Convergence of the empirical spectral distribution of Gaussian matrix processes

#### Arturo Jaramillo Gil (Joint work with J.C. Pardo and J.L Garmendia)

University of Kansas

September 15, 2017

#### **Basic definitions**

Consider a family of independent centered Gaussian processes  $\{X_{i,j}\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$  with covariance function

$$R(s,t) = \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i,j}(s)X_{i,j}(t)\right],$$

defined in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

#### **Basic definitions**

Consider a family of independent centered Gaussian processes  $\{X_{i,j}\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$  with covariance function

$$R(s,t) = \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i,j}(s)X_{i,j}(t)\right],$$

defined in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Consider as well the renormalized symmetric Gaussian matrix process  $\{Y^{(n)}\}_{n\geq 1}$ , defined by  $Y^{(n)}(t) := \{Y_{i,j}^{(n)}(t)\}_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$ , with

$$Y_{i,j}^{(n)}(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_{i,j}(t) & \text{if } i < j \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{n}} X_{i,i}(t) & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

#### Goal

Let  $A^{(n)} := \{A_{i,j}^{(n)}\}_{1 \le i,j \le n}$  be a random symmetric matrix independent of  $Y^{(n)}$ , and  $\lambda_1^{(n)}(t) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n^{(n)}(t)$  denote the *n*-dimensional process of eigenvalues of

$$X^{(n)}(t) := A^{(n)} + Y^{(n)}(t).$$

#### Goal

Let  $A^{(n)} := \{A_{i,j}^{(n)}\}_{1 \le i,j \le n}$  be a random symmetric matrix independent of  $Y^{(n)}$ , and  $\lambda_1^{(n)}(t) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n^{(n)}(t)$  denote the *n*-dimensional process of eigenvalues of

$$X^{(n)}(t) := A^{(n)} + Y^{(n)}(t).$$

Denote by  $Pr(\mathbb{R})$  the space of random probability distributions, endowed with the weak convergence of probability measures.

#### Goal

Let  $A^{(n)} := \{A_{i,j}^{(n)}\}_{1 \le i,j \le n}$  be a random symmetric matrix independent of  $Y^{(n)}$ , and  $\lambda_1^{(n)}(t) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n^{(n)}(t)$  denote the *n*-dimensional process of eigenvalues of

$$X^{(n)}(t) := A^{(n)} + Y^{(n)}(t).$$

Denote by  $Pr(\mathbb{R})$  the space of random probability distributions, endowed with the weak convergence of probability measures. We are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the  $Pr(\mathbb{R})$ -valued process of empirical distributions  $\{\mu^{(n)}\}_{n\geq 1}$ , defined by  $\mu^{(n)} := \{\mu_t^{(n)}\}_{t\geq 0}$ , with

$$\mu_t^{(n)} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_j^{(n)}(t)}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Let  $d \ge 1$  and T > 0 be fixed. Consider a *d*-dimensional continuous centered Gaussian process  $V = \{(V_t^1, \ldots, V_t^d)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ , defined in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Let  $d \ge 1$  and T > 0 be fixed. Consider a *d*-dimensional continuous centered Gaussian process  $V = \{(V_t^1, \ldots, V_t^d)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ , defined in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We will assume that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V_s^i V_t^j\right] = \delta_{i,j} R(s,t).$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Let  $d \ge 1$  and T > 0 be fixed. Consider a *d*-dimensional continuous centered Gaussian process  $V = \{(V_t^1, \ldots, V_t^d)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ , defined in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We will assume that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V_{s}^{i}V_{t}^{j}\right]=\delta_{i,j}R(s,t).$$

Denote by  $\mathscr{E}$  the space of step functions on [0, T]. We define in  $\mathscr{E}$  the scalar product

$$\left\langle \mathbbm{1}_{[0,s]}, \mathbbm{1}_{[0,t]} 
ight
angle_{\mathfrak{H}} \coloneqq \mathbbm{E}\left[V^1_s V^1_t
ight], \quad ext{for} \quad s,t \in [0,\,T].$$

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Let  $d \ge 1$  and T > 0 be fixed. Consider a *d*-dimensional continuous centered Gaussian process  $V = \{(V_t^1, \ldots, V_t^d)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ , defined in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We will assume that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V_{s}^{i}V_{t}^{j}\right]=\delta_{i,j}R(s,t).$$

Denote by  $\mathscr{E}$  the space of step functions on [0, T]. We define in  $\mathscr{E}$  the scalar product

$$ig\langle \mathbbm{1}_{[0,s]}, \mathbbm{1}_{[0,t]}ig
angle_{\mathfrak{H}} \coloneqq \mathbb{E}\left[V^1_{s}V^1_{t}
ight], \quad ext{for} \quad s,t\in[0,T].$$

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be the Hilbert space obtained by taking the completion of  $\mathscr{E}$  with respect to this product.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

#### Smooth random variables

For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the mapping  $\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]} \mapsto V^i(\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}) := V_t^i$  can be extended to linear isometry, which we denote by  $V^i(h)$ , for  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ .

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

#### Smooth random variables

For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the mapping  $\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]} \mapsto V^i(\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}) := V^i_t$  can be extended to linear isometry, which we denote by  $V^i(h)$ , for  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ . If  $f \in \mathfrak{H}^d$  is of the form  $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_d)$ , we set

$$V(f) := \sum_{i=1}^d V^i(f_i)$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

#### Smooth random variables

For every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the mapping  $\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]} \mapsto V^i(\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}) := V^i_t$  can be extended to linear isometry, which we denote by  $V^i(h)$ , for  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ . If  $f \in \mathfrak{H}^d$  is of the form  $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_d)$ , we set

$$V(f) := \sum_{i=1}^d V^i(f_i).$$

Let  ${\mathscr S}$  denote the set of random variables of the form

$$F = g(V(h_1), \ldots, V(h_m)),$$

where  $g : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $h_j \in \mathscr{E}^d$ .

#### The Malliavin derivative

The Malliavin derivative of F with respect to V, is the element of  $L^2(\Omega; \mathfrak{H}^d)$ , defined by

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}(V(h_1), \dots, V(h_m))h_i.$$

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### The Malliavin derivative

The Malliavin derivative of F with respect to V, is the element of  $L^2(\Omega; \mathfrak{H}^d)$ , defined by

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} (V(h_1), \dots, V(h_m))h_i.$$

For  $p \ge 1$ , the set  $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$  denotes the closure of  $\mathscr{S}$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{D}^{1,p}}$ , defined by

$$\left\|F\right\|_{\mathbb{D}^{1,p}} := \left(\mathbb{E}\left[|F|^{p}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|DF\right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{d}}^{p}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The operator D can be consistently extended to the set  $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}$ .

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

We denote by  $\delta$  the adjoint of the operator D, also called the divergence operator.

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

We denote by  $\delta$  the adjoint of the operator D, also called the divergence operator. A random element  $u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathfrak{H}^d)$  belongs to the domain of  $\delta$ , denoted by  $\text{Dom } \delta$ , if and only if satisfies

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\langle DF, u\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^d}\right]\right| \leq C_u \mathbb{E}\left[F^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ \text{ for every } F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2},$$

where  $C_u$  is a constant only depending on u.

We denote by  $\delta$  the adjoint of the operator D, also called the divergence operator. A random element  $u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathfrak{H}^d)$  belongs to the domain of  $\delta$ , denoted by  $\text{Dom } \delta$ , if and only if satisfies

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle DF,u
ight
angle_{\mathfrak{H}^{d}}
ight]
ight|\leq C_{u}\mathbb{E}\left[F^{2}
ight]^{rac{1}{2}}, ext{ for every }F\in\mathbb{D}^{1,2},$$

where  $C_u$  is a constant only depending on u. If  $u \in \text{Dom } \delta$ , then the random variable  $\delta(u)$  is defined by the duality relationship

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F\delta(u)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\langle DF, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^d}\right].$$

We denote by  $\delta$  the adjoint of the operator D, also called the divergence operator. A random element  $u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathfrak{H}^d)$  belongs to the domain of  $\delta$ , denoted by  $\text{Dom } \delta$ , if and only if satisfies

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle DF,u
ight
angle_{\mathfrak{H}^d}
ight]
ight|\leq C_u\mathbb{E}\left[F^2
ight]^{rac{1}{2}}, ext{ for every }F\in\mathbb{D}^{1,2},$$

where  $C_u$  is a constant only depending on u. If  $u \in \text{Dom } \delta$ , then the random variable  $\delta(u)$  is defined by the duality relationship

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F\delta(u)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\langle DF, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^d}\right].$$

We will make use of the notation

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t u_s^i \delta V_s^i := \delta(u \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}).$$

#### Some technical issues associated to $\delta$

When V is a d-dimensional Brownian motion and \$\vec{N} = L^2[0, T]\$, the Skorohod integral is an extension of the Itô integral.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

#### Some technical issues associated to $\delta$

- When V is a d-dimensional Brownian motion and \$\vec{y} = L^2[0, T]\$, the Skorohod integral is an extension of the Itô integral.
- 2 Can we interpret  $\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} u_{s}^{i} \delta V_{s}^{i}$  as the stochastic integral of u?

#### Some technical issues associated to $\delta$

- When V is a d-dimensional Brownian motion and \$\vec{N} = L^2[0, T]\$, the Skorohod integral is an extension of the Itô integral.
- 2 Can we interpret  $\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} u_{s}^{i} \delta V_{s}^{i}$  as the stochastic integral of u?
- (a) In the case where V is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter  $0 < H < \frac{1}{4}$ , with covariance function

$$R(s,t) = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}),$$

the trajectories of V do not belong to the space  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and hence, do not belong to the domain of  $\delta$ .

## Extending the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$

To extend the domain of  $\delta$ , we impose the following condition

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### Elements of Malliavin calculus

### Extending the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$

(

To extend the domain of  $\delta$ , we impose the following condition **(H1)** There exists  $\alpha > 1$ , such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $s \mapsto R(s, t)$  is absolutely continuous on [0, T], and

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\int_0^T \left|\frac{\partial R}{\partial s}(s,t)\right|^{\alpha}ds<\infty.$$

(日) (周) (三) (三)

#### Elements of Malliavin calculus

### Extending the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$

To extend the domain of  $\delta$ , we impose the following condition **(H1)** There exists  $\alpha > 1$ , such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $s \mapsto R(s, t)$  is absolutely continuous on [0, T], and

$$\sup_{|\leq t\leq T}\int_0^T \left|\frac{\partial R}{\partial s}(s,t)\right|^{\alpha}ds<\infty.$$

Let  $\beta$  be the conjugate of  $\alpha$ , defined by  $\beta := \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}$ .

C

イロト イヨト イヨト

#### Elements of Malliavin calculus

### Extending the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$

(

To extend the domain of  $\delta$ , we impose the following condition **(H1)** There exists  $\alpha > 1$ , such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $s \mapsto R(s, t)$  is absolutely continuous on [0, T], and

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\int_0^T \left|\frac{\partial R}{\partial s}(s,t)\right|^{\alpha}ds<\infty.$$

Let  $\beta$  be the conjugate of  $\alpha$ , defined by  $\beta := \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ . For any pair of functions  $\varphi \in L^{\beta}[0, T]$  and  $\psi \in \mathscr{E}$  of the form  $\psi = \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} \mathbb{1}_{[0, t_{j}]}$ , we define

$$\langle \psi, \varphi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} := \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \int_0^T \varphi(s) \frac{\partial R}{\partial s}(s, t_j) ds.$$
 (1)

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

#### Extended domain of divergence

We define the extended domain of the divergence in the following manner

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

### Extended domain of divergence

We define the extended domain of the divergence in the following manner Definition

We say that a stochastic process  $u \in L^1(\Omega; L^\beta[0, T])$  belongs to the extended domain of the divergence  $Dom^*\delta$  if there exists p > 1, such that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle DF,u\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{d}}\right]\right|\leq C_{u}\left\|F\right\|_{p},$$

for any smooth random variable  $F \in \mathscr{S}$ , where  $C_u$  is some constant depending on u.

### Extended domain of divergence

We define the extended domain of the divergence in the following manner Definition

We say that a stochastic process  $u \in L^1(\Omega; L^\beta[0, T])$  belongs to the extended domain of the divergence  $Dom^*\delta$  if there exists p > 1, such that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle DF,u\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{d}}\right]\right|\leq C_{u}\left\|F\right\|_{p},$$

for any smooth random variable  $F \in \mathscr{S}$ , where  $C_u$  is some constant depending on u. In this case,  $\delta(u)$  is defined by the duality relationship

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F\delta(u)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\langle DF, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^d}\right].$$

A (10) A (10) A (10)

Theorem (Itô-type formula, conditions)

Assume that R satisfies (H1). Consider a function  $F : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  such that:

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Theorem (Itô-type formula, conditions)

Assume that R satisfies (H1). Consider a function  $F : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  such that:

Is twice continuously differentiable.

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Theorem (Itô-type formula, conditions)

Assume that R satisfies (H1). Consider a function  $F : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  such that:

• *F* is twice continuously differentiable.

There exist constants C > 0 and M > 0, such that

$$|F(x)| + \left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x)\right| \le C(1+|x|^M), \quad \text{for } i=1,\ldots,d.$$
 (2)

3

→ Ξ →

Image: A math a math

Theorem (Itô-type formula, conditions)

Assume that R satisfies (H1). Consider a function  $F : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  such that:

• F is twice continuously differentiable.

There exist constants C > 0 and M > 0, such that

$$|F(x)| + \left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x)\right| \le C(1+|x|^M), \quad \text{for } i=1,\ldots,d.$$
 (2)

3 There exists  $0 < \delta < 1$ , such that for every  $p \ge 1$ , and s > 0,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i^2}(V_s)\right|^p\right] \le C(1+R(s,s)^{-p(1-\delta)}), \quad \text{for} \quad i=1,\ldots,d. \tag{3}$$

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### Theorem (Itô-type formula)

Then, the process  $u_s = (u_s^1, \ldots, u_s^d)$ , defined by  $u'_s := \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_l}(V_s) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s)$ , belongs to  $Dom^*\delta$ , and

$$F(V_t) = F(0) + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} (V_s) \delta V_s^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i^2} (V_s) \frac{dR(s,s)}{ds} ds,$$
(4)
for every  $t \in [0, T]$ .

- 32

(日) (周) (三) (三)

#### Back to our problem

Recall that

$$X^{(n)}(t) := A^{(n)} + Y^{(n)}(t),$$

and

$$\mu_t^{(n)} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_j^{(n)}(t)}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

For an element f in the space of continuously differentiable functions in  $\mathbb{R}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ , define

$$\langle \mu, f \rangle := \int f(x) \mu(dx).$$

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### Eigenvalues of a matrix

#### Lemma

For every i = 1, ..., n, there exists a function  $\Phi_i^n : \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \to \mathbb{R}$ , which is infinitely differentiable in an open subset  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$ , with  $|G^c| = 0$ , such that  $\lambda_i^{(n)}(t) = \Phi_i^n(Z^{(n)}(t))$ .

### Eigenvalues of a matrix

#### Lemma

For every i = 1, ..., n, there exists a function  $\Phi_i^n : \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \to \mathbb{R}$ , which is infinitely differentiable in an open subset  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$ , with  $|G^c| = 0$ , such that  $\lambda_i^{(n)}(t) = \Phi_i^n(Z^{(n)}(t))$ .

Moreover, every element  $X \in G$ , viewed as an  $n \times n$  matrix, has a factorization of the form  $X = UDU^*$ , where D is a diagonal matrix with entries  $D_{i,i} = \lambda_i^n$  such that  $\lambda_1^n > \cdots > \lambda_n^n$ ,  $U^n$  is an orthogonal matrix with  $U_{i,i}^n > 0$  for all i,  $U_{i,j}^n \neq 0$ , all the minors of  $U^n$  have non zero determinants.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

#### Some properties of $\Phi_i$

#### Lemma

If U and  $\Phi_i$  are as before, then for any  $k \leq h$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{i}^{n}}{\partial y_{k,h}} = 2U_{i,k}^{n}U_{i,h}^{n}\mathbb{1}_{\{k\neq h\}} + \sqrt{2}(U_{i,k}^{n})^{2}\mathbb{1}_{\{k=h\}},$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2}\Phi_{i}^{n}}{\partial y_{k,h}^{2}} = 2\sum_{j\neq i} \frac{\left|U_{i,k}^{n}U_{j,h}^{n} + U_{i,h}^{n}U_{j,k}^{n}\right|^{2}}{\lambda_{i}^{n} - \lambda_{j}^{n}}\mathbb{1}_{\{k\neq h\}} + 4\sum_{j\neq i} \frac{\left|U_{i,k}^{n}U_{j,k}^{n}\right|^{2}}{\lambda_{i}^{n} - \lambda_{j}^{n}}\mathbb{1}_{\{k=h\}}.$$
(5)

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

### Stochastic evolution of $\mu^{(n)}$

#### Lemma

Assume that  $t \mapsto R(t, t)$  is continuously differentiable. Then we have the following evolution in time for  $\langle \mu_t^{(n)}, f \rangle$ , for  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $t \ge 0$ 

$$\langle \mu_t^{(n)}, f \rangle = \langle \mu_0^{(n)}, f \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \le h} \int_0^t f'(\Phi_i^n(X^{(n)}(s))) \frac{\partial \Phi_i^n}{\partial y_{k,l}} (X^{(n)}(s)) \delta X_{k,h}(s)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f'(x) - f'(y)}{x - y} \frac{d}{ds} R(s, s) \mu_s^{(n)}(dx) \mu_s^{(n)}(dy) ds$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t f''(\Phi_i^n(X^{(n)}(s))) \frac{d}{ds} R(s, s) ds.$$

$$(7)$$

Image: A matrix and a matrix

## Tightness of $\mu^{(n)}$

#### Proposition

Assume that R(s, t) satisfies the condition (H2) There exist constants  $\kappa, \gamma > 0$ , such that for every s, t > 0,

$$R(s,s)-2R(s,t)+R(t,t)\leq \kappa \, |t-s|^\gamma$$
 .

Furthermore, assume that with probability one, the sequence of measures  $\mu_0^{(n)}$  converges weakly to some measure  $\nu$ . Then, almost surely, the family of measures  $\{\mu^{(n)}\}_{n\geq 1}$  is tight in the space  $C(\mathbb{R}_+, Pr(\mathbb{R}))$ .

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### Tightness of $\mu^{(n)}$

Proof.

It suffices to show that for every  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ , the process  $\{\langle \mu_t^{(n)}, f \rangle \mid n \geq 1, t \geq 0\}$  is tight. Since  $\mu_0^{(n)}$  converges weakly, by the Billingsley criterion, it suffices to show that there exist constants C, p, q > 0, independent of n, such that for every  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\langle \mu_{t_1}^{(n)}, f\rangle - \langle \mu_{t_2}^{(n)}, f\rangle\right|^p\right] \le C \left|t_2 - t_1\right|^q.$$
(8)

The left hand side can be estimated by using the inequality

$$egin{aligned} &\left(rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i(t)-\lambda_j(s)|
ight)^2 \leq rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\lambda_i(t)-\lambda_j(s)
ight)^2 \ &\leq rac{1}{n}tr\left[\left(rac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(B_{i,j}(t)-B_{i,j}(s))
ight)^2
ight] \end{aligned}$$

## Weak convergence of $\mu^{(n)}$

By the previous result  $\mu^{(n)}$  has a subsequence  $\mu^{(n_r)}$  converging in law to some random process  $\mu$ . In addition, we have

#### Proposition

For every t > 0 fixed, the random variable

$$G_{r} := \frac{1}{n_{r}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{r}} \sum_{k \le h} \int_{0}^{t} f'(\Phi_{i}^{n_{r}}(X^{(n_{r})}(s))) \frac{\partial \Phi_{i}^{n_{r}}}{\partial y_{k,l}}(X^{(n_{r})}(s)) \delta X_{k,h}(s), \qquad (9)$$

converges to zero in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

### Weak convergence of $\mu^{(n)}$

#### Proof.

From the evolution equation for  $\mu^{(n)}$ , we have that

$$G_{r} = \left\langle \mu_{t}^{(n_{r})}, f \right\rangle - \left\langle \mu_{0}^{(n_{r})}, f \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{f'(x) - f'(y)}{x - y} \frac{d}{ds} R(s, s) \mu_{s}^{(n_{r})}(dx) \mu_{s}^{(n_{r})}(dy) ds - \frac{1}{2n_{r}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{r}} \int_{0}^{t} f''(\Phi_{i}^{n}(X^{(n_{r})}(s))) \frac{d}{ds} R(s, s) ds,$$
(10)

So we can make estimations of the variance of  $G_r$  by using the duality relation of  $\delta$  and the fact that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[G_{r}^{2}
ight] = \mathbb{E}\left[\delta(\text{equation 9}) \times (\text{equation 10})
ight]$$

### Weak convergence of $\mu^{(n)}$

Using once more the evolution equation for  $\mu$ , as well as the convergence of  $\mu^{(n_r)}$  and the previous proposition, we get

$$\langle \mu_t, f \rangle = \langle \nu, f \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f'(x) - f'(y)}{x - y} \frac{d}{ds} R(s, s) \mu_s(dx) \mu_s(dy) ds.$$
(11)

Using  $f_z(x) = \frac{1}{x-z}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \cap \mathbb{C}^+$ , and a continuity argument, we get that the Cauchy-Stieltjes transforms  $G_t(z) := \int \frac{1}{x-z} \mu_t(dx)$ , satisfy

$$\begin{split} G_t(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-z} \mu_0(dz) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{(x-z)(y-z)^2} \frac{d}{ds} R(s,s) \mu_s(dx) \mu_s(dy) \\ &= G_0(z) + \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} R(s,s) G_s(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} G_s(z) ds. \end{split}$$

#### Main result

#### Theorem

 $\mu^{(n)}$  converges weakly in  $C(\mathbb{R}_+, Pr(\mathbb{R}))$  to  $\mu$ , where  $\mu$  satisfies

$$\langle \mu_t, f \rangle = \langle \nu, f \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f'(x) - f'(y)}{x - y} \frac{d}{ds} R(s, s) \mu_s(dx) \mu_s(dy) ds, \quad (12)$$

for each  $t \ge 0$  and  $f \in C_b^2$ . Moreover, the Cauchy transforms  $G_t(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-z} \mu_t(dz)$ , satisfy  $G_t(z) = F_{R(t,t)}(z)$ , where  $F_{\tau}(z)$  is the unique solution to the Burguers equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} F_{\tau}(z) = F_{\tau}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} F_{\tau}(z),$$

$$F_{0}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x - z} \nu(dx).$$
(13)

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Thanks!

3

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト