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## Basic definitions

Consider a family of independent centered Gaussian processes $\left\{X_{i, j}\right\}_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with covariance function

$$
R(s, t)=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i, j}(s) X_{i, j}(t)\right],
$$

defined in a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.
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$$
R(s, t)=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i, j}(s) X_{i, j}(t)\right],
$$

defined in a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Consider as well the renormalized symmetric Gaussian matrix process $\left\{Y^{(n)}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$, defined by $Y^{(n)}(t):=\left\{Y_{i, j}^{(n)}(t)\right\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, with

$$
Y_{i, j}^{(n)}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_{i, j}(t) & \text { if } i<j \\
\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{n}} X_{i, i}(t) & \text { if } i=j
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Goal
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Let $A^{(n)}:=\left\{A_{i, j}^{(n)}\right\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be a random symmetric matrix independent of $Y^{(n)}$, and $\lambda_{1}^{(n)}(t) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}^{(n)}(t)$ denote the $n$-dimensional process of eigenvalues of

$$
X^{(n)}(t):=A^{(n)}+Y^{(n)}(t)
$$

Denote by $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbb{R})$ the space of random probability distributions, endowed with the weak convergence of probability measures. We are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbb{R})$-valued process of empirical distributions $\left\{\mu^{(n)}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$, defined by $\mu^{(n)}:=\left\{\mu_{t}^{(n)}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$, with

$$
\mu_{t}^{(n)}:=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_{j}^{(n)}(t)}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

## Framework

Let $d \geq 1$ and $T>0$ be fixed. Consider a $d$-dimensional continuous centered Gaussian process $V=\left\{\left(V_{t}^{1}, \ldots, V_{t}^{d}\right)\right\}_{t \in[0, T]}$, defined in a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$.
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$$
\left\langle\mathbb{1}_{[0, s]}, \mathbb{1}_{[0, t]}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}:=\mathbb{E}\left[V_{s}^{1} V_{t}^{1}\right], \quad \text { for } \quad s, t \in[0, T]
$$

Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be the Hilbert space obtained by taking the completion of $\mathscr{E}$ with respect to this product.

## Smooth random variables
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V(f):=\sum_{i=1}^{d} V^{i}\left(f_{i}\right) .
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Let $\mathscr{S}$ denote the set of random variables of the form

$$
F=g\left(V\left(h_{1}\right), \ldots, V\left(h_{m}\right)\right),
$$

where $g: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, and $h_{j} \in \mathscr{E}^{d}$.
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where $C_{u}$ is a constant only depending on $u$. If $u \in \operatorname{Dom} \delta$, then the random variable $\delta(u)$ is defined by the duality relationship

$$
\mathbb{E}[F \delta(u)]=\mathbb{E}\left[\langle D F, u\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{d}}\right]
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We will make use of the notation
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(2) Can we interpret $\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} u_{s}^{i} \delta V_{s}^{i}$ as the stochastic integral of $u$ ?
(3) In the case where $V$ is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $0<H<\frac{1}{4}$, with covariance function

$$
R(s, t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2 H}+s^{2 H}-|t-s|^{2 H}\right),
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the trajectories of $V$ do not belong to the space $\mathfrak{H}$, and hence, do not belong to the domain of $\delta$.
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To extend the domain of $\delta$, we impose the following condition (H1) There exists $\alpha>1$, such that for all $t \in[0, T], s \mapsto R(s, t)$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$, and

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\frac{\partial R}{\partial s}(s, t)\right|^{\alpha} d s<\infty
$$

Let $\beta$ be the conjugate of $\alpha$, defined by $\beta:=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$. For any pair of functions $\varphi \in L^{\beta}[0, T]$ and $\psi \in \mathscr{E}$ of the form $\psi=\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} \mathbb{1}_{\left[0, t_{j}\right]}$, we define
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for any smooth random variable $F \in \mathscr{S}$, where $C_{u}$ is some constant depending on $u$. In this case, $\delta(u)$ is defined by the duality relationship
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(1) $F$ is twice continuously differentiable.
(2) There exist constants $C>0$ and $M>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x)|+\left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}(x)\right| \leq C\left(1+|x|^{M}\right), \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, d \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3) There exists $0<\delta<1$, such that for every $p \geq 1$, and $s>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}\left(V_{s}\right)\right|^{p}\right] \leq C\left(1+R(s, s)^{-p(1-\delta)}\right), \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, d \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## An Itô-type formula

Theorem (Itô-type formula)
Then, the process $u_{s}=\left(u_{s}^{1}, \ldots, u_{s}^{d}\right)$, defined by $u_{s}^{\prime}:=\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{l}}\left(V_{s}\right) \mathbb{1}_{[0, t]}(s)$, belongs to $\mathrm{Dom}^{*} \delta$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(V_{t}\right)=F(0)+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}\left(V_{s}\right) \delta V_{s}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}\left(V_{s}\right) \frac{d R(s, s)}{d s} d s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t \in[0, T]$.

## Back to our problem

Recall that

$$
X^{(n)}(t):=A^{(n)}+Y^{(n)}(t)
$$

and

$$
\mu_{t}^{(n)}:=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_{j}^{(n)}(t)}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

For an element $f$ in the space of continuously differentiable functions in $\mathbb{R}$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{b}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, define

$$
\langle\mu, f\rangle:=\int f(x) \mu(d x)
$$

## Eigenvalues of a matrix

## Lemma

For every $i=1, \ldots, n$, there exists a function $\Phi_{i}^{n}: \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is infinitely differentiable in an open subset $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$, with $\left|G^{c}\right|=0$, such that $\lambda_{i}^{(n)}(t)=\Phi_{i}^{n}\left(Z^{(n)}(t)\right)$.
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## Lemma

For every $i=1, \ldots, n$, there exists a function $\Phi_{i}^{n}: \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is infinitely differentiable in an open subset $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$, with $\left|G^{c}\right|=0$, such that $\lambda_{i}^{(n)}(t)=\Phi_{i}^{n}\left(Z^{(n)}(t)\right)$.

Moreover, every element $X \in G$, viewed as an $n \times n$ matrix, has a factorization of the form $X=U D U^{*}$, where $D$ is a diagonal matrix with entries $D_{i, i}=\lambda_{i}^{n}$ such that $\lambda_{1}^{n}>\cdots>\lambda_{n}^{n}, U^{n}$ is an orthogonal matrix with $U_{i, i}^{n}>0$ for all $i, U_{i, j}^{n} \neq 0$, all the minors of $U^{n}$ have non zero determinants.

## Some properties of $\Phi_{i}$

## Lemma

If $U$ and $\Phi_{i}$ are as before, then for any $k \leq h$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \Phi_{i}^{n}}{\partial y_{k, h}} & =2 U_{i, k}^{n} U_{i, h}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{\{k \neq h\}}+\sqrt{2}\left(U_{i, k}^{n}\right)^{2} \mathbb{1}_{\{k=h\}}  \tag{5}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi_{i}^{n}}{\partial y_{k, h}^{2}} & =2 \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\left|U_{i, k}^{n} U_{j, h}^{n}+U_{i, h}^{n} U_{j, k}^{n}\right|^{2}}{\lambda_{i}^{n}-\lambda_{j}^{n}} \mathbb{1}_{\{k \neq h\}}+4 \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\left|U_{i, k}^{n} U_{j, k}^{n}\right|^{2}}{\lambda_{i}^{n}-\lambda_{j}^{n}} \mathbb{1}_{\{k=h\}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

## Stochastic evolution of $\mu^{(n)}$

## Lemma

Assume that $t \mapsto R(t, t)$ is continuously differentiable. Then we have the following evolution in time for $\left\langle\mu_{t}^{(n)}, f\right\rangle$, for $f \in \mathcal{C}_{b}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $t \geq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\mu_{t}^{(n)}, f\right\rangle & =\left\langle\mu_{0}^{(n)}, f\right\rangle  \tag{7}\\
& +\frac{1}{n^{3}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k \leq h} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{i}^{n}\left(X^{(n)}(s)\right)\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{i}^{n}}{\partial y_{k, l}}\left(X^{(n)}(s)\right) \delta X_{k, h}(s) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(y)}{x-y} \frac{d}{d s} R(s, s) \mu_{s}^{(n)}(d x) \mu_{s}^{(n)}(d y) d s \\
& +\frac{1}{2 n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\Phi_{i}^{n}\left(X^{(n)}(s)\right)\right) \frac{d}{d s} R(s, s) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

## Tightness of $\mu^{(n)}$

## Proposition

Assume that $R(s, t)$ satisfies the condition
(H2) There exist constants $\kappa, \gamma>0$, such that for every $s, t>0$,

$$
R(s, s)-2 R(s, t)+R(t, t) \leq \kappa|t-s|^{\gamma} .
$$

Furthermore, assume that with probability one, the sequence of measures $\mu_{0}^{(n)}$ converges weakly to some measure $\nu$. Then, almost surely, the family of measures $\left\{\mu^{(n)}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is tight in the space $C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \operatorname{Pr}(\mathbb{R})\right)$.

## Tightness of $\mu^{(n)}$

## Proof.

It suffices to show that for every $f \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, the process
$\left\{\left\langle\mu_{t}^{(n)}, f\right\rangle \mid n \geq 1, t \geq 0\right\}$ is tight. Since $\mu_{0}^{(n)}$ converges weakly, by the Billingsley criterion, it suffices to show that there exist constants $C, p, q>0$, independent of $n$, such that for every $0 \leq t_{1} \leq t_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\left\langle\mu_{t_{1}}^{(n)}, f\right\rangle-\left\langle\mu_{t_{2}}^{(n)}, f\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right] \leq C\left|t_{2}-t_{1}\right|^{q} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left hand side can be estimated by using the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}(t)-\lambda_{j}(s)\right|\right)^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{i}(t)-\lambda_{j}(s)\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left(B_{i, j}(t)-B_{i, j}(s)\right)\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Weak convergence of $\mu^{(n)}$

By the previous result $\mu^{(n)}$ has a subsequence $\mu^{\left(n_{r}\right)}$ converging in law to some random process $\mu$. In addition, we have

Proposition
For every $t>0$ fixed, the random variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{r}:=\frac{1}{n_{r}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{r}} \sum_{k \leq h} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(\Phi_{i}^{n_{r}}\left(X^{\left(n_{r}\right)}(s)\right)\right) \frac{\partial \Phi_{i}^{n_{r}}}{\partial y_{k, l}}\left(X^{\left(n_{r}\right)}(s)\right) \delta X_{k, h}(s) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges to zero in $L^{2}(\Omega)$.

## Weak convergence of $\mu^{(n)}$

## Proof.

From the evolution equation for $\mu^{(n)}$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{r} & =\left\langle\mu_{t}^{\left(n_{r}\right)}, f\right\rangle-\left\langle\mu_{0}^{\left(n_{r}\right)}, f\right\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(y)}{x-y} \frac{d}{d s} R(s, s) \mu_{s}^{\left(n_{r}\right)}(d x) \mu_{s}^{\left(n_{r}\right)}(d y) d s \\
& -\frac{1}{2 n_{r}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{r}} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\Phi_{i}^{n}\left(X^{\left(n_{r}\right)}(s)\right)\right) \frac{d}{d s} R(s, s) d s, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

So we can make estimations of the variance of $G_{r}$ by using the duality relation of $\delta$ and the fact that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[G_{r}^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}[\delta(\text { equation } 9) \times(\text { equation } 10)]
$$

## Weak convergence of $\mu^{(n)}$

Using once more the evolution equation for $\mu$, as well as the convergence of $\mu^{\left(n_{r}\right)}$ and the previous proposition, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mu_{t}, f\right\rangle=\langle\nu, f\rangle+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(y)}{x-y} \frac{d}{d s} R(s, s) \mu_{s}(d x) \mu_{s}(d y) d s \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $f_{z}(x)=\frac{1}{x-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{Q}^{2} \cap \mathbb{C}^{+}$, and a continuity argument, we get that the Cauchy-Stieltjes transforms $G_{t}(z):=\int \frac{1}{x-z} \mu_{t}(d x)$, satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{t}(z) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-z} \mu_{0}(d z)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{1}{(x-z)(y-z)^{2}} \frac{d}{d s} R(s, s) \mu_{s}(d x) \mu_{s}(d y) \\
& =G_{0}(z)+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d}{d s} R(s, s) G_{s}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} G_{s}(z) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

## Main result

Theorem
$\mu^{(n)}$ converges weakly in $C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \operatorname{Pr}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ to $\mu$, where $\mu$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mu_{t}, f\right\rangle=\langle\nu, f\rangle+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(y)}{x-y} \frac{d}{d s} R(s, s) \mu_{s}(d x) \mu_{s}(d y) d s \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $t \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_{b}^{2}$. Moreover, the Cauchy transforms $G_{t}(z):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-z} \mu_{t}(d z)$, satisfy $G_{t}(z)=F_{R(t, t)}(z)$, where $F_{\tau}(z)$ is the unique solution to the Burguers equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} F_{\tau}(z) & =F_{\tau}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} F_{\tau}(z) \\
F_{0}(z) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-z} \nu(d x) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

## Thanks!

