Quantitative Erdös-Kac theorem for additive functions, a self-contained probabilistic approach

Joint work with X. Yang and L. Chen

Arturo Jaramillo Gil

Université du Luxembourg National University of Singapore Denote the set of primes by $\mathcal{P}.$

Denote the set of primes by $\mathcal{P}.$ Let $\omega:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ denote the prime factor counting function,

 $\omega(n) := |\{p \in \mathcal{P}; p \text{ divides } n\}|.$

Denote the set of primes by $\mathcal{P}.$ Let $\omega:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ denote the prime factor counting function,

 $\omega(n) := |\{p \in \mathcal{P}; p \text{ divides } n\}|.$

For instance, $\omega(54) = \omega(2 \times 3^2) = 2$.

Denote the set of primes by \mathcal{P} . Let $\omega : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ denote the prime factor counting function,

 $\omega(n) := |\{p \in \mathcal{P}; p \text{ divides } n\}|.$

For instance, $\omega(54) = \omega(2 \times 3^2) = 2$. Let J_n be a random variable with uniform distribution over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Denote the set of primes by $\mathcal{P}.$ Let $\omega:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ denote the prime factor counting function,

 $\omega(n) := |\{p \in \mathcal{P}; p \text{ divides } n\}|.$

For instance, $\omega(54) = \omega(2 \times 3^2) = 2$. Let J_n be a random variable with uniform distribution over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Goal

• Study $\omega(J_n)$.

Denote the set of primes by $\mathcal{P}.$ Let $\omega:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ denote the prime factor counting function,

 $\omega(n) := |\{p \in \mathcal{P}; p \text{ divides } n\}|.$

For instance, $\omega(54) = \omega(2 \times 3^2) = 2$. Let J_n be a random variable with uniform distribution over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Goal

• Study $\omega(J_n)$. Describe as accurately as possible the asymptotic behavior of $\frac{\omega(J_n)-\mu_n}{\sigma_n}$, for suitable chosen μ_n and σ_n .

Denote the set of primes by $\mathcal{P}.$ Let $\omega:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ denote the prime factor counting function,

 $\omega(n) := |\{p \in \mathcal{P}; p \text{ divides } n\}|.$

For instance, $\omega(54) = \omega(2 \times 3^2) = 2$. Let J_n be a random variable with uniform distribution over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Goal

- Study ω(J_n). Describe as accurately as possible the asymptotic behavior of ^{ω(J_n)-μ_n}/_{σ_n}, for suitable chosen μ_n and σ_n.
- What can be said when ω is replaced by a general function ψ : N → N only satisfying ψ(ab) = ψ(a) + ψ(b) for a, b ∈ N coprime?

- 1. Historical context
- 2. Main results
- Ideas behind the proofs
 Simplifying the model
 Stein's method

Historical context

Starting point: Paul Erdös and Mark Kac, proved that

$$Z_n := \frac{\omega(J_n) - \log \log(n)}{\sqrt{\log \log(n)}} \tag{1}$$

converge in distribution towards a standard Gaussian random variable \mathcal{N} .

Starting point: Paul Erdös and Mark Kac, proved that

$$Z_n := \frac{\omega(J_n) - \log \log(n)}{\sqrt{\log \log(n)}} \tag{1}$$

converge in distribution towards a standard Gaussian random variable \mathcal{N} .

Some intuition: Denote $\mathcal{P}_n := \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n]$.

Starting point: Paul Erdös and Mark Kac, proved that

$$Z_n := \frac{\omega(J_n) - \log \log(n)}{\sqrt{\log \log(n)}} \tag{1}$$

converge in distribution towards a standard Gaussian random variable \mathcal{N} .

Some intuition: Denote $\mathcal{P}_n := \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n]$. The convergence in (1) is hinted by the decomposition

$$\omega(J_n) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}},\tag{2}$$

One guesses that $\mathbbm{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}$ are weakly dependent since for $d \in \mathbb{N},$

$$\mathbb{P}[d \text{ divides } J_n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{d \text{ divides } k\}} = \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor \approx \frac{1}{d}.$$
 (3)

One guesses that $\mathbbm{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}$ are weakly dependent since for $d \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}[d \text{ divides } J_n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{d \text{ divides } k\}} = \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor \approx \frac{1}{d}.$$
 (3)

Thus, if $p_1, \ldots, p_r \in \mathcal{P}_n$ are different primes,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[\mathbbm{1}_{\{\rho_1 \text{ divides } J_n\}} = 1, \dots, \mathbbm{1}_{\{\rho_r \text{ divides } J_n\}} = 1] \approx \frac{1}{\rho_1 \cdots \rho_r} \\ \approx \mathbb{P}[\mathbbm{1}_{\{\rho_1 \text{ divides } J_n\}} = 1] \cdots \mathbb{P}[\mathbbm{1}_{\{\rho_r \text{ divides } J_n\}} = 1] \end{split}$$

One guesses that $\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}$ are weakly dependent since for $d \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}[d \text{ divides } J_n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{d \text{ divides } k\}} = \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor \approx \frac{1}{d}.$$
 (3)

Thus, if $p_1, \ldots, p_r \in \mathcal{P}_n$ are different primes,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\rho_1 \text{ divides } J_n\}} = 1, \dots, \mathbb{1}_{\{\rho_r \text{ divides } J_n\}} = 1] \approx \frac{1}{\rho_1 \cdots \rho_r} \\ \approx \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\rho_1 \text{ divides } J_n\}} = 1] \cdots \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\rho_r \text{ divides } J_n\}} = 1] \end{split}$$

Warning: nowadays it is known that the r.v. $\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}$, for $p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\alpha_n}}]$ are approximately independent if $\alpha_n \to \infty$ is suitably chosen (example: $\alpha_n := 3 \log \log(n)^2$).

One guesses that $\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}$ are weakly dependent since for $d \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}[d \text{ divides } J_n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{d \text{ divides } k\}} = \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{d} \right\rfloor \approx \frac{1}{d}.$$
 (3)

Thus, if $p_1, \ldots, p_r \in \mathcal{P}_n$ are different primes,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\rho_1 \text{ divides } J_n\}} = 1, \dots, \mathbb{1}_{\{\rho_r \text{ divides } J_n\}} = 1] \approx \frac{1}{\rho_1 \cdots \rho_r} \\ \approx \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\rho_1 \text{ divides } J_n\}} = 1] \cdots \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\rho_r \text{ divides } J_n\}} = 1] \end{split}$$

Warning: nowadays it is known that the r.v. $\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}$, for $p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\alpha_n}}]$ are approximately independent if $\alpha_n \to \infty$ is suitably chosen (example: $\alpha_n := 3 \log \log(n)^2$). But α_n cannot be equal to one!

Question

Can the asymptotic Gaussianity of Z_n be quantitatively assessed with respect to a suitable probability metric? such as distance d_1 , defined as

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}(X,Y) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}[X \leq z] - \mathbb{P}[Y \leq z]|$$

Question

Can the asymptotic Gaussianity of Z_n be quantitatively assessed with respect to a suitable probability metric? such as distance d_1 , defined as

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}(X,Y) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}[X \leq z] - \mathbb{P}[Y \leq z]|$$

or

$$d_1(X, Y) = \sup_{h \in \mathrm{Lip}_1} |\mathbb{E}[h(X)] - \mathbb{E}[h(Y)]|,$$

where Lip_1 is the family of Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constant at most one.

Question

Can the asymptotic Gaussianity of Z_n be quantitatively assessed with respect to a suitable probability metric? such as distance d_1 , defined as

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}(X,Y) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}[X \leq z] - \mathbb{P}[Y \leq z]|$$

or

$$d_1(X, Y) = \sup_{h \in \mathrm{Lip}_1} |\mathbb{E}[h(X)] - \mathbb{E}[h(Y)]|,$$

where ${\rm Lip}_1$ is the family of Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constant at most one. We define as well

$$d_{TV}(X, Y) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} |\mathbb{P}[X \in A] - \mathbb{P}[Y \in A]|.$$

LeVeque's conjecture (1949)

LeVeque, showed that

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}(Z_n,\mathcal{N}) \leq C rac{\log\log\log(n)}{\log\log(n)^{rac{1}{4}}},$$

for some constant C > 0 independent of n.

LeVeque's conjecture (1949)

LeVeque, showed that

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}(Z_n,\mathcal{N}) \leq C rac{\log\log\log(n)}{\log\log(n)^{rac{1}{4}}},$$

for some constant C > 0 independent of n. He also conjectured that

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \log \log(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

LeVeque, showed that

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}(Z_n,\mathcal{N}) \leq C rac{\log\log\log(n)}{\log\log(n)^{rac{1}{4}}},$$

for some constant C > 0 independent of n. He also conjectured that

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \log \log(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This was shown to be true later by Rényi and Turán (1958).

LeVeque, showed that

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}(Z_n,\mathcal{N}) \leq C rac{\log\log\log(n)}{\log\log(n)^{rac{1}{4}}},$$

for some constant C > 0 independent of n. He also conjectured that

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \log \log(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This was shown to be true later by Rényi and Turán (1958). The approach consisted on approximating $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\lambda\omega(J_n)}]$.

LeVeque, showed that

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}(Z_n,\mathcal{N}) \leq C rac{\log\log\log(n)}{\log\log(n)^{rac{1}{4}}},$$

for some constant C > 0 independent of n. He also conjectured that

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}(Z_n, \mathcal{N}) \leq C \log \log(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This was shown to be true later by Rényi and Turán (1958). The approach consisted on approximating $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\lambda\omega(J_n)}]$.

Main ingredients: Perron's formula, Dirichlet series and some estimates on the Riemann zeta function ζ around the vertical strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} ; \Re(z) = 1\}.$

Nowadays, a lot is known about $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\lambda\omega(J_n)}]$.

Nowadays, a lot is known about $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\lambda\omega(J_n)}]$. This motivated studying the asymptotic properties of $\omega(J_n)$ by analyzing a relation of the type

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\mathbf{i}\lambda\omega(J_n)}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\mathbf{i}\lambda M_n}]}\approx F(\lambda),$$

where M_n is a random variable with Poisson distribution of parameter $\log \log(n)$ and $F(\lambda)$ is a (possibly non-trivial) function (work by Barbour, Kowalski and Nikeghbali in 2014).

Nowadays, a lot is known about $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\lambda\omega(J_n)}]$. This motivated studying the asymptotic properties of $\omega(J_n)$ by analyzing a relation of the type

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\mathbf{i}\lambda\omega(J_n)}]}{\mathbb{E}[e^{\mathbf{i}\lambda M_n}]}\approx F(\lambda),$$

where M_n is a random variable with Poisson distribution of parameter $\log \log(n)$ and $F(\lambda)$ is a (possibly non-trivial) function (work by Barbour, Kowalski and Nikeghbali in 2014). This lead to

Theorem

There exists a constant C > 0, such that

$$d_{TV}(\omega(J_n), M_n) \le C \log \log(n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(4)

Recall the heuristics that $\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}$, for $p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\alpha_n}}]$ are approximately independent.

Recall the heuristics that $\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}$, for $p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\alpha_n}}]$ are approximately independent.

Stein's method perspective

Random variables with weak dependence are the main object in the theory of Stein's method.

Recall the heuristics that $\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}$, for $p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\alpha_n}}]$ are approximately independent.

Stein's method perspective

Random variables with weak dependence are the main object in the theory of Stein's method.

Adam Harper (2009) used this so show

$$d_{TV}\left(\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}\cap[1,n^{\frac{1}{\alpha_n}}]}\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}, M_n\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\log\log(n)} + \frac{5.2}{\log\log(n)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

where $\alpha_n := 3 \log \log(n)^2$.

Recall the heuristics that $\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}$, for $p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\alpha_n}}]$ are approximately independent.

Stein's method perspective

Random variables with weak dependence are the main object in the theory of Stein's method.

Adam Harper (2009) used this so show

$$d_{TV}\left(\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}\cap[1,n^{\frac{1}{\alpha_n}}]}\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}, M_n\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\log\log(n)} + \frac{5.2}{\log\log(n)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

where $\alpha_n := 3 \log \log(n)^2$. Consequence,

$$d_{\mathcal{K}}(\omega(J_n), M_n) \leq \frac{C \log \log \log(n)}{\sqrt{\log \log(n)}}$$

Another idea consists on comparing $(\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}; p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\beta_n}})$ with independent random variables.

Another idea consists on comparing $(\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}; p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\beta_n}})$ with independent random variables.

Kubilius (1964) showed that if $\beta_n \to \infty$,

$$d_{TV}\bigg((\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}; \ p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\beta_n}}]), (B_p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\beta_n}}])\bigg) \leq e^{-c\beta_n},$$

where B_p are independent Bernoulli r.v. with $\mathbb{P}[B_p] = 1/p$.

Another idea consists on comparing $(\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}; p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\beta_n}})$ with independent random variables.

Kubilius (1964) showed that if $\beta_n o \infty$,

$$d_{TV}\bigg(\big(\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}; \ p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\beta_n}}]\big), (B_p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [1, n^{\frac{1}{\beta_n}}]\big)\bigg) \leq e^{-c\beta_n},$$

where B_p are independent Bernoulli r.v. with $\mathbb{P}[B_p] = 1/p$. Thus,

$$\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}\cap[1,n^{\frac{1}{\beta_n}}]}\mathbb{1}_{\{p \text{ divides } J_n\}}\approx \sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}\cap[1,n^{\frac{1}{\beta_n}}]}B_p$$

Consequence similar to Harper's result.

Arratia (2013) suggests comparing J_n with a partial product of a biased permutation of factors T_n and a random prime P_n . He proves that

$$d_{TV}(J_n, T_nQ_n) \leq C \frac{\log \log(n)}{\log(n)}.$$
Arratia (2013) suggests comparing J_n with a partial product of a biased permutation of factors T_n and a random prime P_n . He proves that

$$d_{TV}(J_n, T_nQ_n) \leq C \frac{\log \log(n)}{\log(n)}.$$

This is used to show that if $d_{\Omega} : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ denotes the insertion deletion distance $d_{\Omega}(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p}, \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\beta_p}) := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} |\alpha_p - \beta_p|$, and $d_{1,\Omega}$ the associated Wasserstein distance,

Arratia (2013) suggests comparing J_n with a partial product of a biased permutation of factors T_n and a random prime P_n . He proves that

$$d_{TV}(J_n, T_nQ_n) \leq C \frac{\log \log(n)}{\log(n)}.$$

This is used to show that if $d_{\Omega} : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ denotes the insertion deletion distance $d_{\Omega}(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p}, \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\beta_p}) := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} |\alpha_p - \beta_p|$, and $d_{1,\Omega}$ the associated Wasserstein distance,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d_{1,\Omega}(J_n,\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}_n}p^{\xi_p})=2$$

where

$$\mathbb{P}[\xi_p=k]=p^{-k}(1-1/p),$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Main results

Let $\psi: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\psi(ab) = \psi(a) + \psi(b)$ for a, b co-prime.

(H1) We have that

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{P}} := \sup_{p\in\mathcal{P}} |\psi(p)| < \infty.$$

(H2) There exists a (possibly unbounded) function $\Psi:\mathcal{P}\to\mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying

$$||\!|\Psi|\!||_{\mathcal{P}} := \left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\rho}\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{\Psi(\boldsymbol{\rho})^2}{\boldsymbol{\rho}^2}\right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

and such that for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$,

$$\|\psi(p^{\xi_p+2})\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \Psi(p).$$

Main result for Kolmogorov distance

Let μ_n and $\sigma_n > 0$ be given by

$$\mu_n = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbb{E}[\psi(p^{\xi_p})] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n^2 = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbb{V}ar[\psi(p^{\xi_p})]. \quad (5)$$

Main result for Kolmogorov distance

Let μ_n and $\sigma_n > 0$ be given by

$$\mu_n = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbb{E}[\psi(p^{\xi_p})] \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n^2 = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbb{V}ar[\psi(p^{\xi_p})]. \quad (5)$$

Theorem (Chen, Jaramillo, Yang)

Suppose that ψ satisfies **(H1)** and **(H2)**. Then, if $X_p := \sigma_n^{-1} \psi(p^{\xi_p})$, and provided that $\sigma_n^2 \ge 3(\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{P}}^2 + \|\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{P}}^2)$,

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\frac{\psi(J_n)-\mu_n}{\sigma_n},\mathcal{N}\right) \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{\sigma_n} + \kappa_2 \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbb{E}[|X_{\rho}|^3] + \frac{\kappa_3 \log \log(n)}{\log(n)},$$

where

$$\kappa_1 := 29.2 \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{P}} + 34.8 \|\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{P}} \quad \kappa_2 := 97.2 \quad \kappa_3 := 61.$$
(6)

Theorem (Chen, Jaramillo, Yang)

$$d_1\left(\frac{\psi(J_n)-\mu_n}{\sigma_n},\mathcal{N}\right) \leq \frac{\kappa_4}{\sigma_n} + \kappa_5 \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbb{E}[|X_p|^3] + \kappa_6 \frac{\log\log(n)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\log(n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (7)$$

where

 $\kappa_4 := 16.6 \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{P}} + 11.3 \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{P}} \quad \kappa_5 := 24 \quad \kappa_6 := 21 \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{P}} + 45.$

Ideas behind the proofs

For a given $p \in \mathcal{P}$, define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, by

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$
(8)

For a given $p \in \mathcal{P}$, define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, by

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$
(8)

For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_1, ..., k_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \{\alpha_{p_j}(J_n) \ge k_j\} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \{p_j^{k_j} \text{ divides } J_n\} = \left\{\prod_{j=1}^{i} p_j^{k_j} \text{ divides } J_n\right\},$$

For a given $p \in \mathcal{P}$, define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, by

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$
(8)

For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_1, ..., k_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \{\alpha_{p_j}(J_n) \ge k_j\} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \{p_j^{k_j} \text{ divides } J_n\} = \left\{\prod_{j=1}^{i} p_j^{k_j} \text{ divides } J_n\right\},$$

so $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}[\alpha_{p_j}(J_n) \ge k_j \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le i] = p_1^{-k_1} \cdots p_i^{-k_i}.$

For a given $p \in \mathcal{P}$, define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, by

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$
(8)

For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_1, ..., k_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \{\alpha_{p_j}(J_n) \ge k_j\} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \{p_j^{k_j} \text{ divides } J_n\} = \left\{\prod_{j=1}^{i} p_j^{k_j} \text{ divides } J_n\right\},$$

so $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}[\alpha_{p_j}(J_n) \ge k_j \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le i] = p_1^{-k_1} \cdots p_j^{-k_j}$. This gives

$$(\alpha_{p_1}(J_n),\ldots,\alpha_{p_i}(J_n)) \stackrel{Law}{\rightarrow} (\xi_{p_1},\ldots,\xi_{p_i})$$

For a given $p \in \mathcal{P}$, define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, by

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$
 (8)

For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_1, ..., k_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \{\alpha_{p_j}(J_n) \ge k_j\} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \{p_j^{k_j} \text{ divides } J_n\} = \left\{\prod_{j=1}^{i} p_j^{k_j} \text{ divides } J_n\right\},$$

so $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}[\alpha_{p_j}(J_n) \ge k_j \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le i] = p_1^{-k_1} \cdots p_j^{-k_j}$. This gives

$$(\alpha_{p_1}(J_n),\ldots,\alpha_{p_i}(J_n)) \stackrel{Law}{\rightarrow} (\xi_{p_1},\ldots,\xi_{p_i})$$

Question: can we use the ξ_p to construct a r.v. equal in law to J_n ?

For a given $p \in \mathcal{P}$, define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, by

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$
 (8)

For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_1, ..., k_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \{\alpha_{p_j}(J_n) \ge k_j\} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \{p_j^{k_j} \text{ divides } J_n\} = \left\{\prod_{j=1}^{i} p_j^{k_j} \text{ divides } J_n\right\},$$

so $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}[\alpha_{p_j}(J_n) \ge k_j \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le i] = p_1^{-k_1} \cdots p_j^{-k_j}$. This gives

$$(\alpha_{p_1}(J_n),\ldots,\alpha_{p_i}(J_n)) \stackrel{Law}{\rightarrow} (\xi_{p_1},\ldots,\xi_{p_i})$$

Question: can we use the ξ_p to construct a r.v. equal in law to J_n ? **Answer:** not easily For a given $p \in \mathcal{P}$, define $\alpha_p : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, by

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{\alpha_p(k)}.$$
 (8)

For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_1, ..., k_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \{\alpha_{p_j}(J_n) \ge k_j\} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \{p_j^{k_j} \text{ divides } J_n\} = \left\{\prod_{j=1}^{i} p_j^{k_j} \text{ divides } J_n\right\},$$

so $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}[\alpha_{p_j}(J_n) \ge k_j \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le i] = p_1^{-k_1} \cdots p_j^{-k_j}$. This gives

$$(\alpha_{p_1}(J_n),\ldots,\alpha_{p_i}(J_n)) \stackrel{Law}{\rightarrow} (\xi_{p_1},\ldots,\xi_{p_i})$$

Question: can we use the ξ_p to construct a r.v. equal in law to J_n ? **Answer:** not easily... but... Let H_n be a r.v. with $\mathbb{P}[H_n = k] = \frac{1}{L_n k}$, where $L_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$.

Let H_n be a r.v. with $\mathbb{P}[H_n = k] = \frac{1}{L_n k}$, where $L_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$. Then, **Proposition**

Suppose that $n \ge 21$. Define the event

$$A_n := \Big\{ \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \le n \Big\},\tag{9}$$

as well as the random vector $\vec{C}(n) := (\alpha_p(H_n); p \in \mathcal{P}_n)$. Then the random variables $Y_p := \psi(p^{\xi_p})$, indexed by $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$, satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi(H(n))) = \mathcal{L}(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} Y_p | A_n).$$
(10)

Link to the Harmonic distribution

Let $\{Q(k)\}_{k\geq 1}$ be independent r.v. independent of (J_n, H_n) with Q(k) uniformly distributed over

 $\mathcal{P}_k^* := \{1\} \cup \mathcal{P}_k.$

Link to the Harmonic distribution

Let $\{Q(k)\}_{k\geq 1}$ be independent r.v. independent of (J_n, H_n) with Q(k) uniformly distributed over

 $\mathcal{P}_k^* := \{1\} \cup \mathcal{P}_k.$

Let $\pi(n) := |\mathcal{P} \cap [1, n]|$. Using the fact that for $n \ge 229$,

$$\left| \pi(n) - \int_0^n \frac{1}{\log(t)} dt \right| \le \frac{181n}{\log(n)^3},$$
(11)

Link to the Harmonic distribution

Let $\{Q(k)\}_{k\geq 1}$ be independent r.v. independent of (J_n, H_n) with Q(k) uniformly distributed over

 $\mathcal{P}_k^* := \{1\} \cup \mathcal{P}_k.$

Let $\pi(n) := |\mathcal{P} \cap [1, n]|$. Using the fact that for $n \ge 229$,

$$\left| \pi(n) - \int_0^n \frac{1}{\log(t)} dt \right| \le \frac{181n}{\log(n)^3},$$
(11)

Lemma (Chen, Jaramillo and Yang)

The following bound (analogous to the one by Arratia) holds for $n \ge 21$

$$d_{\mathrm{TV}}(J_n, H_nQ(n/H_n)) \leq 61 rac{\log\log n}{\log n}.$$

By using the fact that $|\psi(H_nQ(n/H_n)) - \psi(H_n)| \le \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{P}}$,

By using the fact that $|\psi(H_nQ(n/H_n)) - \psi(H_n)| \le ||\psi||_{\mathcal{P}}$, we can easily show that

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\frac{\psi(J_n) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}, \mathcal{N}\right) \leq d_{TV}\left(J_n, H_n Q(n/H_n)\right) \\ + d_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\frac{\psi(H_n Q(n/H_n)) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}, \frac{\psi(H_n) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}\right) \\ + d_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\frac{\psi(H_n) - \mu_n}{\sigma_n}, \mathcal{N}\right).$$

By using the fact that $|\psi(H_nQ(n/H_n)) - \psi(H_n)| \le ||\psi||_{\mathcal{P}}$, we can easily show that

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\frac{\psi(J_{n})-\mu_{n}}{\sigma_{n}},\mathcal{N}\right) \leq d_{TV}\left(J_{n},H_{n}Q(n/H_{n})\right)$$
$$+d_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\frac{\psi(H_{n}Q(n/H_{n}))-\mu_{n}}{\sigma_{n}},\frac{\psi(H_{n})-\mu_{n}}{\sigma_{n}}\right)$$
$$+d_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\frac{\psi(H_{n})-\mu_{n}}{\sigma_{n}},\mathcal{N}\right).$$

New goal: bound $d_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\frac{\psi(H_n)-\mu_n}{\sigma_n},\mathcal{N}\right)$

By using the fact that $|\psi(H_nQ(n/H_n)) - \psi(H_n)| \le ||\psi||_{\mathcal{P}}$, we can easily show that

$$d_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\frac{\psi(J_{n})-\mu_{n}}{\sigma_{n}},\mathcal{N}\right) \leq d_{TV}\left(J_{n},H_{n}Q(n/H_{n})\right) + d_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\frac{\psi(H_{n}Q(n/H_{n}))-\mu_{n}}{\sigma_{n}},\frac{\psi(H_{n})-\mu_{n}}{\sigma_{n}}\right) + d_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\frac{\psi(H_{n})-\mu_{n}}{\sigma_{n}},\mathcal{N}\right).$$

New goal: bound $d_{\mathrm{K}}\left(\frac{\psi(H_n)-\mu_n}{\sigma_n},\mathcal{N}\right)$

Methodology used

Since $\psi(H_n)$ is conditionally equal to $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p})$, we use *Stein's method.*

Lemma (Stein's lemma) For every smooth $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$\mathbb{E}[f'(\mathcal{N})] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}f(\mathcal{N})]$

Lemma (Stein's lemma) For every smooth $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[f'(\mathcal{N})] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}f(\mathcal{N})]$$

Stein's heuristics: if X is an \mathbb{R} -valued random variable such that

 $\mathbb{E}[f'(X)] \approx \mathbb{E}[Xf(X)],$

for a large class of functions f, then Z is close to \mathcal{N} in some meaningul sense.

Lemma

Let $h_r : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $h_r(x) := \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,r]}(x)$, for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the equation

$$f'(x) - xf(x) = h_r(x) - \mathbb{E}[h_r(\mathcal{N})]$$

has a unique solution $f = f_r$, satisfying

$$\sup_{w \in \mathbb{R}} |f_r'(w)| \le 2 \quad \text{and} \quad f_r(w) \le \sqrt{\pi/2} \quad (12)$$

Lemma

Let $h_r : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $h_r(x) := \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,r]}(x)$, for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the equation

$$f'(x) - xf(x) = h_r(x) - \mathbb{E}[h_r(\mathcal{N})]$$

has a unique solution $f = f_r$, satisfying

$$\sup_{w \in \mathbb{R}} |f_r'(w)| \le 2 \quad \text{and} \quad f_r(w) \le \sqrt{\pi/2} \quad (12)$$

Thus, if X is some r.v.

$$d_{\mathcal{K}}(X,\mathcal{N}) \leq \sup_{f} |\mathbb{E}[f'(X) - Xf(X)]|$$

where f ranges over the functions satisfying (12)

As before, $h_r = \mathbb{1}_{\{(-\infty,r]\}}$, f_r is Stein's solution and $Y_p := \psi(p^{\xi_p})$.

As before, $h_r = \mathbb{1}_{\{(-\infty,r]\}}$, f_r is Stein's solution and $Y_p := \psi(p^{\xi_p})$. By the identity

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi(H(n))) = \mathcal{L}(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} Y_p | \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \leq n),$$

As before, $h_r = \mathbbm{1}_{\{(-\infty,r]\}}$, f_r is Stein's solution and $Y_p := \psi(p^{\xi_p})$. By the identity

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi(H(n))) = \mathcal{L}(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} Y_p | \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \leq n),$$

we have,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[h_r\left(\frac{\psi(H_n)-\mu_n}{\sigma_n}\right)-\mathbb{E}[h_r(\mathcal{N})]\right]=\frac{\mathbb{E}[(f_r'(W)-Wf_r(W))I]}{\mathbb{P}[\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}_n}p^{\xi_p}\leq n]},$$

where

$$W = W_n := \sigma_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n \right)$$
$$I = I_n := \mathbb{1}_{\{\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \le n\}}.$$

As before, $h_r = \mathbb{1}_{\{(-\infty,r]\}}$, f_r is Stein's solution and $Y_p := \psi(p^{\xi_p})$. By the identity

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi(H(n))) = \mathcal{L}(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} Y_p | \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \leq n),$$

we have,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[h_r\left(\frac{\psi(H_n)-\mu_n}{\sigma_n}\right)-\mathbb{E}[h_r(\mathcal{N})]\right]=\frac{\mathbb{E}[(f_r'(W)-Wf_r(W))I]}{\mathbb{P}[\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}_n}p^{\xi_p}\leq n]},$$

where

$$W = W_n := \sigma_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n \right)$$
$$I = I_n := \mathbb{1}_{\{\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} p^{\xi_p} \le n\}}.$$

New goal: estimate

 $\mathbb{E}[(f_r'(W) - Wf_r(W))I].$

Let $\{\xi'_p\}_{p\in\mathcal{P}}$ be an independent copy of $\{\xi_p\}_{p\in\mathcal{P}}$, and Θ a random variable uniformly distributed over \mathcal{P}_n and independent of $\{(\xi'_p, \xi_p)\}_{p\in\mathcal{P}}$.

Let $\{\xi'_p\}_{p\in\mathcal{P}}$ be an independent copy of $\{\xi_p\}_{p\in\mathcal{P}}$, and Θ a random variable uniformly distributed over \mathcal{P}_n and independent of $\{(\xi'_p, \xi_p)\}_{p\in\mathcal{P}}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$W' = \sigma_n^{-1}(\psi(\Theta^{\xi_{\Theta}}) \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n \setminus \{\Theta\}} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n)$$
$$I' = \mathbb{1}_{\{\theta^{\xi'_{\Theta}} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n \setminus \{\Theta\}} p^{\xi_p} \le n\}}.$$

Then $((W, I), (W', I')) \stackrel{Law}{=} ((W', I'), (W, I)).$

Let $\{\xi'_p\}_{p\in\mathcal{P}}$ be an independent copy of $\{\xi_p\}_{p\in\mathcal{P}}$, and Θ a random variable uniformly distributed over \mathcal{P}_n and independent of $\{(\xi'_p, \xi_p)\}_{p\in\mathcal{P}}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$W' = \sigma_n^{-1}(\psi(\Theta^{\xi_{\Theta}}) \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n \setminus \{\Theta\}} \psi(p^{\xi_p}) - \mu_n)$$
$$I' = \mathbb{1}_{\{\theta^{\xi'_{\theta}} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n \setminus \{\theta\}} p^{\xi_p} \le n\}}.$$

Then $((W, I), (W', I')) \stackrel{Law}{=} ((W', I'), (W, I))$. By exchangeability,

$$-2\mathbb{E}[(W'-W)f_r(W)I] = \mathbb{E}[(W'-W)(f_r(W')I'-f_r(W)I)].$$

We observe that $LHS := -2\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)f_r(W)I]$ satisfies

$$LHS = -\frac{2}{\pi(n)} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\left(\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_n} Y'_{\theta} - \mu_n\right) - \left(\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_n} Y_{\theta} - \mu_n\right)}{\sigma_n} f_r(W)I\right]$$
$$= \frac{2}{\pi(n)} \mathbb{E}[Wf_r(W)I] - \frac{2}{\pi(n)} \mathbb{E}[W]\mathbb{E}[f_r(W)I],$$
We observe that $LHS := -2\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)f_r(W)I]$ satisfies

$$LHS = -\frac{2}{\pi(n)} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\left(\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_n} Y'_{\theta} - \mu_n\right) - \left(\sum_{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_n} Y_{\theta} - \mu_n\right)}{\sigma_n} f_r(W)I\right]$$
$$= \frac{2}{\pi(n)} \mathbb{E}[Wf_r(W)I] - \frac{2}{\pi(n)} \mathbb{E}[W]\mathbb{E}[f_r(W)I],$$

SO

$$LHS = \frac{2}{\pi(n)} \mathbb{E}[Wf_r(W)I],$$

Handling $\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)(f_r(W')I' - f_r(W)I)]$

Define $X_p := \sigma_n^{-1} Y_p$ and

$$RHS := \mathbb{E}[(W' - W)(f_r(W')I' - f_r(W)I)],$$

Handling $\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)(f_r(W')I' - f_r(W)I)]$

Define $X_p := \sigma_n^{-1} Y_p$ and

$$RHS := \mathbb{E}[(W' - W)(f_r(W')I' - f_r(W)I)],$$

To estimate RHS we formalize the approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{RHS} &\approx \frac{1}{\pi(n)} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbb{E}[(X'_p - X_p)^2 f'_r(W)I] \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\pi(n)} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbb{E}[(X'_p - X_p)^2] \mathbb{E}[f'_r(W)I] \\ &= \frac{2 \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}(W)}{\pi(n)} \mathbb{E}[f'_r(W)I], \end{aligned}$$

Handling $\mathbb{E}[(W' - W)(f_r(W')I' - f_r(W)I)]$

Define $X_p := \sigma_n^{-1} Y_p$ and

$$RHS := \mathbb{E}[(W' - W)(f_r(W')I' - f_r(W)I)],$$

To estimate RHS we formalize the approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{RHS} &\approx \frac{1}{\pi(n)} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbb{E}[(X'_p - X_p)^2 f'_r(W)I] \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\pi(n)} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \mathbb{E}[(X'_p - X_p)^2] \mathbb{E}[f'_r(W)I] \\ &= \frac{2 \mathbb{V}\mathrm{ar}(W)}{\pi(n)} \mathbb{E}[f'_r(W)I], \end{aligned}$$

to obtain

$$RHS \approx \frac{2}{\pi(n)} \mathbb{E}[f'_r(W)I],$$

We conclude that

$$0 = |RHS - LHS| \approx |\frac{2}{\pi(n)} (\mathbb{E}[Wf_r(W)I] - \mathbb{E}[f'_r(W)I])|.$$

Thus, the result follows by a careful analysis of the approximations.

Theorem (Chen, Jaramillo and Yang)

Let M_n be a Poisson distribution with parameter $\log \log(n)$ and define $\Omega : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by $\Omega(m) := \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \alpha_p(m)$.

Theorem (Chen, Jaramillo and Yang)

Let M_n be a Poisson distribution with parameter $\log \log(n)$ and define $\Omega : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by $\Omega(m) := \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \alpha_p(m)$. Then we have

$$egin{aligned} &d_{ ext{TV}}(\omega(J_n),M_n) \leq rac{7.2}{\sqrt{\log\log(n)}} + 67.4rac{\log\log(n)}{\log(n)} \ &d_{ ext{TV}}(\Omega(J_n),M_n) \leq rac{14}{\sqrt{\log\log(n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

References

- Chen L., Jaramillo A., Yang X. A probabilistic approach to the Erdös-Kac theorem for additive functions. Soon in Arxiv.
- R. Arratia. On the amount of dependence in the prime factorization of a uniform random integer. In Contemporary combinatorics, volume 10 of Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., pages 29–91. János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2002.
- A. D. Barbour, E. Kowalski, and A. Nikeghbali. Mod-discrete expansions. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 158(3-4):859–893, 2014.
- Adam J. Harper. Two new proofs of the Erdös-Kac theorem, with bound on the rate of convergence, by Stein's method for distributional approximations. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 147(1):95–114, 2009.