## Hw1

1. (a) We have:  $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = A\vec{u} \cdot A\vec{v} = (A^T A\vec{u}) \cdot \vec{v}$  for all  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Since  $\vec{v}$  is arbitrary, and dot product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is non-degenerate, we have  $A^T A\vec{u} = \vec{u}$  for every  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Hence  $A^T A = I$ . For the other order, note that we now have  $A^{-1} = A^T$ , so that  $I = AA^{-1} = AA^T$ . Taking determinants of both sides and using that det  $A^T = \det A$ , we have  $1 = (\det A)^2$ , so that  $\det A = \pm 1$ .

(b) Differentiating  $A(t)\vec{u} \cdot A(t)\vec{v} = \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}$  gives  $\dot{A}(t)\vec{u} \cdot A(t)\vec{v} + A(t)\vec{u} \cdot \dot{A}(t)\vec{v} = 0$ , for any  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Now evaluate at t = 0, where  $\dot{A}(0) = \Omega$  and A(0) = I gives  $\Omega \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = -\vec{u} \cdot \Omega \vec{v} = -(\Omega^T \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{v}$ , as this holds for all  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we have  $\Omega \vec{u} = -\Omega^T \vec{u}$  for all  $\vec{u}$ , i.e.  $\Omega = -\Omega^T$  is skew-symmetric.

(c) For any  $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we have  $\Omega_{\vec{\omega}} \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = (\vec{\omega} \times \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{v} = -(\vec{\omega} \times \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{u} = -\vec{u} \cdot \Omega_{\vec{\omega}} \vec{v} = -(\Omega_{\vec{\omega}}^T \vec{u}) \cdot \vec{v}$ , so that  $\Omega_{\vec{\omega}} = -\Omega_{\vec{\omega}}^T$  is skew-symmetric.

This map is linear from cross product properties:  $\Omega_{\vec{\omega}+\lambda\vec{\nu}}\vec{u} = (\vec{\omega}+\lambda\vec{\nu}) \times \vec{u} = \vec{\omega} \times \vec{u} + \lambda(\vec{\nu} \times \vec{u}) = (\Omega_{\vec{\omega}} + \lambda\Omega_{\vec{\nu}})\vec{u}$ . It is also injective, since if  $\vec{\omega} \times \vec{u} = 0$  for all  $\vec{u}$ , then for  $\vec{u}$  a unit vector in  $\vec{\omega}^{\perp}$ , we have  $0 = |\vec{\omega} \times \vec{u}| = |\vec{\omega}|$ , so that  $\vec{\omega} = 0$ . Since both vector spaces are 3-dimensional the linear map is an isomorphism.

It is also worthwhile to workout a formula for this map:  $\vec{\omega} = \omega_1 \hat{i} + \omega_2 \hat{j} + \omega_3 \hat{k} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_3 & \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 & 0 & -\omega_1 \\ -\omega_2 & \omega_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

since for example,  $\vec{\omega} \times \hat{i} = -\omega_2 \hat{k} + \omega_3 \hat{j}$  gives the entries of the 1st column.

(d) Note that rotations about a common axis commute. Hence  $A(t)A^{-1}(s) = A^{-1}(s)A(t) = A(t-s)$ . Let  $\tau = t - s$  so that  $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=s}A(t-s) = \frac{d}{d\tau}|_{\tau=0}A(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega & 0\\ \omega & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \Omega_{\vec{\omega}}.$ 

(e) We compute:

 $(*) \qquad \dot{\vec{x}} = \dot{A}\vec{y} + A\dot{\vec{y}} = \dot{A}A^{-1}\vec{x} + A\dot{\vec{y}} = \vec{\omega} \times \vec{x} + A\dot{\vec{y}}$ 

where we use product rule and part (d) for the last equality.

Taking  $A^{-1}$  of the first equality in (\*) gives:  $A^{-1}\dot{\vec{x}} = A^{-1}\dot{A}\vec{y} + \dot{\vec{y}}$ , or  $\dot{\vec{y}} = -\vec{\omega} \times \vec{y} + A^{-1}\dot{\vec{x}}$ . Since  $\vec{\omega}$  is fixed, we have:

 $\begin{aligned} (**) \qquad & \ddot{\vec{x}} = \vec{\omega} \times \dot{\vec{x}} + \dot{A}\dot{\vec{y}} + A\ddot{\vec{y}} = \vec{\omega} \times \dot{\vec{x}} + \dot{A}A^{-1}(A\dot{\vec{y}}) + A\ddot{\vec{y}} = \\ & = \vec{\omega} \times \dot{\vec{x}} + \vec{\omega} \times (\dot{\vec{x}} - \vec{\omega} \times \vec{x}) + A\ddot{\vec{y}} = 2\vec{\omega} \times \dot{\vec{x}} - \vec{\omega} \times (\vec{\omega} \times \vec{x}) + A\ddot{\vec{y}}. \end{aligned}$ 

Taking  $A^{-1}$  of the first equality in (\*\*) and using that  $A(\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) = A\vec{u} \times A\vec{v}$  for rotations, we get:  $A^{-1}\ddot{\vec{x}} = \vec{\omega} \times A^{-1}\dot{\vec{x}} + \vec{\omega} \times \dot{\vec{y}} + \ddot{\vec{y}} = \vec{\omega} \times (\dot{\vec{y}} + \vec{\omega} \times \vec{y}) + \vec{\omega} \times \dot{\vec{y}} + \ddot{\vec{y}}$ , or  $\ddot{\vec{y}} = -2\vec{\omega} \times \dot{\vec{y}} - \vec{\omega} \times (\vec{\omega} \times \vec{y}) + A^{-1}\ddot{\vec{x}}$ .

2. (a) Set  $e_{\rho} := (\sin \varphi \cos \theta, \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \cos \varphi), e_{\varphi} := (\cos \varphi \cos \theta, \cos \varphi \sin \theta, -\sin \varphi), e_{\theta} := (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta, 0).$ Thes vectors are orthonormal. We compute:

$$\begin{split} \dot{e}_{\rho} &= \dot{\varphi} e_{\varphi} + \sin \varphi \dot{\theta} e_{\theta}, \\ \dot{e}_{\varphi} &= -\dot{\varphi} e_{\rho} + \cos \varphi \dot{\theta} e_{\theta}, \\ \dot{e}_{\theta} &= \dot{\theta} (-\cos \theta, -\sin \theta, 0) = (\dot{e}_{\theta} \cdot e_{\rho}) e_{\rho} + (\dot{e}_{\theta} \cdot e_{\varphi}) e_{\varphi} = -\sin \varphi \dot{\theta} e_{\rho} - \cos \varphi \dot{\theta} e_{\varphi}. \\ \text{Now, with } q &:= (x, y, z) = \rho e_{\rho}, \text{ we find:} \\ \dot{q} &= \dot{\rho} e_{\rho} + \rho \dot{e}_{\rho} = \dot{\rho} e_{\rho} + \rho \dot{\varphi} e_{\varphi} + \rho \sin \varphi \dot{\theta} e_{\theta}, \\ \ddot{q} &= \ddot{\rho} e_{\rho} + \dot{\rho} \dot{e}_{\rho} + \frac{d}{dt} (\rho \dot{\varphi}) e_{\varphi} + \rho \dot{\varphi} \dot{e}_{\varphi} + \frac{d}{dt} (\rho \sin \varphi \dot{\theta}) e_{\theta} + \rho \sin \varphi \dot{\theta} \dot{e}_{\theta} \\ &= (\ddot{\rho} - \rho \dot{\varphi}^2 - \rho \sin^2 \varphi \dot{\theta}^2) e_{\rho} + (\dot{\rho} \dot{\varphi} + \frac{d}{dt} (\rho \dot{\varphi}) - \rho \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \dot{\theta}^2) e_{\varphi} + (\dot{\rho} \sin \varphi \dot{\theta} + \rho \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi \dot{\theta} + \frac{d}{dt} (\rho \sin \varphi \dot{\theta})) e_{\theta}. \end{split}$$

Note that, with  $C := \rho^2 \sin^2 \varphi \dot{\theta}$ , we have:

 $\ddot{q} = (\ddot{\rho} - \rho \dot{\varphi}^2 - \frac{C^2}{\rho^3 \sin^2 \varphi})e_\rho + (2\dot{\rho}\dot{\varphi} + \rho \ddot{\varphi} - \rho \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \dot{\theta}^2)e_\varphi + \frac{\dot{C}}{\rho \sin \varphi}e_\theta.$ 

(b) From (a) and  $e_{\rho}, e_{\varphi}, e_{\theta}$  being orthonormal, we have:  $|\dot{q}|^2 = \dot{\rho}^2 + \rho^2 \dot{\varphi}^2 + \rho^2 \sin^2 \varphi \dot{\theta}^2$ .

- 3. (a) Differentiating  $|q|^2 = R^2 = cst$ , we find:  $q \cdot \dot{q} = 0$  and again gives:
  - (\*)  $|\dot{q}|^2 + q \cdot \ddot{q} = 0.$

Now write  $\ddot{q} = \ddot{q}_{tan} + \ddot{q}_{nor} = \ddot{q}_{tan} + \lambda q$ . Note that  $\ddot{q}_{tan} \cdot q = 0$ , since q is normal to the sphere at q. Plugging in  $\ddot{q}$  to (\*) gives:  $|\dot{q}|^2 + \lambda R^2 = 0$ , or  $\ddot{q}_{nor} = -\frac{|\dot{q}|^2}{R^2}q$ .

(b) For free motion, we have  $\ddot{q} = \ddot{q}_{nor}$  is normal to the sphere at q. First note that  $\frac{d}{dt}|\dot{q}|^2 = 2\dot{q}\cdot\ddot{q} = 0$ , since  $\dot{q}$  is tangent to the sphere and  $\ddot{q}$  is normal to the sphere.

Let us assume that  $\dot{q} \neq 0$  (so that the particle is actually moving). Then, by (a), q satisfies:

$$\ddot{q} = -k^2 q$$
, where  $k^2 = \frac{|q|^2}{R^2} > 0$  is a constant.

The solutions to this second order ODE are  $q(t) = q_o \cos kt + \frac{\dot{q}_o}{k} \sin kt$ , which parametrize great circles. (c) Consider a latitude,  $\varphi = \varphi_o = cst$ . We parametrize this latitude with unit speed by:

$$\begin{split} R(\sin\varphi_o\cos\omega t,\sin\varphi_o\sin\omega t,\cos\varphi_o), \text{ where } & \omega = \frac{1}{R\sin\varphi_o} = \dot{\theta} = cst. \text{ (consider the formula from 2(b))}.\\ \text{By our acceleration expression in 2(a) (with } & \rho = R = cst., \varphi = \varphi_o = cst., \dot{\theta} = \omega = cst.) \text{ we have } \\ & \ddot{q}_{tan} = -R\sin\varphi_o\cos\varphi_o\omega^2 e_{\varphi}, \text{ which has norm: } \frac{\cot\varphi_o}{R} = \kappa_{esf}(\varphi = \varphi_o). \end{split}$$

(note that for  $\varphi_o = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , when the latitude is the equator (a great circle), the curvature is zero).

- 4. Set  $v = \dot{h}$ . We then have a 1st order ode  $\dot{v} = -g + \frac{\gamma}{m}v^2$ . The equilibrium solutions are  $v_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{gm}{\gamma}}$ . Sketching the slope field  $\dot{v}(v)$  in the (t, v)-plane, one sees the slope is negative for  $|v| < \sqrt{\frac{gm}{\gamma}}$  and positive for  $v < -\sqrt{\frac{gm}{\gamma}}$ . In particular, a solution with  $v(0) \leq 0$  tends as  $t \to \infty$  to the equilibrium solution  $v_-$ .
- 5. Rewrite this 2nd order ODE as a linear 1st order system:  $\dot{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -\gamma \end{pmatrix} X = AX$ , where  $X = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \dot{x} \end{pmatrix}$ . One may sketch solutions by diagonalizing A (see figures at end –taken from Fernando's hw). The eigenvalues,  $\lambda$ , of A are the roots of:  $\lambda^2 + \gamma\lambda + 1 = 0$ , that is  $\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{-\gamma \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4}}{2}$ .
  - (a) The eigenvalues are imaginary, with a negative real part: solutions spiral towards the origin.

(b) There is one repeated (negative) eigenvalue: solutions are forwards asymptotic to the origin (approaching an invariant line)

(c) There are two negative real eigenvalues: solutions are forwards asymptotic to the origin (two invariant lines).

6. (a) The velocities on the particles due to  $\vec{\omega}$  are  $\vec{v}_j = \vec{\omega} \times \vec{q}_j$ . By definition of angular momentum:  $\vec{C} = \sum m_j \vec{q}_j \times (\vec{\omega} \times \vec{q}_j)$ .

(b) For  $\vec{\omega}_1, \vec{\omega}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\mathbb{I}(\vec{\omega}_1 + \lambda \vec{\omega}_2) = \sum m_j \vec{q}_j \times (\vec{\omega}_1 \times \vec{q}_j + \lambda \vec{\omega}_2 \times \vec{q}_j) = \sum m_j \vec{q}_j \times (\vec{\omega}_1 \times \vec{q}_j) + \lambda \sum m_j \vec{q}_j \times (\vec{\omega}_2 \times \vec{q}_j) = \mathbb{I}(\vec{\omega}_1) + \lambda \mathbb{I}(\vec{\omega}_2)$ , so that  $\mathbb{I}$  is linear. Also,  $\mathbb{I}\vec{\omega}_1 \cdot \vec{\omega}_2 = \sum m_j [\vec{q}_j \times (\vec{\omega}_1 \times \vec{q}_j)] \cdot \vec{\omega}_2 = -\sum m_j (\vec{q}_j \times \vec{\omega}_2) \cdot (\vec{\omega}_1 \times \vec{q}_j) = \sum m_j (\vec{\omega}_2 \times \vec{q}_j) \cdot (\vec{\omega}_1 \times \vec{q}_j) = \mathbb{I}\vec{\omega}_2 \cdot \vec{\omega}_1$ , so that  $\mathbb{I}$  is symmetric.

(c) From (b), we have  $\mathbb{I}\vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\omega} = \sum m_j (\vec{\omega} \times \vec{q}_j) \cdot (\vec{\omega} \times \vec{q}_j) = \sum m_j |\vec{\omega} \times \vec{q}_j|^2$ .

(d) Let  $\hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{k}$  be an (oriented) orthonormal basis, and  $\vec{q}_j = x_j \hat{i} + y_j \hat{j} + z_j \hat{k}$  in this basis.

Note that  $\hat{i} \times \vec{q_j} = -z_j \hat{j} + y_j \hat{k}$ ,  $\hat{j} \times \vec{q_j} = z_j \hat{i} - x_j \hat{k}$ ,  $\hat{k} \times \vec{q_j} = -y_j \hat{i} + x_j \hat{j}$ . Now:  $\hat{l}\hat{i} \cdot \hat{i} = \sum m_j |\hat{i} \times \vec{q_j}|^2 = \sum m_j (y_j^2 + z_j^2)$  and  $\hat{l}\hat{i} \cdot \hat{j} = \sum m_j (\hat{i} \times \vec{q_j}) \cdot (\hat{j} \times \vec{q_j}) = -\sum m_j x_j y_j$ . 
$$\begin{split} \text{Likewise: } & \mathbb{I}\hat{j} \cdot \hat{j} = \sum m_j (x_j^2 + z_j^2), \, \mathbb{I}\hat{k} \cdot \hat{k} = \sum m_j (x_j^2 + y_j^2), \, \mathbb{I}\hat{i} \cdot \hat{k} = -\sum m_j x_j z_j, \, \mathbb{I}\hat{j} \cdot \hat{k} = -\sum m_j y_j z_j. \\ \text{So that, in this basis, } & \mathbb{I} = \sum \begin{pmatrix} m_j (y_j^2 + z_j^2) & -m_j x_j y_j & -m_j x_j z_j \\ -m_j x_j y_j & m_j (x_j^2 + z_j^2) & -m_j y_j z_j \\ -m_j x_j z_j & -m_j y_j z_j & m_j (x_j^2 + y_j^2) \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

7. (a) By definition,  $Q_j = \frac{\sum_{j \in I_j} q_j}{M_j}$ , or  $M_j Q_j = \sum_{j \in I_j} q_j$ . Since  $I_j$  is a partition, we have  $M_1 + \ldots + M_k = m_1 + \ldots + m_N = M$  and  $M_1 Q_1 + \ldots + M_k Q_k = q_1 + \ldots + q_N$ . Hence  $Q_{cm} = \frac{q_1 + \ldots + q_N}{M} = q_{cm}$ .

(b) \*\*to get the general idea, you may want to first try relating the theorem that the medians of a triangle are concurrent with part (a) when equal masses are placed at the vertices of the triangle\*\*

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose the ratios of Ceva's theorem hold for given A, A', B, B', C, C'. We will choose masses  $m_A, m_B, m_C$  on the vertices so that the lines are concurrent at the center of mass of the triangle.

First, fix  $m_A > 0$ . We then choose  $m_B$  so that C' is the center of mass of the A, B system:  $m_A|C'A| = m_B|BC'|$ , or  $m_B = m_A\frac{|C'A|}{|BC'|}$ . Having determined  $m_B$ , we choose  $m_C = m_B\frac{|A'B|}{|CA'|}$ , so that A' is the center of mass of the C, B system.

By substitution,  $m_C = m_A \frac{|C'A|}{|BC'|} \frac{|A'B|}{|CA'|} = m_A \frac{|AB'|}{|B'C|}$  (use the ratios in Ceva's theorem for the last equality), so that B' is the center of mass of the A, C system.

Now, let P be the center of mass of the triangle with masses  $m_A, m_B, m_C$  at the vertices. Consider the partition of masses into  $\{m_A, m_B\}$  and  $\{m_C\}$ . By construction C' is the center of mass of the A, B system. By part (a), the center of mass of C' and C is P. In particular, P lies on the line CC'. Likewise P lies on AA' and BB', so that the lines AA', BB', CC' are concurrent (at P).

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose the lines of Ceva's theorem are concurrent at P. The interior of the triangle is parametrized by  $\{m_AA + m_BB + m_CC : m_A + m_B + m_C = 1, m_A, m_B, m_C > 0\}$  (every interior point is a center of mass for some choice of masses). In particular, there exist  $m_A, m_B, m_C > 0$  with  $m_A + m_B + m_C = 1$ for which the center of mass of the triangle with these masses at the vertices is P.

Partition the masses into  $\{m_A, m_B\}$  and  $\{m_C\}$ . Let  $\tilde{C}$  on the segment AB be the center of mass of the A, B system. By (a), P lies on the line  $C\tilde{C}$ . The line CP intersects the segment AB in one point, namely C'. Hence  $\tilde{C} = C'$ , so C' is the center of mass of the A, B system. Likewise, B' is the center of mass of the B, C system.

By definition of center of mass:  $m_A|C'A| = m_B|BC'|, m_B|A'B| = m_C|CA'|, m_C|B'C| = m_A|AB'|.$ Hence:  $\frac{|AB'|}{|B'C|} \frac{|CA'|}{|A'B|} \frac{|BC'|}{|C'A|} = \frac{m_C}{m_A} \frac{m_B}{m_C} \frac{m_A}{m_B} = 1.$ 

8. (a) Let the curve be parametrized by arc-length c(s), with c(0) the point at which the string is attached. If the length of the string is  $\ell$ , then the involute (evolvente), may be parametrized by:  $i(s) = c(s) + (\ell - s)c'(s), s \in [0, \ell].$ 

For each s, the tangent to the involute is:  $i'(s) = c'(s) - c'(s) + (\ell - s)c''(s) = (\ell - s)c''(s)$ , while the string has direction c'(s).

Since s is the arc-length parameter, we have |c'(s)| = 1, and in particular  $c'(s) \cdot c''(s) = 0$ , so that indeed, the involute is perpendicular to the string at each instant.

(b) For a circle of radius R, an arc may be parametrized as:  $c(\theta) = R(\theta - \sin \theta, 1 - \cos \theta), \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$ 

Then  $\frac{dc}{d\theta}(\theta) = R(1 - \cos\theta, \sin\theta)$ , and the length is:  $R \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{2 - 2\cos\theta} \ d\theta = R \int_0^{2\pi} 2\sin\frac{\theta}{2} \ d\theta = 8R$ .

Note that the arc-length,  $s(\theta) = 4R(1 - \cos\frac{\theta}{2})$ , and  $ds = 2R\sin\frac{\theta}{2}d\theta$ .

The involute may be parametrized by  $i(\theta) = c(\theta) + (4R - s(\theta)) \frac{dc}{d\theta} \frac{d\theta}{ds}$ .

We have:  $\frac{dc}{ds} = \frac{dc}{d\theta}\frac{d\theta}{ds} = \frac{(1-\cos\theta,\sin\theta)}{2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}} = \frac{(\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2},\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2})}{\sin\frac{\theta}{2}} = (\sin\frac{\theta}{2},\cos\frac{\theta}{2}) \text{ and } 4R - s = 4R\cos\frac{\theta}{2}.$  So:  $(4R - s)\frac{dc}{ds} = 2R(2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2},2\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}) = 2R(\sin\theta,1+\cos\theta).$ 

Hence,  $i(\theta) = R(\theta + \sin \theta, 3 + \cos \theta)$ , which parametrizes a cycloid obtained by rolling a circle of radius R along the line y = 2R.

9. (a) The force due to each spring is  $F_j = k_j L_j$ . Since  $L_1 = L_2 = L$  is the displacement of both springs, the total force on the endpoint is  $F_1 + F_2 = (k_1 + k_2)L$ , so  $\ddot{L} = -(k_1 + k_2)L$ .

(b) We assume that the force on the endpoint when it is displaced a distance L depends only on L. Stretch the spring a distance L, and hold it there. Then, the connection between the springs is in equilibrium:  $k_1L_1 = F_1 = F_2 = k_2L_2$  and  $L = L_1 + L_2$ . The force on the endpoint is  $F = F_1 = F_2$ , so that  $L = (\frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{1}{k_2})F$  or  $F = \frac{k_1k_2}{k_1+k_2}L$  and  $\ddot{L} = -\frac{k_1k_2}{k_1+k_2}L$ .

(c) The displacement L of the spring is  $\sqrt{x^2 + D^2}$ . By similar triangles, the component of the force due to the spring along the x-axis has norm:  $F_x = |\frac{L_0 - L}{L}x|$ .

We first consider when  $L_0 \leq D$ . Intuitively, in this case there is only one equilibrium point, x = 0 which is stable. Indeed here  $L > L_0$  for  $x \neq 0$  so that  $F_x$  is only zero when x = 0. Moreover, the signed force:  $m\ddot{x} = \frac{L_0 - L}{L}x$  is always directed towards the origin.

Now, we consider  $L_0 > D$ . One should expect 3 equilibrium points and that x = 0 should be unstable. Indeed, for x sufficiently small, we have  $L < L_0$  so that the signed force  $m\ddot{x} = \frac{L_0 - L}{L}x$  is directed away from the origin. The equilibrium points with  $x \neq 0$  occur when  $L = L_0$ , that is when  $x_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{L_0^2 - D^2}$ . These equilibrium points are stable. The directions of the forces around  $x_{\pm}$  can be found by considering the graph of  $y = L_0 - L(x)$ , which is a downward turning hyperbola with peak at  $x = 0, y = L_0 - D > 0$ .





Figura 2: Spirals towards the origin  $0 < \gamma < 2$ 

Figura 3: Improper node towards the origin  $\gamma = 2$ 



Figura 4: Node towards the origin  $\gamma > 2$