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We will observe realizations of $X$ in discrete times

where $t>0$. Our starting point is the study of a suitable normalization of the variables

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n t\rfloor} f\left(X_{i}\right) \stackrel{\lfloor a w}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n t\rfloor} f\left(n^{H} X_{\frac{i}{n}}\right)
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where $f$ is some test function, with properties to be specified later.
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Specific aim: Study the first and second order fluctuations of such random functions.

What will come later: The variables $G_{a, t}^{(n)}$ are closely related to the local time of $X$ at the level $\lambda$. The fluctuations are going to be closely related to the formal derivatives of the local time of $X$ !
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## Preliminaries: local times

The local time of $X$ at $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, is heuristically defined by $\int_{0}^{t} \delta_{0}\left(X_{s}-\lambda\right) d s$. Rigorously,

$$
L_{t}(\lambda):=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{t} \phi_{\varepsilon}\left(X_{s}-\lambda\right) d s
$$

where the convergence is taken in the $L^{2}$-sense and $\phi_{\varepsilon}$ is the heat kernel with variance $\varepsilon>0$, defined by $\phi_{\varepsilon}(x):=(2 \pi \varepsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}|x|^{2}\right\}$.
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Remark: one sees immediately that (2) implies a trivial conclusion in the case $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) d x=0$.
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where $\xrightarrow{\text { f.d.d. }}$ indicates convergence in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions.

## Questions

- What happens when $H \leq \frac{1}{3}$ ?
- Can something be said in the non-zero energy case?
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## Lemma

Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $0<H<\frac{1}{2 \ell+1}$. Then, for every $t \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the random variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{t, \varepsilon}^{(\ell)}(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{t} \delta_{0}^{(\ell)}\left(X_{s}-\lambda\right) d s:=\int_{0}^{t} \phi_{\varepsilon}^{(\ell)}\left(X_{s}-\lambda\right) d s, \quad \varepsilon>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

converge in $L^{2}$ to a limit $L_{t}^{(\ell)}(\lambda)$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
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For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and a function $g$ in the set $W^{\ell, 1}$ of real functions with weak derivatives of order $\ell$ satisfying
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