



CIMAT

Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas, A.C.

# Fractional Brownian motion with small Hurst

---

Arturo Jaramillo Gil (joint work with Giovanni Peccati and Ivan Nourdin)

January 13, 2025

Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas (CIMAT)

# Fractional Brownian motion

A process  $B^H = \{B_t^H\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a two-sided fractional Brownian motion (fBm) of Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$  if:

# Fractional Brownian motion

A process  $B^H = \{B_t^H\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a two-sided fractional Brownian motion (fBm) of Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$  if: it is Gaussian, centered and

$$\mathbb{E}[B_s^H B_t^H] = \frac{1}{2}(|s|^{2H} + |t|^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}).$$

# Fractional Brownian motion

A process  $B^H = \{B_t^H\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a two-sided fractional Brownian motion (fBm) of Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$  if: it is Gaussian, centered and

$$\mathbb{E}[B_s^H B_t^H] = \frac{1}{2}(|s|^{2H} + |t|^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}).$$

Role of  $H$ :

- $B^H$  is  $H$  self-similar.

# Fractional Brownian motion

A process  $B^H = \{B_t^H\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a two-sided fractional Brownian motion (fBm) of Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$  if: it is Gaussian, centered and

$$\mathbb{E}[B_s^H B_t^H] = \frac{1}{2}(|s|^{2H} + |t|^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}).$$

Role of  $H$ :

- $B^H$  is  $H$  self-similar.
- Controls roughness.

Empirical studies suggest that asset log-volatility often behaving like an fBm with  $H$  between 0.05 and 0.2 (Gatheral, Jaisson, Rosenbaum, 2018).

Empirical studies suggest that asset log-volatility often behaving like an fBm with  $H$  between 0.05 and 0.2 (Gatheral, Jaisson, Rosenbaum, 2018).

### Goal

Contribute to the understanding of  $B^H$  when  $H$  tends to zero.

Empirical studies suggest that asset log-volatility often behaving like an fBm with  $H$  between 0.05 and 0.2 (Gatheral, Jaisn, Rosenbaum, 2018).

### Goal

Contribute to the understanding of  $B^H$  when  $H$  tends to zero.

Mildly **uninteresting** answer:

$$\mathbb{E}[B_s^0 B_t^0] = 1/2 + \mathbb{1}_{\{s=t\}}/2.$$



# The Neuman-Rosenbaum process

The process  $B^0$  has a **purely decorrelated** component and a **linear drift**.

$$\mathbb{E}[B_s^0 B_t^0] = 1/2 + \mathbb{1}_{\{s=t\}}/2.$$

# The Neuman-Rosenbaum process

The process  $B^0$  has a **purely decorrelated** component and a **linear drift**.

$$\mathbb{E}[B_s^0 B_t^0] = 1/2 + \mathbb{1}_{\{s=t\}}/2.$$

An attempt of removing the **trend** leads to

## Definition

We say that  $F^H = \{F_t^H\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a Neuman-Rosenbaum process if

$$F_t^H \stackrel{Law}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}} \left( B_t^H - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t B_u^H du \right).$$

# The Neuman-Rosenbaum process

The process  $B^0$  has a **purely decorrelated** component and a **linear drift**.

$$\mathbb{E}[B_s^0 B_t^0] = 1/2 + \mathbb{1}_{\{s=t\}}/2.$$

An attempt of removing the **trend** leads to

## Definition

We say that  $F^H = \{F_t^H\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a Neuman-Rosenbaum process if

$$F_t^H \stackrel{Law}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{H}} \left( B_t^H - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t B_u^H du \right).$$

## Observation

It holds that  $\text{Var}[F_t^H] \approx 1/H$ .

Denote by (i)  $\mathcal{S}_0$  the real rapidly decaying functions over  $\mathbb{R}$  *with zero integral*

## $\mathcal{S}'_0$ -convergence

Denote by (i)  $\mathcal{S}_0$  the real rapidly decaying functions over  $\mathbb{R}$  *with zero integral* (ii)  $\mathcal{S}'_0$  its dual space.

Denote by (i)  $\mathcal{S}_0$  the real rapidly decaying functions over  $\mathbb{R}$  *with zero integral* (ii)  $\mathcal{S}'_0$  its dual space.

A process  $\{X_t ; t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  induces a process  $\{X_\psi ; \psi \in \mathcal{S}_0\}$  via

$$X_\psi := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dX_t.$$

# $\mathcal{S}'_0$ -convergence

Denote by (i)  $\mathcal{S}_0$  the real rapidly decaying functions over  $\mathbb{R}$  *with zero integral* (ii)  $\mathcal{S}'_0$  its dual space.

A process  $\{X_t ; t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  induces a process  $\{X_\psi ; \psi \in \mathcal{S}_0\}$  via

$$X_\psi := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dX_t.$$

## Definition

A collection of processes  $X^y$ ,  $y > 0$  converges in law as  $y$  tends to zero, to a random element  $G$  in  $\mathcal{S}'_0$  (Gaussian field) in the  $\mathcal{S}'_0$  sense, if

$$X_\psi \xrightarrow{\text{Law}} \langle G, \psi \rangle,$$

for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes dual pairing.

The following result serves as the foundation for our results

**Theorem (Neuman and Rosenbaum (2018))**

*The Neuman-Rosenbaum process  $F^H$  converges weakly in  $S'_0(\mathbb{R})$  as  $H$  tends to zero, towards a centered Gaussian field  $G$  satisfying*

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle G, \psi_1 \rangle \langle G, \psi_2 \rangle] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g(t, s) \psi_1(t) \psi_2(s) ds dt,$$

where

$$g(t, s) = \frac{1}{ts} \int_0^t \int_0^s \log \left( \frac{|s - u| |t - u|}{|u - v| |t - s|} \right) du dv.$$



Some natural question arising from this convergence:

- Can we get an exactly log-correlated limit in the domain  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $g(t, s) = \log(1/|t - s|)$  (Hager and Neuman, 2020).

## Some natural questions

Some natural question arising from this convergence:

- Can we get an exactly log-correlated limit in the domain  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $g(t, s) = \log(1/|t - s|)$  (Hager and Neuman, 2020).
- What happens to functionals of  $F^H$  as  $H$  goes to zero?

## Some natural questions

Some natural question arising from this convergence:

- Can we get an exactly log-correlated limit in the domain  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $g(t, s) = \log(1/|t - s|)$  (Hager and Neuman, 2020).
- What happens to functionals of  $F^H$  as  $H$  goes to zero?

## Some natural questions

Some natural question arising from this convergence:

- Can we get an exactly log-correlated limit in the domain  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $g(t, s) = \log(1/|t - s|)$  (Hager and Neuman, 2020).
- What happens to functionals of  $F^H$  as  $H$  goes to zero?

We will focus in a particular type of functionals of  $F^H$ .

Our central objects of interest are the following

- Local times at zero,  $L_t^H(0)$  of  $F^H$ , defined as

$$L_t^H(0) := \int_0^t \delta_0(F_s^H) ds,$$

where  $\delta_0$  is the Dirac-delta function.

Our central objects of interest are the following

- Local times at zero,  $L_t^H(0)$  of  $F^H$ , defined as

$$L_t^H(0) := \int_0^t \delta_0(F_s^H) ds,$$

where  $\delta_0$  is the Dirac-delta function.

- Additive functionals of  $F^H$ , defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_t^H[f] := \int_0^t f(F_s^H) ds,$$

where  $f$  is a tempered distribution.

# Some language of fractional calculus

Fractional Brownian motion can be formulated in the framework of fractional calculus.

## Definition

Let  $y \in (0, 1]$  be given. The left-sided  $y$ -fractional Riemann-Liouville integral/derivative of order  $y$  are defined as

$$I_-^y[f](t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(y)} \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{y-1} f(s) ds,$$

and

$$D_-^y[f](t) := I_-^{1-y}[f](t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-y)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^t (t-s)^{-y} f(s) ds.$$

# Representing the processes of interest

Let  $W$  be a standard Brownian motion defined in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , with  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(W)$ .

## **Theorem (Mandelbrot Van-Ness (1968))**

*For some  $c_H \approx \sqrt{H}$  as  $H \approx 0$ ,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{[0,t]}(s) dB_s^H := B_t := c_H \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_-^{H-1/2}[1_{[0,t]}](s) dW_s,$$

*with  $t \geq 0$ , is an fBm.*



# Representing the processes of interest

Let  $W$  be a standard Brownian motion defined in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , with  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(W)$ .

## **Theorem (Mandelbrot Van-Ness (1968))**

*For some  $c_H \approx \sqrt{H}$  as  $H \approx 0$ ,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{[0,t]}(s) dB_s^H := B_t := c_H \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_-^{H-1/2}[1_{[0,t]}](s) dW_s,$$

*with  $t \geq 0$ , is an fBm.*

It follows that when  $H < 1/2$ ,

$$F_t^H := \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_-^{1/2-H}[\psi_t](s) W(ds),$$

with  $\psi_t(y) := \frac{y}{t} 1_{[0,t]}(y)$ , is a Neuman-Rosenbaum process.

# Representing functionals of processes

To describe functionals, define  $\mathfrak{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and define  $I_q : \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes q} \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  by

$$I_q[f_q] := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{t_{q-1}} f(t_1, \dots, t_q) W(dt_q) \cdots W(dt_1).$$

where  $f_q \in \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes q}$ .

# Representing functionals of processes

To describe functionals, define  $\mathfrak{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and define  $I_q : \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes q} \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  by

$$I_q[f_q] := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{t_{q-1}} f(t_1, \dots, t_q) W(dt_q) \cdots W(dt_1).$$

where  $f_q \in \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes q}$ .

## **Theorem (Chaos decomposition, Itô, 1951)**

*If  $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ , then there exist unique  $f_q \in \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes q}$ , such that*

$$F = \mathbb{E}[F] + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} I_q(f_q).$$

*The term  $J_q[F] := I_q(f_q)$  is called  $q$ -th chaos of  $F$ .*

Do we have access to the chaos if  $\mathcal{A}_t^H[f]$ ? is it hard to handle?

Do we have access to the chaos if  $\mathcal{A}_t^H[f]$ ? is it hard to handle?

**Lemma (Jaramillo, Nourdin, Peccati (2025+))**

*We have the chaos decomposition*

$$\mathcal{A}_t^H[f] = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q!} I_q \left[ \int_0^t (-1)^q \langle f, \partial^q \phi_{\sigma_{s,H}^2} \rangle D_-^{1/2-H} [\psi_s]^{\otimes q} ds \right],$$

where  $\sigma_{s,H}^2$  is the variance of  $F_s^H$  and  $\phi_\gamma$  is Gaussian kernel of variance  $\gamma$ .

Do we have access to the chaos if  $\mathcal{A}_t^H[f]$ ? is it hard to handle?

**Lemma (Jaramillo, Nourdin, Peccati (2025+))**

*We have the chaos decomposition*

$$\mathcal{A}_t^H[f] = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q!} I_q \left[ \int_0^t (-1)^q \langle f, \partial^q \phi_{\sigma_{s,H}^2} \rangle D_-^{1/2-H} [\psi_s]^{\otimes q} ds \right],$$

where  $\sigma_{s,H}^2$  is the variance of  $F_s^H$  and  $\phi_\gamma$  is Gaussian kernel of variance  $\gamma$ .

Observe that if  $f = \delta_0$ , odd chaoses vanish.

The previous slide suggests the "naive approach"

- We can find the chaos of  $L_t^H(0)$ .
- Odd chaoses of  $L_t^H(0)$  are zero.
- Are the even chaoses of  $L_t^H(0)$  manageable?

The previous slide suggests the "naive approach"

- We can find the chaos of  $L_t^H(0)$ .
- Odd chaoses of  $L_t^H(0)$  are zero.
- Are the even chaoses of  $L_t^H(0)$  manageable?

Naive works!



# Naive strategy

The previous slide suggests the "naive approach"

- We can find the chaos of  $L_t^H(0)$ .
- Odd chaoses of  $L_t^H(0)$  are zero.
- Are the even chaoses of  $L_t^H(0)$  manageable?

Naive works! Recall  $\psi_t(y) := \frac{y}{t} 1_{[0,t]}(y)$ .

**Corollary (Jaramillo, Nourdin, Peccati (2025+))**

*The local time at zero for  $F^H$  satisfies*

$$H^{-3/2} (L_t^H(0) - \mathbb{E}[L_t^H(0)]) \xrightarrow{L^2(\Omega)} -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^t I_2 \left[ D_-^{1/2} [\psi_s]^{\otimes 2} \right] ds.$$

## Second main result

Suppose that  $\hat{f}$  is such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \hat{f}(xr)/\hat{f}(r) = x^\alpha,$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

## Second main result

Suppose that  $\hat{f}$  is such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \hat{f}(xr)/\hat{f}(r) = x^\alpha,$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Recall that  $\psi_t(y) := \frac{y}{t} 1_{[0,t]}(y)$ .

## Second main result

Suppose that  $\hat{f}$  is such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \hat{f}(xr)/\hat{f}(r) = x^\alpha,$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Recall that  $\psi_t(y) := \frac{y}{t} 1_{[0,t]}(y)$ .

**Theorem (Jaramillo, Nourdin, Peccati (2025+))**

*Under mild conditions on  $f$ , we can find  $c_f \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that*

$$H^{-(q+1+\alpha)/2} J_q[\mathcal{A}_t^H[\textcolor{red}{f}]] \xrightarrow{L^2(\Omega)} \textcolor{red}{c}_f l_q\left[\int_0^t D^{1/2}[\psi_s]^{\otimes Q} ds\right],$$

## Second main result

Suppose that  $\hat{f}$  is such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \hat{f}(xr)/\hat{f}(r) = x^\alpha,$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Recall that  $\psi_t(y) := \frac{y}{t} 1_{[0,t]}(y)$ .

**Theorem (Jaramillo, Nourdin, Peccati (2025+))**

*Under mild conditions on  $f$ , we can find  $c_f \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that*

$$H^{-(q+1+\alpha)/2} J_q[\mathcal{A}_t^H[f]] \xrightarrow{L^2(\Omega)} c_f I_q[\int_0^t D^{1/2}[\psi_s]^{\otimes Q} ds],$$

*In addition,*

$$H^{-(q+d+\alpha)/2} \left( \mathcal{A}_t^H[f] - \sum_{q=0}^{Q-1} J_q[\mathcal{A}_t^H[f]] \right) \xrightarrow{L^2(\Omega)} c_f I_q[\int_0^t D^{1/2}[\psi_s]^{\otimes Q} ds].$$

## Reasoning behind the result

Look at

$$\mathcal{A}_t^H[f] = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q!} I_q \left[ \int_0^t (-1)^q \langle f, \partial^q \phi_{\sigma_{s,H}^2} \rangle D_-^{1/2-H} [\psi_s]^{\otimes q} ds \right],$$

Recall that  $\sigma_{s,H}^2 = \text{Var}[F_s^H]$  goes to infinity like  $1/H$

## Reasoning behind the result

Look at

$$\mathcal{A}_t^H[f] = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q!} I_q \left[ \int_0^t (-1)^q \langle f, \partial^q \phi_{\sigma_{s,H}^2} \rangle D_-^{1/2-H} [\psi_s]^{\otimes q} ds \right],$$

Recall that  $\sigma_{s,H}^2 = \text{Var}[F_s^H]$  goes to infinity like  $1/H$

- (i) Use Parseval to prove that  $\langle f, \partial^q \phi_r \rangle \approx r^{q+1+\alpha}$
- (ii) Plug  $H = 0$  in  $D_-^{1/2-H}$ .

## Reasoning behind the result

Look at

$$\mathcal{A}_t^H[f] = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q!} l_q \left[ \int_0^t (-1)^q \langle f, \partial^q \phi_{\sigma_{s,H}^2} \rangle D_-^{1/2-H} [\psi_s]^{\otimes q} ds \right],$$

Recall that  $\sigma_{s,H}^2 = \text{Var}[F_s^H]$  goes to infinity like  $1/H$

- (i) Use Parseval to prove that  $\langle f, \partial^q \phi_r \rangle \approx r^{q+1+\alpha}$
- (ii) Plug  $H = 0$  in  $D_-^{1/2-H}$ .

The bottleneck is part (ii). Main tools: fractional calculus.





Arturo Jaramillo, Ivan Nourdin, and Giovanni Peccati.

**Limit theorems for the local time of the Neuman-Rosenbaum fractional Brownian motion.**

*In preparation.*



Eyal Neuman and Mathieu Rosenbaum.

**Fractional Brownian motion with zero Hurst parameter: a rough volatility viewpoint.**

*Electronic Communications in Probability*, 2018.



Paul Hager and Eyal Neuman.

**The multiplicative chaos of  $H = 0$  fractional Brownian fields.**

*The Annals of Applied Probability*, 2022.