac{1+\epsilon-z}{1+\epsilon} \le \left|1 - z(1+\epsilon+\mathrm{i}t)^{-1}\right| = \sqrt{\frac{(1+\epsilon-z)^2+t^2}{(1+\epsilon)^2+t^2}} < 1.$$

Therefore (5.19) is also true for $z \in (0,1)$.

Finally we consider the case when $\mathrm{Re}(b) \in (0,1)$. One can see that this case follows easily from the already established result valid for $\mathrm{Re}(b) \in (1,2)$ and the following identity for the confluent hypergeometric function

$$b_1F_1(a,b,-z) = a_1F_1(a+1,b+1,-z) + (b-a)_1F_1(a,b+1,-z),$$

see formula 9.212.3 in [16]. □

Proof of Lemma 5. The equation (3.13) follows from (5.8) and the fact that

$$D_{-s}(0) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}2^{-\frac{s}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(s+1)\right)},$$

see the definition of the parabolic cylinder function (5.7).

Let us prove (ii). Assume first that $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is a fixed number which satifies $\mathrm{Re}(s) \in \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)$. Using Lemma 2 and Fubini Theorem we find that the Mellin transform of $k(x)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} F(s,y,z)\mathbb{P}(J_1 \in \mathrm{d}y, J_2 \in \mathrm{d}z), \tag{5.25}$$

where we have defined

$$F(s,y,z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi z}}\int_0^\infty x^{s-1}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu y)^2}{2z}}\mathrm{d}x.$$

According to Lemma (8), since $\mathrm{Re}(s) \in \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)$ then the Mellin transform of $F(s,y,z)$ in the z-variable exists for all w such that $0 < \mathrm{Re}(w) < 1/8$ and is given by

$$G(s,y,w) := \int_0^\infty F(s,y,z)z^{w-1}\mathrm{d}z = 2^{w-1}(-\mu y)^{2w+s-1}\frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-2w-s)}{\Gamma(1-w)}. \tag{5.26}$$

Using Lemma 7 we find that for every s such that $1/4 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 3/4$ there exists $C = C(s) > 0$ such that for all w with $\mathrm{Re}(w) = 1/16$ we have

$$|G(s,y,w)| < C|y|^{\mathrm{Re}(s)-\frac{7}{8}}e^{-|\mathrm{Im}(w)|}. \tag{5.27}$$

Therefore as $|G(s,y,w)|$ is absolutely integrable along the line $w = \frac{1}{16} + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$ then $F(s,y,z)$ can be written as an inverse Mellin transform

$$F(s,y,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\int_{\frac{1}{16}+\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} G(s,y,w)z^{-w}\mathrm{d}w.$$

28

From the above identity and (5.25) we find that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{y=0}^{\infty} \int_{z=0}^{\infty} \int_{w\in\frac{1}{16}+\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} G(s,y,w)z^{-w}\mathrm{d}w\mathbb{P}(J_1 \in \mathrm{d}y, J_2 \in \mathrm{d}z).$$

Due to (5.27) and the fact that $\mathbb{E}[J_1^{\mathrm{Re}(s)-7/8}J_2^{-1/16}] < \infty$ since $1/4 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 3/4$ (see Lemma 4) we conclude that the function $G(s,y,w)z^{-w}$ is absolutely integrable with respect to the measure $\mathrm{d}w \times \mathbb{P}(J_1 \in \mathrm{d}y, J_2 \in \mathrm{d}z)$, thus we can apply the Fubini Theorem to the right-hand side of the above equation, and with the help of (5.26) we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s) = \frac{\Gamma(s)}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{\frac{1}{16}+\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Gamma(1-2w-s)}{\Gamma(1-w)} \mathbb{E}\left[J_1^{2w+s-1}J_2^{-w}\right] 2^{w-1}(-\mu)^{2w+s-1}\mathrm{d}w. \tag{5.28}$$

Next, we perform a change of variables $w \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(1-u-s)$ (recall that s is a fixed number) and obtain from (5.28)

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s) = \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}(s+1)}\Gamma(s)}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{\frac{7}{8}-s+\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Gamma(u)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(u+s+1))} \mathbb{E}\left[J_1^{-u}J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(u+s-1)}\right] (2\mu^2)^{-\frac{u}{2}}\mathrm{d}u. \tag{5.29}$$

For s fixed, such that $1/4 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 3/4$, we know that $\mathbb{E}\left[J_1^{-u}J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(u+s-1)}\right]$ is a bounded analytic function of u everywhere in the strip $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(u) < 7/8 - \mathrm{Re}(s)$. The ratio of Gamma functions $\Gamma(u)/\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(u+s+1))$ decays exponentially (and uniformly) as $\mathrm{Im}(u) \to \infty$ in the strip $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(u) < 7/8 - \mathrm{Re}(s)$, and it has a unique simple pole at $u = 0$, coming from $\Gamma(u)$. Thus we can shift the contour of integration in (5.29) $7/8 - s + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \mapsto -1/2 + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$ and taking into account the residue at $u = 0$ we finally obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s) = \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}(s+1)}\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(s+1)\right)} \mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(s-1)}\right] \tag{5.30}$$

$$+ \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}(s+1)}}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(u)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(u+s+1)\right)} \mathbb{E}\left[J_1^{-u}J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(u+s-1)}\right] (2\mu^2)^{-\frac{u}{2}}\mathrm{d}u.$$

According to Lemma 4, $\mathbb{E}\left[J_1^{-u}J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(u+s-1)}\right]$ is a bounded analytic function for $\mathrm{Re}(w) = -1/2$ and $-1+\epsilon < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1+\theta-\epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Due to Lemma 7, the ratio of the Gamma functions $\Gamma(u)/\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(u+s+1))$ decays exponentially as $\mathrm{Im}(u) \to \infty$, $\mathrm{Re}(u) = -1/2$ and uniformly in s if $-1+\epsilon < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1+\theta-\epsilon$. Therefore, the right-hand side in (5.30) defines a meromorphic function in the strip $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1+\theta$, which has a unique simple pole at $s = 0$ (which comes from $\Gamma(s)$), and we can apply analytic continuation and conclude that (5.30) is valid for all s in the strip $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1+\theta$. This ends the proof of part (ii).

Finally, let us prove (iii). Assume first that $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1$. We use formulae (5.7) and (5.8) to find that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s) + \mathcal{M}_{-\mu,1}(s) = \Gamma(s)\frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}(s-1)}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(s+1))} \mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(s-1)}e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{2}V}{}_1F_1\left(\frac{s}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{\mu^2}{2}V\right)\right]. \tag{5.31}$$

From the above formula and the identity $e^{-z}{}_1F_1(a,b,z) = {}_1F_1(b-a,b,-z)$ (see formula (7) on page 253 in [11]) we conclude that (3.15) holds true for $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1$. Now our goal is to check that formula (3.15) can be extended into the wider strip $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1+\theta$.

Assume that $\delta > 0$ is a small number and that $-1 + \delta < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta - \delta$. It is clear that we can find $p = p(\delta) > 1$ such that for all s in the strip $-1 + \delta < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta - \delta$ we have $(\mathrm{Re}(s) - 1)p \in (-2, \theta)$. Define $q = p/(p-1)$. According to Lemma 4, we can find $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that $\mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\epsilon q \frac{\mu^2}{2} V)\right] < \infty$. Using Lemma 9 we see that there exists $D = D(\delta) \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $C = C(\delta) > 0$ such that for all s in the strip $-1 + \delta < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta - \delta$ we have

$$\left| {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1-s}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\mu^2}{2}V\right) \right| < Ce^{\epsilon \frac{\mu^2}{2} V + D|\mathrm{Im}(\frac{s}{2})|}.$$

Therefore, we can use Hölder inequality with p and q defined as above and estimate the expectation in the right-hand side of (3.15) as follows

$$\left| \mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(s-1)} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1-s}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\mu^2}{2}V\right) \right] \right| < Ce^{D|\mathrm{Im}(\frac{s}{2})|} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Re}(s)-1)p} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\epsilon q \frac{\mu^2}{2} V} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty, \quad (5.32)$$

where in the last step we have used the fact that $\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Re}(s) - 1)p \in (-1, \theta/2)$. This shows that the expectation in the right-hand side of (3.15) is well-defined for all s such that $-1 + \delta < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta - \delta$, and since $\delta > 0$ is an arbitrary small number, we can extend the validity of this equation into the whole strip $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta$. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. Note that $I_{\mu,\sigma} \overset{d}{=} \sigma I_{\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1}$, hence $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) = \sigma^{s-1} \mathcal{M}_{\frac{\mu}{\sigma}, 1}(s)$, therefore without loss of generality we can assume $\sigma = 1$.

Since $-1/2$ is not a pole for $\Gamma(s)$, we use Lemma 7 and conclude that there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\left| \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2} + iy\right) \right| \leq C_1 e^{-\frac{7\pi}{16}|y|}. \qquad (5.33)$$

At the same time, from Lemma 7 we find that for all $x \in (-1, 1+\theta)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \Gamma(x + iy) \right| \geq C_2 e^{-\frac{9\pi}{16}|y|}. \qquad (5.34)$$

First let us assume that $\mu = 0$. Then (3.16) follows immediately from Lemma 7 and (3.13) since $\left| \mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \right] \right| < C(\delta)$, for $\mathrm{Re}(s) \in (-1 + \delta, 1 + \theta - \delta)$. The latter is obvious from Proposition 2 for J_2.

Next, assume that $\mu < 0$. Thanks to (3.14) and Lemma 4 we get that

$$|\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s)| \leq \tilde{C}(\mu, \delta, \varepsilon) \left(\frac{|\Gamma(s)|}{|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(s+1))|} + |\Gamma(s)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2} + iy\right)|}{|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} + iy + s\right)\right)|} dy \right). \qquad (5.35)$$

From Lemma 7 we deduce that for $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta$ and $|\mathrm{Im}(s)| > 1$ there exists $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|\Gamma(s)|}{|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(s+1))|} \leq C_3 e^{-\frac{\pi}{6}|\mathrm{Im}(s)|},$$

which shows that the first term in (5.35) is decaying exponentially as $\mathrm{Im}(s) \to \infty$. Next, from Lemma 7 we know that for $-1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta$ and $|\mathrm{Im}(s)| > 1$ there exists $C_4 > 0$ such that

$$|\Gamma(s)| < C_4 e^{-\frac{7\pi}{16}|\mathrm{Im}(s)|}.$$

Using this fact and estimates (5.33) and (5.34) we see that for $|\text{Im}(s)| > 1$

$$|\Gamma(s)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2} + iy\right)|}{|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} + iy + s\right)\right)|} dy \leq \frac{C_1}{C_2} |\Gamma(s)| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{7\pi}{16}|y| + \frac{9\pi}{32}|y + \text{Im}(s)|} dy$$

$$\leq C_4 \frac{C_1}{C_2} e^{-\frac{7\pi}{16}|\text{Im}(s)|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{7\pi}{16}|y| + \frac{9\pi}{32}|y| + \frac{9\pi}{32}|\text{Im}(s)|} dy$$

$$\leq C_4 \frac{C_1}{C_2} e^{-\frac{5\pi}{32}|\text{Im}(s)|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{5\pi}{32}|y|} dy = C_5 e^{-\frac{5\pi}{32}|\text{Im}(s)|}.$$

The above estimate shows that the second term in (5.35) is decaying exponentially as $\text{Im}(s) \to \infty$, which ends the proof in the case $\mu < 0$.

Finally, let us consider the case when $\mu > 0$. In the proof of part (iii) of Lemma 5 (see inequality (5.32)) we have established that for every $\delta > 0$ there exist constants $D = D(\delta) \in (0, \pi/2)$ and $C = C(\delta) > 0$ such that for all s in the strip $-1 + \delta < \text{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta - \delta$ we have

$$\left| \mathbb{E}\left[J_2^{\frac{1}{2}(s-1)} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1 - s}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\mu^2}{2} V\right) \right] \right| < C e^{D|\text{Im}(\frac{s}{2})|}.$$

Then from (3.15) we find that for all s in the strip $-1 + \delta < \text{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta - \delta$

$$|\mathcal{M}_{\mu,1}(s)| < \left| \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(s+1))} \right| C e^{D|\text{Im}(\frac{s}{2})|} + |\mathcal{M}_{-\mu,1}(s)|. \tag{5.36}$$

Using Lemma 7 and the fact that $D < \pi/2$ we conclude that there exist $C_1 > 0$ such that for all s in the strip $-1 + \delta < \text{Re}(s) < 1 + \theta - \delta$ and $|\text{Im}(s)| > 1$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(s+1))} \right| < C_1 e^{-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + D\right)|\text{Im}(s)|},$$

therefore the first term in (5.36) can be bounded as

$$CC_1 e^{-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - D\right)|\text{Im}(s)|}$$

and it decays exponentially as $\text{Im}(s) \to \infty$ since $\pi/2 - D > 0$. This ends the proof in the case $\mu > 0$, since we have already established that the second term in (5.36) decays exponentially to zero. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 3. The fact that $k(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ was already established in Lemma 2. Assume that $x \in (0, \infty)$. Applying Mellin transform inversion we find that

$$k_{\mu,\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2} + i\mathbb{R}} x^{-s} \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) ds, \tag{5.37}$$

where the integral converges absolutely since (3.16) guarantees exponential decay of $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ on the line $1/2 + i\mathbb{R}$. This exponential decay also guarantees that for every $n \geq 0$ the functions

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (s + i) \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$$

are absolutely integrable along the line $1/2 + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$, which shows that $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x) \in C^{\infty}(0, \infty)$. Noting that $-I_{\mu,\sigma} \stackrel{d}{=} I_{-\mu,\sigma}$ we deduce that $k_{\mu,\sigma}(x) \in C^{\infty}(-\infty, 0)$. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Corollary 4. The statement about the exponential decay follows from Theorem 3, the functional equation (3.8) and the fact that $\psi(z) = O(z^2)$ uniformly in the strip $\mathrm{Re}(s) \in (-1 - \hat{\rho} + \delta, 1 + \theta - \delta)$. The latter fact follows from (2.1) (see also Proposition 2 in [3]). $\qquad\square$

Proof of Theorem 4. Recall from Corollary 3 that $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ is analytic in the strip $\mathrm{Re}(s) \in (-1 - \hat{\rho}, 1 + \theta)$ and has simple poles at all negative integers $-n$ such that $0 \le n < 1 + \hat{\rho}$. Define

$$a_n = a_n(\mu, \sigma) = \mathrm{Res}(\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) \ : \ s = -n), \quad 0 \le n < 1 + \hat{\rho}. \tag{5.38}$$

Choose $c < 1 + \hat{\rho}$, such that $c \notin \mathbb{N}$. We start from the Mellin transform inversion formula (5.37), use the fact that $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ decays exponentially as $\mathrm{Im}(s) \to \infty$ (and uniformly in $\mathrm{Re}(s)$) and shift the contour of integration $1/2 + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R} \mapsto -c + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}$ while taking into account the residues at points $-n$ to obtain

$$k_{\mu,\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{s = \frac{1}{2} + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} x^{-s} \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) \mathrm{d}s = \sum_{0 \le n < c} a_n x^n + \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \int_{-c + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} x^{-s} \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) \mathrm{d}s. \tag{5.39}$$

The integral term in the right-hand side of the above equation can be estimated as follows

$$\left| \int_{-c + \mathrm{i}\mathbb{R}} x^{-s} \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) \mathrm{d}s \right| \le x^c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(-c + \mathrm{i}t) \right| \mathrm{d}t,$$

therefore this term is $O(x^c)$ as $x \to 0^+$.

Let us derive a recurrence relation for the coefficients a_n. First of all, from Lemma 3 we find that $a_0 = k(0)$ (this fact is also obvious from (5.39)). Next, from the definition (5.38) and the fact that all the poles are simple we find that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s) = \frac{a_n}{s + n} + O(1), \quad s \to -n. \tag{5.40}$$

Using formula (5.40) and the functional equation (3.8) we find that as $s \to 0$ we have

$$\frac{\psi(s)}{s} \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s + 1) + \mu \frac{a_0}{s} + O(1) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(-1)\frac{a_1}{s} + O(1) = 0.$$

Due to the fact that $\psi(s)/s \to \psi'(0) = \mathbb{E}[\xi_1] < \infty$ as $s \to 0$ and that $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s + 1) \to 1$ as $s \to 0$ we conclude that $\mu a_0 - \sigma^2 a_1/2 = 0$. Following the same steps and considering the functional equation (3.8) as $s \to -n$ we find that coefficients a_n satisfy the recurrence relation

$$\frac{\psi(-n)}{-n} a_{n-1} + \mu a_n + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(-n - 1)a_{n+1} = 0, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Therefore, if we define $b_n = b_n(\mu, \sigma) = n! a_n(\mu, \sigma)$ then from the above equation we obtain the recurrence relation (4.2).

Combining all the above results we see that we have established (4.1), but only in the one-sided sense $x \to 0^+$. Using the fact that $I_{\mu,\sigma} \stackrel{d}{=} -I_{-\mu,\sigma}$ and repeating the above arguments we obtain

$$k_{\mu,\sigma}(-x) = k_{-\mu,\sigma}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{b_n(-\mu, \sigma)}{n!} x^n + O(x^{m+\epsilon}), \quad \text{as} \ \ x \to 0^+.$$

Clearly, (4.1) would be true if $b_n(-\mu, \sigma) = (-1)^n b_n(\mu, \sigma)$. This fact can be easily verified: using the recurrence relation (4.2) we check that $b_n(\mu, \sigma)$ is a polynomial in μ of degree n, which is odd (even) if n is an odd (even) number. This ends the proof of asymptotic formula (4.1).

Finally, formula (4.1) and the fact that $k(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ imply that $k(x) \in C^{1+\lfloor\hat{\rho}\rfloor}(\mathbb{R})$, which ends the proof of Theorem 4. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5. Let us prove part (i). For $x \geq 0$ we define

$$F(x) := \int_0^x \mathbb{P}(I_{\mu,\sigma} > y^{\frac{1}{2\theta}}) \mathrm{d}y.$$

Using integration by parts in the same way as we did above when dealing with the Mellin transform of the $F_2(k; v)$ term in the proof of Theorem 2 (see also equation (5.11)) we find that for all s in the strip $\mathrm{Re}(s) \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$

$$\hat{F}(s) := \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} \mathrm{d}F(x) = s^{-1} \mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(1 + 2\theta s). \tag{5.41}$$

Due to Corollary 2, the function $\hat{F}(s) - C/(1/2 - s)$ is continuous in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \leq 1/2$, therefore we can apply Wiener-Ikehara Theorem (see Theorem 7.3 in [2]) and conclude that as $x \to +\infty$

$$F(x) = 2C\sqrt{x} + o\left(\sqrt{x}\right).$$

Using the above asymptotic expression, the fact that $\mathbb{P}(I_{\mu,\sigma} > y^{\frac{1}{2\theta}})$ is a decreasing function of y and applying the Monotone Density Theorem we obtain (4.3).

The proof of part (ii) is very similar: now we use Corollary 2 to find that $\hat{F}(s)$ is continuous in the strip $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) \leq 1/2$, therefore by applying Wiener-Ikehara Theorem we conclude that as $x \to +\infty$ we have $F(x) = o(\sqrt{x})$, and applying the Monotone Density Theorem we obtain (4.4).

If $\mu < 0$ then from Lemma 2 we know that $k(x)$ is a decreasing function on $(0, \infty)$, therefore we can apply the Monotone Density Theorem to (4.3) or (4.4) and obtain the corresponding asymptotic expression for $k(x)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6. From (4.6) it is clear that $\psi(z) = O(z^2)$ and $1/\psi(z) = O(1)$ as $\mathrm{Im}(z) \to \infty$, $|\mathrm{Im}(z)| > 1$, and that these estimates are uniform in $\mathrm{Re}(s)$. Therefore, using Theorem 3 and the functional equation (3.8) we see that $\mathcal{M}_{\mu,\sigma}(s)$ decays exponentially as $\mathrm{Im}(s) \to \infty$, $\mathrm{Im}(s) > 1$, and uniformly if $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ belongs to a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore we can apply the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 4: shift the contour of integration, collect all the residues and estimate the resulting integral. The details are left to the reader. $\square$

References

[1] D. Bankovsky and A. Sly. Exact conditions for no ruin for the generalised ornstein-uhlenbeck process. submitted, 2008.

[2] P.T. Bateman and H.G. Diamond. *Analytic number theory: an introductory course.* World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2004.

[3] J. Bertoin. *Lévy processes*, volume 121 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[4] J. Bertoin. *Random fragmentation and coagulation processes*, volume 102 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[5] J. Bertoin, A. Lindner, and R. Maller. On continuity properties of the law of integrals of Lévy processes. *Séminaire de probabilités XLI*, 1934:137–159, 2008.

[6] J. Bertoin and M. Yor. Exponential functionals of Lévy processes. *Probab. Surv.*, 2:191–212 (electronic), 2005.

[7] N. Cai. On first passage times of a hyper-exponential jump diffusion process. *Operations Research Letters*, 37(2):127 – 134, 2009.

[8] N. Cai and S.G. Kou. Prising Asian options under a general jump diffusion model. *to appear in Operations Research*, 2010.

[9] P. Carmona, F. Petit, and M. Yor. On the distribution and asymptotic results for exponential functionals of Lévy processes. In *Exponential functionals and principal values related to Brownian motion*, Bibl. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, pages 73–130. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, Madrid, 1997.

[10] D. Dufresne. The distribution of a perpetuity, with application to risk theory and pension funding. *Scand. Actuar. J.*, 9:39–79, 1990.

[11] A. Erdelyi, editor. *Higher Transcendental Functions*, volume 1 of *Bateman Manuscript Project.* McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.

[12] P. Erdos. On the smoothness properties of Bernoulli convolutions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 62:180–186, 1940.

[13] K. B. Erickson and R. A. Maller. Generalised Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes and the convergence of Lévy integrals. In *Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII*, volume 1857 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 70–94. Springer, Berlin, 2005.

[14] H. K. Gjessing and J. Paulsen. Present value distributions with applications to ruin theory and stochastic equations. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 71(1):123–144, 1997.

[15] C. M. Goldie. Implicit renewal theory and tails of solutions of random equations. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 1(1):126–166, 1991.

[16] A. Jeffrey, editor. *Table of integrals, series and products.* Academic Press, 7 edition, 2007.

[17] C. Klüppelberg, A. Lindner, and R. Maller. A continuous time GARCH process driven by Lévy process: stationarity and second order bahaviour. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 41:601–622, 2004.

[18] H. Kondo, M. Maejima, and K.-I. Sato. Some properties of exponential integrals of Lévy processes and examples. *Electron. Comm. Probab.*, 11:291–303, 2006.

[19] A. Kuznetsov. On the distribution of exponential functionals for Lévy processes with jumps of rational transform. *submitted*, 2010.

[20] A. Kuznetsov, A.E. Kyprianou, and J.C. Pardo. Meromorphic Lévy processes and their fluctuation identities. *to appear in Annals of Applied Probability*, 2010.

[21] A. Kuznetsov and J.C. Pardo. Fluctuations of stable processes and exponential functionals of hypergeometric Lévy processes. *submitted*, 2010.

[22] A. E. Kyprianou and J. C. Pardo. Continuous-state branching processes and self-similarity. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 45(4):1140–1160, 2008.

[23] J. Lamperti. Semi-stable Markov processes. I. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 22:205–225, 1972.

[24] A. Lindner and R. Maller. Lévy integrals and the stationarity of generalised Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 115(10):1701–1722, 2005.

[25] A. Lindner and K.-I. Sato. Continuity properties and infinite divisibility of stationary distributions of some generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 37(1):250–274, 2009.

[26] K. Maulik and B. Zwart. Tail asymptotics for exponential functionals of Lévy processes. *Stochastic Processes Appl.*, 116:156–177, 2006.

[27] J. C. Pardo, V. Rivero, and K. van Schaik. Asymptotic behaviour at zero of the density of an exponential funcional driven by a subordinator. *Work in progress*, 2010.

[28] P. Patie. Exponential functionals of a new family of Lévy processes and self-similar continuous state branching processes with immigration. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 133(4):355–382, 2009.

[29] J. Paulsen. Risk theory in a stochastic economic environment. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 46:327–361, 1993.

[30] V. Rivero. Recurrent extensions of self-similar Markov processes and Cramér's condition. *Bernoulli*, 11(3):471–509, 2005.

[31] V. Rivero. Recurrent extensions of self-similar Markov processes and Cramér's condition. II. *Bernoulli*, 13(4):1053–1070, 2007.

[32] V. Rivero. Tail asymptotics for exponential functionals of Lévy processes: the convolution equivalent case. *submitted, arXiv:0905.2401*, 2009.

[33] A. Shiryaev. *Veroyatnost*. "Nauka", Moscow, 1980.

[34] M. Yor. *Exponential functionals of Brownian motion and related processes*. Springer Finance. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. With an introductory chapter by Hélyette Geman, Chapters 1, 3, 4, 8 translated from the French by Stephen S. Wilson.